

February 23, 2017

Hon. Xavier Becerra Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: Ms. Ashley Johansson

Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Becerra:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed statutory initiative regarding child custody (A.G. File No. 17-0001).

Background

Jury Trials. Both the U.S. Constitution and the California Constitution state that individuals possess the right to a jury trial in criminal cases and certain civil cases. Under current law, civil cases where individuals are pursuing the recovery of property or compensation for damages issues of fact must be tried by a jury, unless the jury trial is waived. The California Constitution specifies that juries in criminal and civil cases will typically consist of 12 individuals. Jury decisions in criminal cases must be unanimous, while jury decisions in civil cases can be made with the agreement of 75 percent of the jurors. Currently, jury trials are not used in California for family law cases, including child custody proceedings.

Child Custody. Child custody broadly refers to an individual's rights and responsibilities related to children. There are two types of child custody:

- Legal Custody. Legal custody refers to who has the authority to make decisions related to the child's health, education, and welfare. This can include decisions about where the child lives and goes to school, as well as decisions about certain activities, such as those related to religion or travel. If two people (such as the child's parents) have joint legal custody, then both are able to make the above decisions either separately or together. An individual with sole legal custody is the only one who can make such decisions.
- *Physical Custody*. Physical custody refers to who the child lives with. If two people have joint physical custody, then the child lives with both individuals. An individual with sole physical custody lives with the child all or most of the time. Often, individuals who do not have physical custody of the child have specified visitation rights with the child instead.

Child Custody Proceedings. Child custody proceedings can arise as part of other legal proceedings (such as divorce or legal separation proceedings) or as separate legal proceedings (such as when a parent without custody of a child seeks to obtain it from someone who has custody). Decisions about child custody can be reached in an uncontested or contested manner. In uncontested cases, individuals negotiate a contractual agreement between themselves on custody and visitation and choose to submit it to the courts. A judge will then issue a court order formally documenting the agreement. This allows the agreement to be enforced if it is violated in the future.

In contested cases, state law authorizes trial courts to make decisions about child custody based on the "best interest of the child." The court considers various factors, such as the age of the child and the ability of the individuals seeking custody to care for the child. State law directs courts to consider the health, safety, and welfare of the child as the primary factor in its decision. In cases involving parents, the court is to ensure that the child has frequent contact with both parents and to encourage parents to share responsibility for the child, unless contact with one or both of the parents is not in the best interest of the child. Accordingly, parents in contested cases are generally first required by the court to go to mediation to reach agreement. The court may also appoint (1) a specially trained mental health professional to conduct a custody evaluation or (2) an attorney to represent the child in court proceedings. State law authorizes the court to make temporary custody decisions at any time while such activities are in progress. Under certain circumstances, custody may also be granted to individuals other than the parents. Upon completion of contested legal proceedings, the court has the authority to modify custody decisions until the child turns age 18.

Proposal

Under this measure, an individual may demand a jury trial during any child custody proceedings. The measure also specifies that the court may not contradict a jury's verdict on the issue of "the appointment of joint legal and joint physical custody." In addition, the measure states that in civil cases where individuals are seeking "to retain legal rights to their child(ren)" issues of fact must be tried by a jury, unless a jury trial is waived.

Fiscal Effects

This measure could result in both one-time and ongoing fiscal impacts on the state courts. As discussed below, the fiscal impacts would depend on how this measure is interpreted and implemented by the courts, as well as how frequently individuals demand jury trials.

Since jury trials are currently not available in child custody proceedings, the courts would incur minor one-time costs to develop regulations and procedures to allow for such jury trials. It is also possible that some courts could incur one-time costs to modify some existing courtrooms that currently hear child custody cases, but are not constructed to accommodate a jury. The ongoing fiscal effect of this measure is less certain as it would significantly depend on how the measure is interpreted and implemented by the courts, as well as how individuals respond to its provisions. For example, the measure does not specify whether there is a limit on the number of times a single individual may demand a jury trial.

On the one hand, the measure would increase state court costs to the extent that proceedings that currently are decided by a judge are instead decided by a jury. This is because courts would incur additional workload to select and instruct the jury, as well as to rule on what information may be presented to the jury. In addition, the measure could also potentially result in individuals who otherwise would have reached agreement in an uncontested case now choosing to go to court. The costs of such jury trials could be partially offset by fees courts are currently authorized to charge when there is a jury. To the extent that the measure results in a substantial number of jury trials for custody cases, the various costs above could potentially reach the tens of millions of dollars annually.

On the other hand, the measure could reduce court costs to the extent that the ability to demand a jury trial serves as an incentive for individuals to (1) resolve child custody disputes outside of court or (2) reach agreement on custody decisions more quickly—thereby reducing court involvement and workload.

In view of the above, the ongoing net fiscal impact of this measure on state courts is uncertain.

Summary of Fiscal Effects. This measure would have the following major fiscal effect:

• Unknown ongoing net fiscal impact on state courts that would depend significantly on (1) how the measure is interpreted and implemented by the courts and (2) how individuals respond to the ability to demand a jury trial in child custody cases.

Sincerery,
Mac Taylor
Legislative Analyst
Michael Cohen
Director of Finance

Sincerely