demic employees should be treated equitably in relation to other state employees. To provide nonacademic salary increases commensurate with those recommended for state civil service employees would require an additional General Fund cost of \$20,124,000.

### Judges' Salaries Frozen

Judicial salaries formerly were, by law, adjusted annually as of September 1, based on the increase in the California Consumer Price Index

(CCPI) of the prior calendar year.

Chapter 1183, Statutes of 1976 (AB 3844) eliminates the CCPI adjustment factor for 1977 and freezes judicial salaries on January 1, 1977 for a period of 18 months. Consequently, no funds are proposed in 1977–78 for this item. Beginning July 1, 1978 and each July 1 thereafter, the new law restores the annual CCPI adjustment for judicial salaries, but limits it to a maximum of five percent.

### RESERVE FOR CONTINGENCIES—EMERGENCY FUND

Item 382 from the General Fund Buc	lget p. 1027
Requested 1977–78Appropriated by the 1976–77 Budget Act	\$1,500,000 1,500,000

### **ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

We recommend approval.

This item appropriates \$1,500,000 for expenditure from the Emergency Fund. The Emergency Fund provides a source from which the Department of Finance can allocate funds to state agencies for expenses resulting from unforeseen contingencies not covered by specific appropriations.

Also, this item appropriates an additional \$1,500,000 to provide for temporary loans to state agencies whose operations would be curtailed because of delayed receipt of reimbursements or revenue. These loans are returned or accrued for return by the end of the fiscal year in which they are made.

The Emergency Fund request of \$1,500,000 is a token amount which has been substantially less than the actual deficiencies realized in every year since 1959-60. To meet the actual requirements, a deficiency appropriation has been necessary toward the end of each fiscal year.

Table 1 details the amounts budgeted and allocated along with the deficiency appropriations since 1970–71.

# Table 1 Emergency Fund, Appropriations and Allocations 1970–71 to 1977–78

Fiscal year	Appropriated	Allocated to agencies	Deficiency appropriation
1970–71	. 1,000,000	4,919,594	4,375,000
1971–72	. 1,000,000	4,993,871	4,918,009

### RESERVE FOR CONTINGENCIES—EMERGENCY FUND—Continued

1972-73	1,000,000	8,076,724	7,500,000
1973–74	1,500,000	5,644,554	10,900,000
1974–75	1,500,000	15,112,367	14,700,000
1975–76	1,500,000	24,918,959	30,520,089
1976–77	1,500,000	2,389,124	2,000,000 (est)
1977–78	1,500,000	N/A	N/A

For 1976–77, the department anticipates a deficiency appropriation of \$2 million. Compared with recent experience, the estimate of \$2,389,124 for emergency allocation to agencies during 1976–77 represents a significant decrease. The \$2 million deficiency appropriation, when combined with the \$1.5 million base previously budgeted minus the estimated \$2,389,124 scheduled for allocation to agencies, would provide a balance of \$1,110,876 for meeting unforeseen contingencies during the balance of 1976–77.

#### Other Deficiencies

We pointed out last year that the deficiency appropriation in support of this item does not represent the total amount of deficiency appropriations proposed in the budget. These additional deficiencies are properly reported in their related agency budgets.

However, for information purposes we requested the Department of Finance to aggregate and report all these additional deficiencies and show them in one place. This has been accomplished. Additional agency deficiencies shown in the Governor's Budget for 1976–77 total \$45,728,077. The agencies and amounts of deficiencies are listed on page 1029 of the Governor's budget.

## **AUGMENTATIONS FOR PRICE INCREASES**

Items 383–385 from various funds Bu	dget p. 1031
Requested 1977–78	\$12,450,000 19,440,000 892,058
Requested reduction \$6,990,000 (36.0 percent) Total recommended reduction	Pending
1977-78 FUNDING BY ITEM AND SOURCE	
Item     Description     Fund       383     Price Increase Augmentations     General       384     Price Increase Augmentations     Special       385     Price Increase Augmentations     Nongovernmental cost funds and the cost funds are cost fu	Amount \$7,803,000 1,979,000 2,668,000
성 호텔은 근통을 하게 되었는다고 문에서 다시네요?	\$12,450,000

<sup>a</sup> Appropriated in Budget Bill but not included in budget totals. •