LAO Alternative Budget: Expenditure Details^a

(In Millions)

Department/Program—Description

2007-08

2008-09

Proposition 98

Proposition 98—Capture unspent current-year and prior-year monies.

\$950.0

_

Rationale: See the "Proposition 98 Priorities" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Proposition 98—Use Public Transportation Account

409.0

_

(PTA) monies to fund portion of Home-to-School

(HTS) transportation.

Rationale: A recent court ruling determined that PTA monies could not be used for prior-year debt service but could be used for HTS transportation. Given the ruling, we recommend using additional PTA monies for HTS transportation in the current year.

Proposition 98—Fund flat year-to-year budget rather — \$2,769.3 than workload budget.

Rationale: See the "Proposition 98 Priorities" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Proposition 98—Suspend Quality Education Investment Act.

450.0

Rationale: See the "Proposition 98 Priorities" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Proposition 98—Prepay "settle-up" obligation for — 150.0 2008-09 in 2007-08.

Rationale: See the "Proposition 98 Priorities" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Higher Education

University of California (UC)—Reduce 5 percent

\$105.3

base increase to 1.5 percent.

Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Higher Education" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

UC—Reduce enrollment growth from 2.5 percent to1.8 percent.

Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Higher Education" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

UC—Increase student fees by 10 percent, and use — 167.5 revenue as General Fund solution.

Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Higher Education" write-up in the "Education" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
UC—Increase institutional financial aid to cover increased student need resulting from LAO proposed fee increase.	_	-32.5
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
UC—Reduce administrative support spending by 10 percent (Governor's budget-balancing reductions).	_	32.3
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
Hastings College of the Law—Reduce administrative support spending by 10 percent (Governor's budget-balancing reductions).	_	0.3
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.
California State University (CSU)—Reduce 5 percent base increase to 1.5 percent.	_	101.2
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
CSU —Reduce enrollment growth from 2.5 percent to 1.6 percent.	_	22.0
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
CSU—Increase student fees by 10 percent, and use revenue as General Fund solution.	_	108.7
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
CSU—Increase institutional financial aid to cover increased student need resulting from LAO proposed	_	-28.5
fee increase. Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
CSU—Reduce administrative support spending by 10 percent (Governor's budget-balancing reduc- tions).	_	43.2
Rationale: See the "LAO Alternative Budget for Hig in the "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	her Educatio	n" write-up
California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office—Reduce state operations funding (non-Proposition 98).	_	0.2
Rationale: See the "California Community Colleges "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	" write-up in	the
		Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
California Student Aid Commission (CSAC)—Fund Cal Grant entitlement program assuming LAO fee recommendations (which are lower than assumption in Governor's budget). Rationale: See the "California Student Aid Commis	— sion" write-uį	74.3 o in the
"Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> . CSAC —Continue Cal Grant competitive program, that Governor proposed for elimination. Rationale: See the "California Student Aid Commis "Education" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	— sion" write-սր	-58.3 o in the
CSAC—Reduce funding for state operations. Rationale: The planned elimination of EdFund as Copportunities to restructure and reduce some costs		0.6 ary affords
California Postsecondary Education Commission— Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions. Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	— on's propose	0.2 d reduction.
Health		
Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP)—Eliminate funding for the California Methamphetamine Initiative in the budget year. Rationale: See the "Department of Alcohol and Drug		
the "Health and Social Services" chapter of this year DADP—Redirect state and federal asset forfeiture proceeds. Rationale: See the "Department of Alcohol and Druthe "Health and Social Services" chapter of this year	— [*] Ig Programs"	10.0 write-up in
 DADP—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions that do not impact direct drug treatment services. Rationale: We have no issues with the administration that do not impact direct drug treatment services. 	\$0.4	0.1
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Adopt Governor's budget balancing reductions to reinstate quarterly status reporting and eliminate continuous eligibility for children.	_	69.0
Rationale: We have no issue with this request. We ment to the Governor's budget reduction to accoun caused by beneficiaries reentering the program wh	t for increase en services a	ed costs are needed.
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reduction to discontinue payments for Medicare Part B premiums for beneficiaries who have share of cost requirements.	5.5	65.5
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction. Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing	_	54.0
reductions to reduce certain payments to hospitals.		
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser		up in the
"Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's	Analysis.	
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing	_	32.3
reduction to eliminate the County Cost of Doing		
Business.		ala.da.t.aa
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration The savings amount is higher than in the Governor		
than anticipated growth in the California Necessities		to a migner
DHCS/Family Health—Adopt Governor's budget-	—	26.7
balancing reductions to shift federal funding from		
public hospitals to other state health programs to		
reduce General Fund spending.		
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser		up in the
"Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's	Analysis.	
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Apply Deficit Reduction Act	_	18.9
eligibility requirements to minor consent beneficiaries in order to obtain federal funds.		
Rationale: The state chose to forego federal funding	a for this non	ulation in
2006-07 by not enforcing eligibility requirements.	y ior triis pop	ulation in
DHCS/ Medi-Cal—Delay implementation of SB 437	_	18.5
pilot program for two years.		
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser		up in the
"Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's	Analysis.	40.5
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Implement a rate cap for nursing	_	16.5
homes.	over the	a loot four
Rationale: Nursing homes have received rate increase in years. This proposal would limit the rate increase in		e last lew
DHCS/Family Health/Medi-Cal—Increase the shift of		91.1
federal funds from public hospitals to certain health		0
programs, thereby reducing Medi-Cal General Fund		
spending.		
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser	vices" write-ι	up in the
"Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's	Analysis.	
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing	_	7.8
reductions to shift federal funds from public hospitals		
to offset General Fund spending for other health		
care programs. Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser	vicos" writs :	ın in the
"Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's		ap iii iiie
		Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Implement Public Assistance and	_	7.0
Reporting Information System early.	,, ., .	
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Service and Social Service's" chapter of the 2007-08 Analysis		
DHCS/State Operations —Adopt Governor's budget- balancing reductions to eliminate positions in state operations.	_	6.6
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Reduce funding for county administration of Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) requirements.	_	6.0
Rationale: Our review found that the counties are o out DRA administrative activities related to verificati identity. The counties can perform the required task time and will, therefore, require less funding.	ion of citizens	ship and
DHCS/Medi-Cal—Implement cash and counseling methodology for certain Home and Community Based Service Waiver recipients.	1.0	5.0
Rationale: Program would allow higher functioning dom to choose their own services in exchange for s		
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for reductions to the Fiscal Intermediary contract.		4.8
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Allow the HIV/AIDS Pharmacy Pilot program to sunset.	_	2.7
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser "Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's		up in the
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Shift aged, blind, and disabled into managed care.	_	-
Rationale: See 2004 report <i>Better Care Reduces H Disabled Persons</i> . Savings of \$25 million would sta annual savings of \$100 million thereafter.		
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Implement pay-for-performance programs for Medi-Cal managed care and Medi-Cal fee-for-service.	_	-
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser "Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's \$25 \$25 million would begin in 2009-10.		
DHCS/Medi-Cal —Centralize eligibility determinations at the state level.	_	-
Rationale: See the "Department of Health Care Ser "Health and Social Services" chapter of the 2003-04 Bill. Savings of \$75 million are expected to begin in	4 Analysis of	
		Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board (MRMIB)/Healthy Families Program (HFP)— Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	_	41.9
Rationale: Pending the results of rate negotiations have no issues with the administration's proposed	with the heal reductions.	th plans, we
MRMIB/HFP—Eliminate certified application assistance (CAA) payments and caseload.	_	9.6
Rationale: The CAA payments are provided to orgation persons with the HFP application process. Eliminat would not impact eligibility or benefit levels.		
MRMIB/HFP—Delay implementation of SB 437.	_	2.7
Rationale: SB 437 would simplify the annual eligibi HFP beneficiaries. Delaying implementation of this impact current eligibility or benefit levels.		
Department of Public Health (DPH)—Adopt Gover-	_	16.4
nor's budget-balancing reductions that do not impact		
direct services.		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	
DPH/Emergency Preparedness—Reduce local	_	6.9
assistance for pandemic influenza planning.		
Rationale: Maintain current-year level of funding to pandemic influenza planning.	local govern	ments for
Department of Developmental Services (DDS)— Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for continuing cost containment measures for regional center (RC) purchase of services.	_	229.0
Rationale: We have no issues with continuing the F cost containment measures on a <i>temporary</i> basis f However, we would not implement these measures as proposed in the Governor's budget.	or a few mor	e years.
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for RC operations.	_	20.5
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration reductions.	on's propose	ed budget
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for Developmental Centers (DC) with certain exceptions.	1.0	9.5
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration's proposed reductions to DCs with the exception of the reduction that would delay the activation of 96 additional beds at Porterville DC.		
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for reducing rates for Supported Employment Program providers.	_	7.7
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for headquarters with certain exceptions.	_	2.3
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration balancing reductions to DDS' headquarters with the tions that would reduce audit functions for regional	e exception of	f the reduc-
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for the Devereux maintenance contract.	<u> </u>	1.2
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	
DDS —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions for expanding the family cost participation program.		0.7
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration		
Department of Mental Health (DMH) —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions that minimize impact to direct mental health services.	2.6	5.1
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.
DMH/State Hospitals —Reduce Sexually Violent Predator (SVP) caseload projections.	12.6	13.8
Rationale: See the "Department of Mental Health" vand Social Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i>		e "Health
DMH/Managed Care —Reduce mental health managed care caseload projection.	_	2.5
Rationale: See the "Department of Mental Health" we "Health and Social Services" chapter of this year's a		•
Social Services		
Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Program (SSI/SSP)—Delete June 2008 cost-of-living adjustment (COLA).	\$23.3	\$271.0
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration See the "Supplemental Security Income/State Supplemental Security Income/State Security I	olementary P	rogram" s <i>Analysis</i> .
SSI/SSP—Delete June 2009 COLA.	-	34.6
Rationale: We have no issues with administration's See the "Supplemental Security Income/State Supplemental Security Income/State Security Income/Stat	lementary P	rogram"
SSI/SSP —Reduce grants for couples to 125 percent of the federal poverty guideline.		89.5
Rationale: See the "Supplemental Security Income, Program" write-up in the "Health and Social Service Analysis.		
		Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs)—Delay beginning of pay-for-performance incentive system for counties until 2008-09.	40.0	_
Rationale: A one-time delay in implementation will r county performance.	not significan	tly impact
CalWORKs —Gradually restore Temporary Assistance for Needy Families federal fund reserve.	_	47.0
Rationale: While a reserve is desirable, there was r This alternative establishes a \$40 million reserve (\$ Governor), which could be increased in subsequen	47 million le	
Welfare Automation—Cancel Interim Statewide Automated Welfare System Migration computer project.	3.4	44.0
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration Foster Care—Rescind the January 2008 5 percent rate increase and reduce rates for foster family agencies by 5 percent.	on's propose —	d reduction. 23.6
Rationale: See the "Foster Care" write-up in the "He Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ealth and So	cial
Foster Care—Cap specialized care increments at \$1,000 per month.	_	1.0
Rationale: See the "Foster Care" write-up in the "He Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ealth and So	cial
Adoptions Assistance Program (AAP)— Prospectively reduce the maximum AAP grant, reform eligibility, and end automatic increases as adopted children age.	_	2.0
Rationale: Savings grow substantially in out-years. Analysis of the 2004-05 Budget Bill.	See page C-	255 of the
Child Welfare Services (CWS)—Suspend the hold harmless (HH) budgeting system through 2009-10. (Under the HH system, county funding is not reduced even though the caseload declines.)	_	6.0
Rationale: See the "Child Welfare Services" write-u Social Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	p in the "Hea	lth and
CWS—Cap fully loaded social worker costs at \$155,000.	_	5.1
Rationale: See the "Child Welfare Services" write-u Social Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	p in the "Hea	lth and
CWS —Do not implement disclosure of sibling contact information. Repeal Chapter 386, Statutes of 2006, (AB 2488, Leno).	_	1.2
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction. Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
CWS—Cancel proposed new computer system and instead upgrade existing system to meet county functionality requirements and federal compliance issues.	_	_
Rationale: Results in savings of \$75 million over the "Child Welfare Services" write-up in the "Health and chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .		
Aging—Reduce Senior Community Service Employment Program.	_	1.5
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration (Recent federal funding increase offsets this reduct impact on service level.)		
Aging —Delete state level support for Senior Legal Hotline.	_	0.3
Rationale: State General Fund was first provided to the contractor has other funding sources, and local also provide legal services at the local level.		
Child Support Enforcement—Delay increase in pass-though of child support to custodial parents until July 2010.	_	5.6
Rationale: Savings increase to \$11.2 million in 200 "Department of Child Support Services" write-up in Services" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .		
Department of Community Services and Develop- ment—Reduce Naturalization Services Program.	_	1.3
Rationale: Remaining funding of \$1.7 million will magroup of contracted community based organization services. Some related services are available throu Department of Education.	s which deliv	er these
Criminal Justice		
Department of Justice (DOJ), California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), State Controller's Office (SCO), Office of Emergency Services (OES)—Reduce, eliminate, or shift funding for certain criminal justice local assistance programs.	\$10.0	\$268.0

Rationale: See the "Crosscutting Issues" write-up in the "Judicial and Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Judicial Branch—Suspend State Appropriations Limit — 126.2
 adjustments on a one-time basis.

Rationale: See the "Judicial Branch" write-up in the "Judicial and Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's *Analysis*.

Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
Judicial Branch—Begin to phase in electronic court	_	12.6
reporting.	<i>"</i>	
Rationale: See the "Judicial Branch" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	"Judicial and	l Criminal
Judicial Branch—Increase civil filing fees to reflect	_	21.4
inflation in court costs.		21.4
Rationale: See the "Judicial Branch" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	"Judicial and	l Criminal
Judicial Branch—Adjust the budget for likely delays in the appointment of new judges.	_	15.2
Rationale: See the "Judicial Branch" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	"Judicial and	l Criminal
Office of the Inspector General—Reduce request for new staff by 26 positions.	_	4.5
Rationale: See the "Office of the Inspector General" and Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i>		he "Judicial
DOJ —Target vacant positions for elimination.	_	13.0
Rationale: See the "Department of Justice" write-up Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	in the "Judio	ial and
DOJ —Reduce budget request for Correctional Writs and Appeals unit.	_	1.8
Rationale: See the "Department of Justice" write-up Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	in the "Judio	ial and
CDCR —Adopt realignment of supervision of low-level parolees to county probation departments.	_	483.0
Rationale: See the "Realignment of Parole" write-up publication.	o in "Part V" o	of this
CDCR —Change crimes referred to as "wobblers" to misdemeanors to reduce inmate population.	_	250.0
Rationale: See the "Adult Corrections" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ne "Judicial a	nd Criminal
CDCR —Implement "earned discharge" policy for parolees.	_	50.0
Rationale: See the "Adult Corrections" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ne "Judicial a	nd Criminal
CDCR —Adjust budget to account for additional savings associated with our "wobblers to misdemeanor" proposal and "earned discharge" proposal.	_	118.0
Rationale: Reflects reduced funding need for activit ment, training, contracted bed expansions, and revo	ocation heari	ngs
because of proposed reductions in inmate and parc	ne population	ns. Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
CDCR —Adjust budget to reflect recent adult inmate and parole population trends.	55.0	55.0
Rationale: See the "Adult Corrections" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ne "Judicial a	and Criminal
CDCR—Adjust budget to reflect delays in implementing various departmental programs.	28.0	_
Rationale: The department has experienced delays budgeted programs, resulting in current-year saving		ng various
CDCR—Substitute federal Workforce Investment Act funds for General Fund support of programs for adult parolees.	_	4.0
Rationale: See the "Adult Corrections" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ne "Judicial a	and Criminal
CDCR—Modify Governor's proposal to reinstate inmate work crews.	_	3.0
Rationale: See the "Adult Corrections" write-up in the Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	ne "Judicial a	and Criminal
CDCR—Reject proposal to relocate headquarters of dental and mental health programs. Adjust request for new headquarters staff to reflect salary savings.	_	5.1
Rationale: See the "Adult Correctional Health Servi "Judicial and Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's		in the
CDCR—Adjust budget to reflect recent ward and parole population trends in the Division of Juvenile Facilities.	4.0	9.0
Rationale: See the "Division of Juvenile Facilities" v and Criminal Justice" chapter of this year's <i>Analysi</i> s		e "Judicial
Resources and Environmental Protection		
Various Resources Departments/Timber Harvest Plan Review—Shift funding for timber harvest plan review and enforcement to new fee on timber operators. Rationale: See the "Funding Timber Harvest Plan F	— Review and F	\$23.1
ment" write-up in the "Resources" chapter of this ye	ear's <i>Analysi</i>	s.
Secretary for Resources—Reduce CALFED program.	\$0.1	0.6
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration Secretary for Environmental Protection—Reduce various administrative support activities.	on's propose 0.1	d reduction. 0.2
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.

Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
California Conservation Corps—Reduce administration and program support and create additional General Fund savings by shifting funding to an available special fund balance.	_	1.7
Rationale: See the "California Conservation Corps" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> . (Reflect administration's proposed reductions.)		
Department of Conservation —Reduce geologic hazards and mineral resources conservation program.	_	1.0
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.
Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFire)—Shift portion of General Fund support for wildland firefighting to new fee assessed on property owners in "state responsibility areas."	_	239.0
Rationale: See the "CalFire" write-up in the "Resour year's <i>Analysis</i> . (Savings amount accounts for cost		
CalFire —Adjust budgeted emergency fire suppression expenditures upward.	_	-35.7
Rationale: Governor's workload budget underestimates emergency fire suppression ("E-Fund") expenditures, given historical five-year average of actual E-Fund expenditures.		
CalFire —Fund Governor's proposal for Automatic Vehicle Locators technology from General Fund, instead of proposed insurance policy surcharge.	_	-4.2
Rationale: See the "CalFire" write-up in the "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .		
CalFire —Reduce administration, resource management, and Office of State Fire Marshal support.	_	5.1
Rationale: See the "CalFire" write-up in the "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> . (Reflects partial approval of administration's proposed reductions.)		
State Lands Commission—Reduce various program activities.	_	0.9
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration reductions.	on's propose	d
Department of Fish and Game (DFG) —Shift funding for Endangered Species Act reviews and Natural Communities Conservation Planning to fees.	_	5.9
Rationale: See the "Department of Fish and Game" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	write-up in the	he
		Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
DFG —Shift portion of General Fund cost for law enforcement to available special fund balance.	_	2.6
Rationale: See the "Department of Fish and Game" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	write-up in t	he
DFG —Reduce hunting and fishing programs, nonregulatory biodiversity conservation programs, and administration.	_	3.3
Rationale: See the "Department of Fish and Game" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> . (Reflect administration's proposed reductions.)		
Wildlife Conservation Board—Replace General Fund support for Habitat Conservation Fund (Proposition 117) with special and bond funds.	_	20.8
Rationale: See the "Wildlife Conservation Board" wi "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	rite-up in the	
California Coastal Commission—Allow commission to spend the fee and penalty revenues it collects, rather than transferring these revenues to the State Coastal Conservancy.	_	2.0
Rationale: See the "California Coastal Commission" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	" write-up in t	the
Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR)— Increase state park user fees.	_	13.3
Rationale: See the "Department of Parks and Recre Resources chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	eation" write-	up in the
DPR —Reject budget proposal for increased fire protection.	_	3.0
Rationale: See the "Department of Parks and Recre" "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	eation" write-	up in the
Department of Water Resources (DWR) —Shift funding for flood management expenditures to new broad-based fee.	_	40.0
Rationale: See the "Department of Water Resource "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	s" write-up ir	n the
DWR —Shift funding for Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement projects to bond funds.	_	13.5
Rationale: See the "Department of Water Resource "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	s" write-up ir	n the
DWR —Reduce California Water Plan, flood management, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, and watermaster program activities, partially offset by bond funds.	0.2	7.3
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions. Continued

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09		
Air Resources Board—Reduce research contracts.	_	0.2		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration's proposed reduction.				
California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB)—Delay budgeted special fund loan repayments.	_	17.0		
Rationale: Repayments of (1) \$15 million on loan from California Tire Recycling Management Fund and (2) \$2 million on loan from Integrated Waste Management Account are not statutorily required and can be delayed to a later year.				
State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)— Shift funding for regulatory activities to existing regulatory fee sources by increasing fees.	_	7.8		
Rationale: See the "State Water Resources Contro "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	l Board" write	e-up in the		
SWRCB —Shift funding for various water quality management activities to a new broad-based fee.	_	22.0		
Rationale: See the "State Water Resources Contro "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	l Board" write	e-up in the		
SWRCB —Reduce general cleanup programs and administration.	_	0.8		
Rationale: See the "State Water Resources Control Board" write-up in the "Resources" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> . (Reflects partial approval of administration's proposed reductions.)				
Department of Toxic Substances Control —Reduce illegal drug lab cleanup, emergency response, and biomonitoring activities.	1.2	2.5		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.		
California Public Utilities Commission—Delay special fund loan repayment.	-	5.0		
Rationale: Repayment of \$5 million on loan from California Teleconnect Fund not statutorily required and can be delayed to a later year.				
General Government				
Arts Council—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reduction.	_	\$0.1		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration Augmentation for Employee Compensation— Reject 5 percent pay raise for correctional officers.	on's propose \$260.4	ed reductions. 260.4		
Rationale: See February 2008 publication Correctional Officer Pay, Benefits, and Labor Relations				
,		Continued		

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09		
Augmentation for Employee Compensation— Delete pay differential for Human Resources Management System computer staff.	_	0.3		
Rationale: See the "Augmentation for Employee Co the "General Government" chapter of this year's An		write-up in		
Board of Equalization (BOE)/E-Filing —Recognize efficiencies and expand e-filing.	_	1.4		
Rationale: See the "Board of Equalization" write-up Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	in the "Gene	eral		
BOE/Tax Gap—Adopt a modified tax gap package.	_	-3.8		
Rationale: Amount is reduced revenues less administrative savings. See the "Board of Equalization" write-up in the "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> as well as the Franchise Tax Board issue below.				
Budget Stabilization Account —Adopt Governor's proposal to suspend 2008-09 supplementary debt-service payment on deficit-financing bonds.	_	1,551.3		
Rationale: Savings shown is different than Governo different revenue total.	or's budget di	ue to		
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency— Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	_	0.8		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration California Gambling Control Commission (CGCC)/2004 Indian Compact Payments—Direct	n's proposed —	reductions. 101.8		
payments to the General Fund rather than for transportation purposes, on a one-time basis.				
Rationale: See the "California Gambling Control Co the "General Government" chapter of this year's Ar		rite-up in		
CGCC/Revenue Sharing Trust Fund Backfill—Use Special Distribution Fund, rather than the General Fund, to provide the backfill.	_	40.0		
Rationale: See the "California Gambling Control Commission" write-up in the "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .				
California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS)—Make full court-ordered interest payment in 2008-09, rather than deferring costs to future years.	_	-130.8		
Rationale: See the "California State Teachers' Retining the "General Government" chapter of this year's		em" write-up		
CalSTRS/Inflation Protection—Reject administration's proposal to guarantee new benefit.	—	-79.7		
Rationale: See the "California State Teachers' Retining the "General Government" chapter of this year's		em" write-up		
and distribute distribute of the your of		Continued		

.		2222 22		
Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09		
Commission on State Mandates (CSM)/Animal Adoption Mandate—Repeal mandate and pay prior	_	10.0		
year claims over time.				
Rationale: See the "Commission on State Mandate "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analys</i>				
Commission on the Status of Women—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reduction.	_	0.1		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.		
Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) —Reject DIR relocation budget change proposal.	_	0.1		
Rationale: Savings increase to \$1.1 million in 2009-10. See the "Department of Industrial Relations" write-up in the "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .				
Fair Employment and Housing —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	_	1.8		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.		
Food and Agriculture —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	0.5	8.6		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.		
Franchise Tax Board/Tax Gap—Adopt modified tax gap proposal.	_	54.1		
Rationale: Amount is revenues less increased costs Tax Board" write-up in the "General Government" c Analysis.				
Housing and Community Development—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	0.2	1.3		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration's proposed reductions.				
Military Department/Tuition Assistance—Reject new benefit for National Guard members.	—	1.8		
Rationale: See the "Military Department" write-up in Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	the "Genera	al		
Military Department —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	1.1	4.6		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.		
Office of Administrative Law—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reduction.	_	0.3		
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	d reduction.		
Office of Emergency Services (OES)/State Terror- ism Threat Assessment Center—Revert unused	1.0	_		
funds. Rationale: During a January budget hearing, the administration identified				
that these funds will not be used in the current year	·.	Continued		

Department/Program—Description	2007-08	2008-09
OES—Reduce various criminal justice grant programs.	_	_
Rationale: Savings are included in the "Restructurin Public Safety" write-up in the "Criminal Justice" cha		stance for
OES —Adopt Governor's current-year budget- balancing reductions other than criminal justice grants and State Terrorism Threat Assessment Center.	3.4	_
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration in the current year.	on's propose	d reductions
OES —Adopt Governor's budget-year budget-balancing reduction for victim services programs.	_	0.4
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	
Office of Planning and Research (OPR)/Cesar Chavez Grants—Suspend program funding.	_	5.0
Rationale: See the "Office of Planning and Researc "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analys</i>		n the
OPR/California Volunteer Matching Network— Do not renew funding.	_	0.8
Rationale: See the "Office of Planning and Researc "General Government" chapter of this year's <i>Analys</i>		n the
Personnel Administration/Rural Health Equity Program for Annuitants—Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	_	0.5
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	on's propose	
Science Center —Adopt Governor's budget-balancing reductions.	_	1.8
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	
Tax Relief/Williamson Act—Phase out subventions.	_	3.9
Rationale: See the "Tax Relief" write-up in the "Gen chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	eral Governi	
Tax Relief/Senior Citizens' Homeowners Assistance	_	18.5
Program —Roll back program to 1999-00 levels. Rationale: See the "Tax Relief" write-up in the "Gen chapter of this year's <i>Analysis</i> .	eral Governi	ment"
Veterans Affairs—Adopt Governor's budget-	1.7	19.5
balancing reductions.	•••	10.0
Rationale: We have no issues with the administration	n's proposed	reductions.
Scored against Governor's workload budget—budget bill as introduced Positive numbers are savings, while negative numbers are costs.		