

April 25, 2012

Hon. Kamala D. Harris Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: Ms. Ashley Johansson Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Harris:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed a proposed statutory initiative related to high-speed rail (A.G. File No. 12-0010).

## Background

*High-Speed Rail Authority (HSRA) Established in 1996.* The California HSRA was established by Chapter 796, Statutes of 1996 (SB 1420, Kopp), to plan and construct an intercity high-speed train system to link the state's major population centers. The HSRA is an independent authority consisting of a nine-member board appointed by the Legislature and Governor. In addition, the HSRA has an executive director appointed by the board and a staff of about 30.

*Voters Approved Funding for High-Speed Rail in 2008.* In November 2008, voters approved Proposition 1A, which authorizes the state to sell up to \$9.95 billion in general obligation bonds to partially fund the development and construction of a high-speed rail system. Of this amount, \$9 billion is available to support planning, engineering, and capital costs for the system. The remaining \$950 million in bond funds is available for capital improvements to existing passenger rail services—specifically, intercity, commuter, and urban rail systems. The bond funds authorized in Proposition 1A require a match of at least 50 percent from other funding sources such as the state, federal, and local governments, or the private sector.

*High-Speed Rail Expenditures.* Approximately \$400 million in Proposition 1A funds have been appropriated to HSRA for planning activities and the preparation of environmental and engineering studies for high-speed rail. In addition, \$129 million in Proposition 1A funds have been allocated for improvements to existing passenger rail services.

The Governor's budget plan for 2012-13 requests \$2.6 billion in Proposition 1A bond funds to begin construction of the high-speed rail line in the Central Valley and an additional \$204 million in bond funds for additional environmental and engineering work. The Governor's

budget plan also requests about \$800 million in Proposition 1A bond funds to make additional improvements to existing passenger rail services.

## Proposal

This measure prevents the further issuance and sale of Proposition 1A bonds for the construction of high-speed rail and improvements to existing passenger rail services. In addition, the measure states that any unspent bond proceeds shall be used to pay back the outstanding debt from the issuance and sale of Proposition 1A bonds. The measure also specifies that the state shall not accept or use any federal funds, provide or use any state funds, or accept any local funds for the construction or operation of the high-speed rail project authorized by Proposition 1A.

## **Fiscal Effects**

*Savings in Debt-Service Costs.* This measure would prevent the sale of up to \$9.4 billion in bond funds previously authorized by Proposition 1A. The actual reduction in bond sales would depend on (1) the amount of bonds that would have been sold in the future absent the measure and (2) how much additional state funding is appropriated and spent on high-speed rail prior to the passage of the measure. It may be, for example, that the state would otherwise be unable to sell all the state bonds due to an inability to raise the necessary matching funds. Moreover, it is possible that up to a few billion dollars of Proposition 1A bond funds could be spent prior to the passage of this measure in order to support high-speed and existing passenger rail projects currently under consideration. The cost to the state of repaying the principal and interest on the \$9.4 billion in unsold bonds, assuming they would have been sold at an average taxable interest rate of 6.5 percent and repaid over a period of 30 years, would be \$709 million annually. However, should matching funds not be identified or some of the bonds be sold as tax-exempt, the estimated annual debt-service savings could be less.

*Other Impacts.* The state has received \$3.5 billion in federal funds dedicated to high-speed rail that require matching state funds. To the extent that Proposition 1A bonds are not sold prior to the passage of this measure to satisfy this match requirement, the state would lose up to \$3.3 billion of these federal funds. The state could also incur a loss of potential matching funds from state, federal, and local governments, or the private sector that would be required in order to spend any remaining bond funds. Unlike the federal funds that have already been committed, there are currently no funding commitments from these other entities. The loss of federal funds and potential other funds, in turn, would reduce somewhat the level of economic activity in the state over the next several years, resulting in unknown reductions in state and local tax revenues. However, the loss of any state and local matching funds would not have a significant net fiscal impact on the economy to the extent that they were otherwise spent in the state for other purposes.

*Summary of Fiscal Effects.* We estimate the measure would have the following major fiscal effects on state and local governments:

• State debt-service savings of up to \$709 million annually from not using state bond funds to support high-speed rail, depending on the actual reduction in bonds funds

spent as a result of this measure and whether those bonds would have been sold as taxable or tax-exempt.

• Unknown reduction in state and local revenues due to a somewhat lower level of economic activity in the state over the next several years, resulting from a loss of matching funds from the federal government or private investors.

Sincerely,

Mac Taylor Legislative Analyst

Ana J. Matosantos Director of Finance