Employment

the county from persons legally responsible for the care of the ward or from the ward's estate) and one-half of the cost of maintaining a ward in county facilities up to \$190 per month, plus all of the excess cost

over that figure, actually mitigates against the expansion of the county juvenile home and camp program.

We specifically recommend that statutory changes be enacted to raise the cost to the counties of commitments to the Youth Authority to the present \$95 per month subvention figure with provision for adjusting the cost to any subsequent changes in the subvention figure.

We recognize that this change in the law would have the effect of causing the counties to directly pay a larger share of the cost of care of juvenile delinquents. In the long run, however, net savings should result. The entire cost of juvenile delinquency, regardless of whether expended by the State or the county, must ultimately be borne by the taxpayer, and to the extent that the juvenile home and camp program was expanded because of the incentive of equal cost to the county, more wards would be cared for at the lower per capita cost county level and fewer at the more expensive Youth Authority level.

One other beneficial result should be noted. Equalizing the cost to the county, regardless of how it chose to care for its own delinquent children, would equalize the burden of such care as between those counties which have assumed the higher cost (to them) of county level care, and those who continue to rely solely on the state facilities for those juveniles requiring custodial care.

If the equalization of comparable charges which we recommend had been in effect for the current year, reimbursement to the State would have amounted to 3.8 times the \$677,070 now estimated, or \$2,572,866. This is approximately one-quarter of the estimated cost of the Youth Authority for the same period.

This, of course, is merely a shifting of the burden from one tax shoulder to another. The real savings to the taxpayer can be calculated at the rate of \$1,068 for every ward cared for at the county level who would otherwise be cared for in a Youth Authority facility. This is the difference in per capita costs, and does not include the costs of Youth Authority parole or other current expense costs.

DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT

DISABILITY AND HOSPITAL BENEFITS PROGRAM

ITEM 423 of the	Budget Bill	Budget page 1371
		Budget line No. 25

For Support of Disability and Hospital Benefits Program From th	ie
Unemployment Compensation Disability Fund	

. An ei Miller In de Witterfield, Comell

Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1954-55 F	'iscal Year	
Increase (7.5 percent)	•	\$247,713

-- 840 ---

- 841 -

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$154,254	\$154,254		1397	21
Operating expense	103,686	103,686		1397	22
Equipment	-10,227	—10,227		1397	23
Total increase	\$247,713	\$247,713		1397	25
RECOMMENDATIONS				1.1	
Amount budgeted	<u></u>			_ \$3,565	5.900
Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's re	commendati	on	<u> </u>	3,562	
Reduction	······································		، <u>:</u>	\$8	3,150

ANALYSIS

The major activity of the Department of Employment consists in the administration of the unemployment insurance and employment service programs which are financed entirely by grants of federal funds and are not subject to the same degree of budgetary control as are the operations of a normal state agency.

The department also administers the disability and hospital benefits program which is a state program, subject to state control but many of its operations are so closely integrated with those of the other programs as to render the usual type of budget analysis impossible.

In addition, a small part of the agencies' activities are financed from the Department of Employment Contingent Fund, which is a state fund, subject to state control.

Expenditures for administrative purposes from the three funds involved are as follows:

Fund		Estimated 1954-55	Proposed 1955-56	Incre Amount H	
	Administration Fund	\$21,541,228	\$22,647,557	\$1,106,329	5.1
Unemployment					1
	and	3,318,187	3,565,900	247,713	7.5
Department of		1			de la come
Contingent I	^r und	37,268	38,543	1,275	3.4
Totals	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$24,896,683	\$26,252,000	\$1,355,317	5.4

The foregoing expenditures from the Unemployment Administration Fund and the Unemployment Compensation Disability Fund are not included in the budget totals, although those from the Department of Employment Contingent Fund are. Likewise the benefit payments made under the two major programs, unemployment insurance, and disability insurance are also omitted from the budget totals. Unemployment insurance benefits are paid out of the Unemployment Fund held in trust in the Federal Treasury, on requisition by the Director of the Department of Employment, while disability insurance benefits are paid out of the Unemployment Compensation Disability Fund in the State Treasury. Payments are as follows:

	Actual 1953-54	Estimated 1954-55	Estimated 1955-56	
Unemployment insurance Disability insurance	\$124,993,158 38,195,311	\$160,000,000 45,005,632	\$172,000,000 48,630,000	
Totals	\$163,188,469	\$205,005,632	\$220,630,000	

Employment

The degree of interrelationship of the various programs is indicated by the distribution of the proposed expenditures for 1955-56 among the various operating units of the Department of Employment as shown in the following tabulation:

Support E	Expenditures,	Department o	f Employment, l	by
	Funds and O	perating Units	, 1955-56	

1	Inemployment	1. 1. A.	14 M _ 1	
	4 dministration	Disability	Contingent	
Operating unit	Fund	Fund	Fund	Total
Office of the director	\$65,126	\$15,014	\$250	\$80,390
Job Development Section			00,040	
Legal Section		10,325		83,242
Research and Statistics Section	201,166			254,103
Employment Service Technical				
Section			1,151	78,027
Benefit Payments Technical Section			50	115,740
Interstate unit	264,696	1,064		265,760
Farm Placement Section	512,189		50	512,239
Division of Appeals	1,064,155	119,720	· ·	1,183,875
Division of Public Employment				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Offices and Benefit Payments	10,342,210	106,097	1,148	10,449,455
Division of Accounts and				
Tax Collections	1,705,872	106,074		1,811,946
Division of Inspection and				
Investigation		12,335	· · · ·	662,068
Division of Administration and	1		1.1	
Finance	_ 7,526,285	553,677	12,578	8,092,540
State Advisory Council	1,600			1,600
Division of Disability and				e a transference
Hospital Benefits		2,588,657	; <u></u> -,	2,614,383
Totals	\$22,647,557	\$3,565,900	\$38,543	\$26,252,000
		1	e de la tradición de la tradic	ang

Charges are accumulated in each of the various operating units by programs, salaries being distributed on the basis of daily time reports. Budgeting generally is on a performance basis, and in many respects is the best in the state service.

Positions financed by the three funds, as shown on budget page 1397, are as follows for the last three fiscal years:

	÷			Filled	Authorized	Authorized
Fund			- 1 - j	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56
Unemployment	Administration			3,397.7	3,423.1	3,472.9
Unemployment	Compensation I	Disability.		561.8	596.6	623.2
Department of	Employment Con	ntingent		5.8	3.1	3.1
	and the second			، جيئيس	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total				3,965.3	4,022.8	4,099.2

The only positions which can be clearly identified in the printed budget as being devoted to the disability and hospital benefits program are the following, in the division of disability and hospital benefits:

Administration, budget page 1394		 		14.5
Claims control, budget page 1395		 		36
District offices, budget page 1395			2	326.1
				6
Onice of medical difector, budget page	1000-	 		0.4
Office of medical director, budget page	1396_	 <u></u>	<u> </u>	6

Total

382.6

It is proposed to add three positions in the claims control section and 21 in the district offices, at a total cost of \$77,832, to take care of increased work load.

We recommend approval of these positions.

A saving of \$162,564 is expected to be realized during 1954-55 in the amount as originally budgeted for that year, due in part to the fact that anticipated increases in work load during the current year, due to changes in the law which became effective January 1, 1954, have failed to materialize. A substantial part of the total increase of \$247,713 requested for 1955-56 is due to rebudgeting for this increased work load, the impact of which is now being felt.

Revenues for the Disability Fund, consisting largely of contributions from employees, exceeded expenditures, including benefit payments, during 1953-54, a condition which it is estimated will continue during 1954-55 and 1955-56, resulting in an increase in the fund balance from \$128,708,300, on July 1, 1953, to \$145,161,815 on June 30, 1956, as shown in detail on budget page 1398.

Automobile Replacements

Total	 	 _ \$3,150

We recommend deletion of this amount with an appropriate adjustment of the agency budget by the Department of Finance to permit the payment of mileage for rental of cars from the state pool. We also recommend augmentation of the Purchasing Revolving Fund in an amount sufficient to permit the furnishing of adequate automotive service to the agency from the state pool either on a day-to-day basis or monthly assignment basis.

We are recommending similar adjustments in the budgets of other agencies where addition or replacement passenger vehicles are involved. This is part of an over-all recommendation looking toward the eventual centralized ownership of all state cars in order to achieve the maximum benefits to be derived from broad fleet ownership and control, central maintenance, and extension of pool operations to the extent feasible and economical, as explained in detail in a special section in connection with Section 3 of the Budget Bill.

Department of Employment CONTINGENT FUND

ITEM 424 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 1371 Budget line No. 44

Estimated to be expended in 1954-55 Fiscal Year	37,268
	\$1,275

Employment

	Summar	y of Increase		
an an tha an training an training an training and the second second second second second second second second s Second second	Total increase	INCREASE Work load or salary adjustments	DUE TO New services	Budget Line page No.
Salaries and wages Operating expense	\$1,215 60	\$1,215 60	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1397 & 29 \\ 1397 & 30 \end{array}$
Total increase	\$1,275	\$1,275		1397 33
RECOMMENDATIONS Amount budgeted				\$38,543
Legislative Auditor's rec				38,543
Reduction				None

ANALYSIS

The amount requested is to finance half the total cost of \$46,632 of the Job Development Section (Budget page 1373), consisting of five positions and related travel expenses, plus overhead, and is computed as follows:

Job Development Section, one-half of \$46,632	\$23,316
Division of Administration and Finance, pro rata	12,578
Employment Service, Technical Section, Pro Rata	1,151
Division of Public Employment Offices and Benefit Payments, Pro Rata	1,148
Office of the Director, Pro Rata	250
Benefit Payments Technical Section, Pro Rata	50
Farm Replacement Section, Pro Rata	50
e et sagel de la companya de la comp	
	AAA 240

Total ______ \$38,543

The functions of the Job Development Section are to create new employment opportunities and extend existing ones and to sustain present employment opportunities by preventing the loss, interruptions or reduction of employment demands which now exist. It took over the functions of the former Division of Development and Stabilization of Employment in October, 1954, and is carrying on at the same level of service as provided for in the adjusted budget for the current year.

One-half of the direct cost of \$46,632 of the section is paid out of federal grants through the Unemployment Administration Fund.

The deficiency authorization of \$16,078 for 1954-55 shown on Budget page 1371, line 45, is to provide for an increase of two in the staff as originally budgeted for 1954-55, together with related overhead.

We recommend approval of the budget as submitted.

In the methods of financing the budget included in the introductory section of this analysis, we have recommended that the Department of Employment Contingent Fund be used for General Fund purposes.

If this action is taken by the Legislature, either at the current or any subsequent session, support for the Job Development Section could be financed, either by leaving the required amount in the Department of Employment Contingent Fund, or by financing the \$38,543 from the General Fund in case the entire balance in the contingent fund is transferred.

- 844 -