

May 24, 2011

K-14 Mandates: Governor's May Revision Proposal

LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE

Presented to:

Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 2 on Education Finance

Hon. Susan Bonilla, Chair





K-14 Mandate System Broken



The *2010-11 Budget Act* required our office to convene a work group to consider the future of K-14 mandates.



Group was nearly unanimous in recognition of several major problems with K-14 mandates system:

- System not responsive to changing needs.
- State costs can be higher than anticipated.
- Regulations can create mandates, increasing costs without legislative input.
- High percentage of audited claims disallowed.
- Districts face uncertainty over timing/amount of reimbursement.
- Reimbursement process ignores effectiveness.
- Reimbursement process can reward inefficiency.
- Reimbursement rates vary without justification.
- Claiming process creates administrative burden for districts.



Overview of May Revision K-14 Mandate Package

Governor's May Revision Eliminates Over Half of K-14 Mandates	
Action	Mandates
Suspend With Intent to Eliminate	
K-12 education	16
Community colleges	6
Shared K-14 education	5
Subtotal	(27)
Preserve	
Reduce Cost	
K-12 education	9
Community colleges	2
Shared K-14 education	2
Subtotal	(13)
Fund	
K-12 education	10
Community colleges	2
Shared K-14 education	1
Subtotal	(13)
Total	53



Governor's May proposal:

- Eliminates half of all K-14 mandates.
- Reduces costs of a quarter of all K-14 mandates.
- Achieves \$96 million in Proposition 98 savings compared to actual K-14 claims.
- Achieves \$41 million in Proposition 98 savings compared to the March budget level.
- Costs \$49 million annually.



Governor also recommends developing a K-14 mandates block grant going forward.



Recommend Adopting May Revision K-14 Mandate Package



May Revision package:

- Provides clear framework for identifying which types of activities are worth requiring of all districts.
- Prioritizes activities related to health, public safety, oversight, and accountability that serve a fundamental statewide interest.
- Funds ongoing mandates while reducing costs by more than 50 percent.
- Sets the groundwork for a system that is more transparent and equitable as well as less cumbersome for school districts.
- Does not prevent policy committees from being involved in determining fate of suspended mandates.