# **Special Education: Study of Incidence of Disabilities**

# Final Report

Thomas Parrish, Project Director Daniel Kaleba Michael Gerber Margaret McLaughlin

September 30, 1998

Submitted to:
Office of the Legislative Analyst
925 L Street, Suite 1000
Sacramento, CA 95814

#### **California Department of Education**

Special Education Division 515 L Street, Suite 270 Sacramento, CA 95814

#### **California Department of Finance**

915 L Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

# Table of Contents

	List of Tables	
	Acknowledgments	
	Abstract	V
Chapt	ter 1. Introduction	. 1
	Objectives	. 1
	General Approach	. :
	Severity Analysis	. :
	SELPA-Level Interviews	. :
	Analysis of High Cost Students Based on Services Received	. :
	Severity Service Adjustment	. 4
	Defining Severe and/or High Cost Students	. 4
	Summary of Findings	
	Overview of Remainder of Report	. (
Chapt	ter 2. Overview of California Special Education Funding	. 8
	California Master Plan for Special Education	
	California Assembly Bill 602 Funding Formula	
	Legislative Directive	
	Description of AB 602 Funding Formula	
	AB 602 is a Population-Based Funding Approach	
	An Unresolved Issue Regarding AB 602 Funding Systems	
Chant	ter 3. Severity Analysis	13
Спар	Origins of analyses	
	Concepts and Terminology	
	Sources of Variability	
	Statistical Meaning of Variability	
	Testing Statistical Significance of Variability	
	Modeling Severity	
	Low Incidence Category Model	
	The High Cost Student Model	
	Rank Order Differences Across SELPAs	
	Summary	
Chant	ter 4. Factors Associated with Variability and Severe Service Intensities	21
_	Consistency of Classification	

The Role of Ethnicity, Language, and Social Class	24
California Analysis of Incidence of Disabilities	25
Conceptual Framework and Variables	
Data Sources	
Measures	
Analytical Strategy	
Stepwise Regressions	
Subgroup Analysis	
Summary and Conclusions	
Chapter 5. Interviews with SELPA directors	35
Knowledge of Rankings	
Patterns in Incidence	
Cost Factors	38
Reducing Costs	42
Chapter 6. Development and Specification of Service Model	43
Chapter 7. Severity Service Adjustment	51
Steps to Calculate Incidence Multiplier	51
Most Fair, Feasible, and Appropriate	55
References	65
Appendix	

# List of Tables

Table 1-1. California Cost Estimates and Incidence Rates vs. U.S. Average Estimates	5
Table 3-1. Summary of CHI-SQUARE Analyses Testing Significance of Differences of	
Incidence Rates for Severely Disabled Children	19
Table 3-2 . Summary of CHI-SQUARE Analyses Testing Significance of Differences of	
Incidence Rates for Severely Disabled	20
Table 3-3. Summary of RANK ORDER CORRELATION Analyses Testing Differences of SELPA	Ranks
Based Using Different Models of Severe Disability	21
Table 4-1. Variables, measures, and their interpretations	29
Table 4-2. Zero order correlations and probabilities (in italics) for eleven independent and	
two dependent measures	31
Table 5-1. Changes in Autism and Severe Emotional Disturbance Enrollments (Ages 6-21)	
1990/91 - 1995/96	37
Table 5-2. Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Students in California Public Schools,	
in Public Special Education Programs, NPSs, and NPSs/LCIs	40
Table 6-1. Estimated State Average Unit Cost by Placement and Related Service	44
Table 6-2. Sample of Students 1-5 and Unique Service Cost Estimates	47
Table 6-3. Sample of Students 6-8 and Unique Service Cost Estimates	
Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment	57

#### Acknowledgments

The AIR research team would like to thank the following professionals who contributed generously their time and effort to the Study of Incidence of Disabilities and the preparation of this final report:

- ! Project Monitor, Stuart Marshall of the Legislative Analysts Office, Mary Hudler of the California Department of Education, and Jeff Bell of the California Department of Finance.
- ! Advisory Committee members including Edward Del Castillo, Dave Gross, Sarge Kennedy, Jack Lucas, Debra Owens, and Mark Shrager. The Advisory Committee provided guidance and feedback throughout the study to the research team. The Committee was comprised of three SELPA directors, two budget supervisors, and one principal. Some of the members of the Committee were also parents of children with disabilities. Six working meetings were conducted with the advisory committee over the approximate six month duration of the study. Several conference calls were conducted in the final months to review and revise the severity service adjustment analysis. The Advisory Committee members were especially helpful in advising the research team with the specifications of the various extant databases, providing feedback to the service model, and in developing the severity service adjustment.
- ! Interview respondents who took the time to participate in our telephone surveys, including the directors from the following SELPAs:

Downey-Montebello East San Gabriel Valley

East Valley

Elk Grove

Garden Grove

Greater Anaheim

Irvine Unified School District

Kern Union High

Los Angeles Unified School District

Modoc

North Orange County

Oakland

San Diego

San Francisco

South Orange County

Southwest

Whittier

- ! Members of the California Department of Education including Barbara McDonald, Judy Johnson, Deborah Freitag, Larry Huiga, and Gayle Eggleston, who provided us with the data and documentation needed for the study.
- ! Paul Goldfinger for his thoughtful consideration and advice.

Support staff at the American Institutes for Research who were instrumental to the completion of the study and the production of the final report, including Anna Williams, Bob Morris, Irene Lam, Stuart Grooby, Irene Lam, Molly Kiely, Heather Shaw, and Gina Chen.

#### **Abstract**

The Poochigian and Davis Special Education Reform Act of 1997 (Assembly Bill 602, Chapter 854, Statutes of 1997) changed California special education funding from a resource-based to a population-based model. As described in the California Council for Exceptional Children (CEC) newsletter for the Fall of 1997, it is "perhaps the most revolutionary legislative action in the history of California special education" (Kennedy, 1997, p. 20). However, it specified that further study was needed regarding variability in the incidence of disabilities across the state that are significantly above average cost and medically defined and/or severe.

There are two principal objectives to this study. The first is to determine the extent to which severe and/or high cost disabilities are evenly or unevenly distributed among the population of SELPAs. If it is determined that the incidence of these disabilities across SELPAs is uneven and non-random, then the research team is to recommend a method to adjust the AB 602 population-based funding formula accordingly.

The research team conducted four primary analyses to address these objectives. These were the severity analysis, SELPA-level interviews, the analysis of high cost students based on services received, and the development of the severity service adjustment. Also, critical to the successful completion of this study was the work and full participation of the project advisory committee. Members, Edward Del Castillo, Dave Gross, Sarge Kennedy, Jack Lucas, Debra Owens, and Mark Shrager provided guidance and feedback throughout the study.

The AB 602 funding formula is based on the total student enrolment of each SELPA, as opposed to the prior system which was based on funding units linked to the number of children identified for special education. According to the Bill, the new funding method:

"ensures greater equity in funding among SELPAs, avoids unnecessary complexity, requires fiscal and program accountability, and avoids financial incentives to inappropriately place pupils in special education." (AB 602 Davis, p.2).

A potential problem associated with population-based systems, however, is that they appear to be based on the assumption of an equal prevalence of students requiring special education. That is, one possible rationale for having districts or states of the same size receive the same amount of special education funding is the assumption that incidence

rates for students with disabilities are approximately the same across jurisdictions. The purpose of this study is to test this assumption, and if found to be false, to recommend appropriate adjustments to the new AB 602 funding system.

We found that severe and/or high cost students are *not* randomly distributed throughout the state. These findings were consistent and clear, regardless of the definition of severity used. As the basis for adjusting the AB 602 funding formula accordingly, we created a "severity service multiplier" for each SELPA based on the characteristics and services received by special education students residing in their attendance areas. This index indicates SELPAs with responsibilities for disproportionate numbers of severe and/or high cost students. Supplemental funding allowances were calculated for some SELPAs based on their severity service multiplier in relation to the state average, their overall AB 602 average daily attendance (ADA) funded rate, their total ADA count, and other factors as described in Chapter 7.

Based on the analysis presented in this paper, we estimate the cost of fully implementing the severity service multiplier in the first year to be approximately \$57 million. This cost estimate would represent supplemental state special education funding in the first year of about 1.5 percent.

# Chapter 1

#### Introduction

The Poochigian and Davis Special Education Reform Act of 1997 (Assembly Bill 602, Chapter 854, Statutes of 1997) changed California special education funding from a resource-based to a population-based model. The new model distributes funds to special education local plan areas (SELPAs) on a fixed amount per student in average daily attendance (ADA) as opposed to the system determined by the California Master Plan for Special Education, which provided funding based on units of placement. The long term intention is to provide SELPAs with comparable ADAs comparable funds for special education services.

As described in the California Council for Exceptional Children (CEC) newsletter for the Fall of 1997, the passage of AB 602 is "perhaps the most revolutionary legislative action in the history of California special education" (Kennedy, 1997, p. 20). However, this landmark legislation clearly specified that further study is needed in two areas of public policy. The first set of issues, which is the subject of this report, relates to the question of variability in the incidence of disabilities across the state that are significantly above average in cost and medically defined and/or severe. The second set of issues relates to the state's nonpublic schools, and is addressed through a separate study, also conducted by AIR<sup>1</sup>.

# **Objectives**

There are two principal objectives to this study. The first objective is to determine the extent to which severe and/or high cost disabilities are evenly or unevenly distributed among the population of SELPAs. If it is determined that the incidence of these disabilities across SELPAs is uneven and non-random, then the research team is to recommend a method to adjust the AB 602 population-based funding formula accordingly to account for these observed differences.

Specifically, the RFP proposed the following five main questions The chapters that address each question are noted in parentheses:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The NPS report "Special Education: Nonpublic School and Nonpublic Agency Study" was submitted to the California Legislative Analysts Office on September 30, 1998.

- 1. What are your working definitions of medically defined disabilities, significantly above-average in cost disabilities, and severe disabilities? What is your justification for selecting these definitions? How accurate and reliable are your data using working definitions? What are the strengths of your definitions? What are the weaknesses of your definitions? (Chapters 1 and 3)
- 2. What methodology did you use to analyze the data? (Chapters 3-7 and Appendix)
- 3. Was the variation in the incidence statistically significant? If so, are there other factors that mitigate the financial impact of any variation? Is the variation significant from a public policy perspective? To what extent are the identically categorized pupils identically labeled and served from SELPA to SELPA? To what extent does the reported incidence of disabilities data equate with the true incidence of disabilities? To what extent is the reported incidence of disabilities data reliable for use in an adjustment factor? (Chapter 3)
- 4. If an adjustment is called for, what do you propose as the most fair, feasible, and appropriate method to adjust California's population-based formula taking into consideration the need to avoid creating financial incentives or disincentives to inappropriately identify, place, and/or serve pupils? Justify selection of annual or one-time adjustments. (Chapter 7)
- 5. Is there another external measure which correlates with your findings that could be used as a proxy measure (for example, a measure not tied to LEA reporting) to identify pupils with the "disabilities under study." For example, the federal government plans to incorporate a poverty measure into its distribution formula. Discuss all of these alternatives that you considered, your justification for recommending a particular proxy measure, and your rationale for rejecting each alternative or all of the potential proxy measures. (Chapter 4)

This report presents the findings from the AB 602 legislative mandate to "study, analyze, and report on data that would indicate the extent to which the incidence of disabilities, that are medically defined or severe and significantly above-average in cost, or both, are evenly or unevenly distributed among the population of special education local plan areas" (AB 602, Sec. 67, a).

# **General Approach**

The research team conducted four primary analyses to address the objectives of the study. These were the severity analysis, SELPA-level interviews, the analysis of high cost students based on services received, and the development of the severity service adjustment.

#### Severity Analysis

In this analysis, we approached the issue of variability by constructing and testing different models of severity. We began with a simple model using the six low incidence categories specified as "severe" by AB 602. In a second model, we added autism to the AB 602 definitions. Using these operational definitions, statistical tests were conducted to determine whether differences in the incidences of severe disabilities were greater than expected by chance. Chapter 3 provides a detailed description of this analysis.

#### SELPA-Level Interviews

Telephone interviews were conducted with 17 SELPA directors to gain an understanding of the factors underlying observed variability in overall special education identification rates, the proportion of students with low incidence and/or severe disabilities, and the factors contributing to high service costs for these students. Eight SELPAs were selected based on their rankings within the state on the proportion of students with low incidence of disabilities, and six others were selected based on their proportion of "high cost" students (as defined through the analysis described below). Three SELPAs were selected to examine why their overall special education identification rates were low in relation to their percentage of high cost students. Chapter 5 presents these SELPA directors' perspectives on varying incidence rates of high cost and/or severe students in SELPAs, why the incidence and cost of certain disabilities are increasing, and why students with similar disabilities sometimes receive different levels of service across the state, with intensity of service serving as a proxy measure for severity.

#### Analysis of High Cost Students Based on Services Received

The purpose of this analysis is to develop a uniform set of procedures for measuring variations in services received by students across the state. With help from the Advisory Committee, the research team constructed a model that compared the placement and related services of students to the special education personnel providing these services. This analysis is based primarily on data from the California

Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) and the Special Education Personnel Data Report. Chapter 6 and the Appendix describe the methodology and approach of this analysis in detail.

#### Severity Service Adjustment

This approach builds on the former three analyses to develop a severity service adjustment for SELPAs serving disproportionate numbers of high cost students. The simulation model determines a severity service multiplier for each SELPA and applies it to the AB 602 funded state average base rate. This value is then compared to the SELPA's current base rate to determine whether they qualify for supplemental severity funding. Chapter 7 describes in detail the specifics of the severity service adjustment.

#### Defining Severe and/or High Cost Students

A major challenge confronting this study is the relative ambiguity of the alternative criteria that could be used to operationalize concepts related to "severe and high cost" disabilities. For example, one criterion that some argue can be used to distinguish between severe and non-severe categories is medically versus non-medically related disabilities. However, *all* of the federally funded defined categories of disability have a *medical* definition. Further, the special education community recognizes severity as a *dimension* of disability, rather than a characteristic that is unambiguously tied to *specific* categories of disability. Prior research has shown the difficulty in attempting to draw strict relationships between special education cost and disability category. As an example, in reporting average costs by disability, Hartman (1983) found the degree of cost variability *within* each of these categories of disability to be much greater than the averages *across* categories. Some categories of disability that may not generally be considered severe, may for individual cases and sometimes on average, prove to be high cost.

The ambiguity of severity as a dimension of disability is formally accommodated in federal mandates (i.e., the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act), and conforming state legislation by specific processes and procedures that require individualized assessment and programming for students identified as disabled. This suggests that variability arises not from some random distribution of disabilities across live births, but rather from a host of non-randomly distributed environmental factors both within and external to schools.

A critical first step for this study was to derive working definitions for identifying "severe and/or high cost" special education students. Initially, we pursued analyses using separate "severe" and "high cost" definitions. In the final approach, which

serves as the basis for our funding recommendations, we combine the two concepts to derive a severity service adjustment for each SELPA.

# **Summary of Findings**

We found that severe and/or high cost students are not randomly distributed throughout the state. These findings were consistent and clear, regardless of the definition of severity used (i.e., including varying combinations of disability conditions within the severe classification), or variations observed in the number of high cost students based on primary disability condition and services received (see Table 3-1, Chapter 3).

It was determined that counts of severe and/or high cost students could not be based on categories of disability alone due to the ambiguity of severity categories. As an example of the ambiguity of these categories, California's incidence by disability differs substantially from that found across the nation despite relatively clear federal definitions of these disability categories (see Table 1-1).

**Table 1-1. California Cost Estimates and Incidence Rates vs. U.S. Average Estimates** 

		Cost Estimates l	y Disability	Percent SE by Disability	
Disability		Severe Service Model Averages	National Estimates (Moore et al.)*	California	Nation
Severe	MR	\$11,164	\$8,393	5.7%	11.6%
	HH	\$13,128	\$9,530	1.0%	1.3%
	DEAF	\$20,575	**	0.6%	**
	VI	\$19,252	\$8,982	0.7%	0.5%
	DB	\$32,323	\$33,544	<0.1%	0.0%
	OI	\$17,384	\$9,225	2.2%	1.2%
	MH	\$21,442	\$12,844	1.1%	1.8%
	AUT	\$18,037	\$13,902	1.0%	0.5%
	TBI	\$15,141	\$33,500	0.1%	0.2%
Non-severe	SLD	\$5,574	\$4,865	55.7%	51.4%
	SLI	\$2,659	\$3,286	26.3%	20.6%
	SED	\$17,579	\$8,251	3.1%	8.6%
	OHI	\$7,510	\$9,751	<0.01%	2.2%

- \*data from 1985-86 adjusted to 1996-97 dollars
- \*\*Federal data combine the disability category of "deaf" with "hard of hearing"

While placing larger percentages of our special education students in the more generic disability categories of speech and learning disabled, California identified mentally retarded students at nearly half the national rate and severely emotionally disturbed at much less than half the national rate. As shown in Table 1-1, the findings from our analysis as well as from national cost studies, also show that high cost students do not line up well with the "severe disabilities" currently specified in AB 602.

Using the methods and definitions described below, we have created a severity service multiplier for each SELPA in the state based on the characteristics and services received by the special education students residing in their attendance areas. This allows us to identify SELPAs with responsibilities for disproportionate numbers of severe and/or high cost students. We recommend a supplemental funding allowance for SELPAs based on their severity service multiplier in relation to the state average, their overall AB 602 average daily attendance (ADA) funded rate, their total ADA count, and other factors as described in Chapter 7.

This multiplier could also assist the state to address concerns about greater uniformity in the identification of students for special education and the services received. As this approach clearly identifies SELPAs showing disproportionate numbers of severe and/or high cost students, in addition to providing supplemental support, the state may wish to target some of these SELPAs for greater scrutiny regarding local procedures for special education identification and assignment of services.

Based on the analysis presented in this paper, we estimate the cost of fully implementing the severity service multiplier in the first year to be approximately \$57 million. Based on the overall cost estimate we derive for special education in California, this represents supplemental funding in the first year of about 1.5 percent.

# Overview of Remainder of Report

This report is organized around the four types of analyses used to address the five main questions from the state's descriptions of work for this study. Chapter 2 provides an overview of California special education funding, including the Master Plan for Special Education and Assembly Bill 602. Chapter 3 addresses the severity analysis and investigates whether the incidence of severe and/or high cost disabilities is randomly distributed across SELPAs. Chapter 4 analyzes the factors associated with variability and severe service intensities of severe and/or high cost students across SELPAs. Chapter 5 presents information from the interviews with SELPA directors. Chapter 6 describes the development and specification of the special education service model and

analyzes high cost students based on services received. Chapter 7 provides information on the severity service adjustment. The Appendix provides further detail regarding the specifications of the severity analysis, service model approach, and the severity service adjustment.

#### Chapter 2

# **Overview of California Special Education Funding**

# California Master Plan for Special Education

The California Master Plan for Special Education (MP) was first proposed in 1974 as a method of unifying the diverse funds supporting the variety of special education programs found throughout the state. Prior to the MP, special education was funded primarily on an amount per child basis that was dependent upon disabling condition. Local districts and county boards had the option of levying taxes to supplement state funding. The MP replaced the previous system by changing the unit of analysis from disabling condition of student to an entitlement, or resource-based, model driven by placement units. The amount of funding districts received was primarily determined by the number of special day class (SDC), resource program (RSP), and designated instructional service (DIS) units of service provided.

Although the funding component of the MP was reasonable in its design as a cost-based unit funding system, over time it was seen as unduly complex and vastly inequitable. Perhaps the most notable criticism to the MP was its reliance on 1979-80 as a base year, which created large variations in unit rates across SELPAs. Major inequities within the MP arose from the fact that it reflected many of the idiosyncrasies of each district's reporting conventions during that year and that the formula was not well designed to reflect the many changes that occurred over time.

The MP was originally intended to provide funding for a sufficient number of funding units to serve 10 percent of the pupil population in special education programs across the state. After implementation, however, the MP proved much more costly than anticipated. As a result, expansion in the number of units districts were eligible to receive varied from year to year and was substantially curtailed in many years.

The complexity of the MP was an additional criticism. Critics objected to the time, effort, and expertise required to complete the entitlement forms, called the J-50. The instruction forms alone, prepared by the Education Finance Division, for completing the 1997-98 J-50 are 25 pages, single spaced. The electronic file itself includes 5450 lines of code, and more than 1000 input variables. A cottage industry has even emerged in the field to advise districts how to maximize their special education funding returns with the J-50. The authors of AB 602 even went as far to claim that "the process-intensive J-50 claim system

that drains local resources away from providing services to completing numerous, lengthy reports in order to secure state funding for special education" should be eliminated (Davis AB 602, p.9). Moreover, critics charged the MP and J-50 reporting system restricted local decision making and frustrated innovation, as well as include potentially inappropriate financial incentives.

Such complexities finally influenced the California Legislature to seriously consider a change in the MP special education funding model. In the fall of 1994, the Legislature commissioned the California Department of Education (CDE), the Department of Finance (CDF), and the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) to propose a new funding model. This report was an important precursor to the eventual passage of AB 602, which dramatically altered California's approach to funding special education.

# California Assembly Bill 602 Funding Formula

#### Legislative Directive

The Poochigian and Davis Special Education Reform Act of 1997 established a new method for financing special education that is based on the total student enrolment of each SELPA. According to the Bill, it was the intent of the Legislature that the new funding method:

"ensures greater equity in funding among SELPAs, avoids unnecessary complexity, requires fiscal and program accountability, and avoids financial incentives to inappropriately place pupils in special education . . . The bill would also declare the intent of the Legislature to equalize funding among SELPAs" (AB 602 Davis, p.2).

#### Description of AB 602 Funding Formula

The AB 602 formula is a radical departure from the prior funding model and has significant potential for affecting special education services in California. As described in the CEC newsletter, it "not only affects funding for special education services in California, it also has the potential to dramatically alter the manner in which special education services are planned and delivered" (Kennedy, 1997, p.20).

The most fundamental difference with this new approach is that the basis for the system is the total number of students enrolled in SELPAs rather than the number of special education students being served. Another important aspect of the AB 602 formula is that while it protects SELPAs against any reduction in current funding

levels, it contains provisions designed to bring greater equalization in special education funding across the state over time. A third important element of this new formula is how students served in nonpublic schools (NPS) are treated for funding purposes.

Over time, the AB 602 provisions will provide comparable special education funds to districts with comparable ADAs. Initially, SELPAs have been assigned an amount equal to the funds received in the 1997-98 fiscal year from specified state, local, and federal revenues. This value is represented as a specified dollar amount per ADA. These dollars are multiplied by the SELPA's ADA to determine the total amount of special education funding to be received by a SELPA.

Equalization is an important component of AB 602. Once each SELPA's rate per ADA is determined, a statewide average can be calculated. Those SELPAs whose rates per ADA are below the statewide average qualify for an adjustment to bring up their rates commensurate with the statewide average, as adjusted for inflation. For SELPAs whose rates per ADA fall above the statewide average, future growth revenues for the SELPA will be calculated from the statewide average, gradually closing the gap between their rates per ADA and the statewide average.

These new funding provisions contain several significant elements regarding this potential to affect children's services. Virtually all of the fiscal incentives for particular placements that are associated with the old formula disappear under AB 602. For the most part, the amount of funding received under the new provisions remains the same regardless of how many special education children are identified or how or where they are served. This means local education agencies and SELPAs will be afforded a great deal more flexibility from a fiscal perspective in relation to special education placements. For the most part this includes incentives under the old formula for placing students in nonpublic schools (NPSs). This may result in NPS students returning to public placements over time.

The one remaining exception to the removal of these placement incentives pertains to students in licensed children's institutions (LCIs). The state continues to reimburse 100 percent of NPS costs for LCI students, which not only continues, but exacerbates a considerable fiscal incentive in favor NPS placements for LCI students. This issue is currently being reviewed through a separate study being overseen by the state office of the Legislative Analyst of the Departments of Education and Finance.

# AB 602 is a Population-Based Funding Approach

One of the predominant themes in national special education finance reform over the past 5 to 10 years has been population-based funding. It is the fiscal approach adopted under the recently reauthorized federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), and has been adopted in various forms by Vermont, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Montana, North Dakota, and now California. New York is currently considering a similar reform proposal.

The rationale given for adopting such systems is that traditional funding mechanisms sometimes provide incentives for identifying more students, for assigning them to higher reimbursement categories of disability, or to higher cost placements. Population-based models are a way to reduce these incentives and to increase flexibility from a fiscal perspective. It is argued that they facilitate the placement of special education students in programs most appropriate to their needs. Population-based models are less complex than many existing formulas and are easier to understand.

# An Unresolved Issue Regarding AB 602 Funding Systems

A potential problem associated with population-based systems, without some form of adjustment for variations in student need, is that they appear to be based on the assumption of an equal prevalence of students requiring special education. That is, one possible rationale for having districts or states of the same size receive the same amount of special education funding is the assumption that incidence rates for students with disabilities are approximately the same across jurisdictions. In contrast, traditional special education funding systems are based on virtually the opposite notion. Because some districts and states enroll larger percentages of special education students than others, or because they have a higher incidence of severe students, these SELPAs are seen to have a greater need for special education services and accordingly face higher special education costs. Thus, it is reasoned, some districts should receive larger allocations of special education aid than others.

A middle position is that while the need for special education services may vary across districts we lack the tools to accurately measure these differences. It is increasingly being argued that because of the subjectivity involved local identification rates and placement patterns are not good proxy measures of a districts' true need for services. A census-based approach results from this void. In California, however, concerns about the failure of this approach to adequately address variations in need for severe and high cost students led to this study.

The question of whether the true need for special education services, especially high cost services, is relatively evenly distributed across service areas is central to this study. As described in the request for this study, the bill (SB 1678) that resulted from the report "New Funding Model for Special Education" authored by Legislative Analyst's Office, Department of Education, and Department of Finance (also known as the "Three Agency Report", November 1995) was withdrawn, at least partly, due to concerns about "the assumed equal distribution of disabilities among SELPAs." Later, during the ultimate passage of AB 602, this question once again became a key issue. The commitment by the state to conduct this study is the basis upon which this issue was temporarily resolved.

# Chapter 3

#### **Severity Analysis**

Is the observed variability of incidence of students with severe disabilities across California greater than might be expected by chance alone and, if so, is this variation significant from a policy perspective? These are the questions addressed by the analyses in this chapter. Several important premises appear to underlie these questions. A first premise is that observed rates of *identification* and *service* of students in special education may differ significantly from some true *incidence* of disability. Second, they appear to assume that *severity* of disability, if known precisely, is related in some systematic way to *cost* of disability (i.e., the general level of resources needed to provide appropriate educational programs). Further, they suggest that disabilities considered "severe" are subject to less error in identification -- i.e., rates of identification are equal to *true* incidence rates -- and that, once identified, students with severe disabilities will require educational programs of somewhat similar cost. The initial problem with creating a straigtforward analysis to answer these questions arises from the fact that all of these assumptions are untested.

This chapter will first discuss the concepts and terminology used to describe variability of incidence, present possible sources of variability, and then offer statistical analyses of the variability of incidence for both severe and high cost students.

# **Origins of Analyses**

The overarching aim of our analyses was guided first by the language used in recent legislation. This legislation required that a study "gather, analyze, and report on data that would indicate the extent to which the incidence of disabilities, that are medically defined or severe and significantly above-average in cost, or both, are evenly or unevenly distributed among the population of special education local plan areas (Chapter 854, emphasis added)." In the scope of this study, as issued by the state, an attempt was made to clarify the critical language related to a precise definition of the group of "disabilities under study (DUS)." The DUS group was defined as "(1) significantly above-average in cost and either medically defined disabilities or severe disabilities, or (2) disabilities that are significantly above-average in cost and both medically defined and severe (emphasis added)."

#### Concepts and Terminology

The rewriting of this language did little to disentangle the naturally overlapping concepts and constructs used to describe variability of incidence. The RFP of this study employed language that was somewhat different from the legislation to describe what it called "disabilities under study," or DUS. Use of the phrase "significantly above average" implies a statistical component to the definition of the DUS, but to a non-statistician, the term "significantly" is imprecise. That is, "significantly" could be interpreted as large or great from the perspective of a lay person. General understanding regarding the meaning of "significant" will vary. Rather, use of the term within a technical policy and fiscal context implies a variation that is larger than reasonable expectation. "Reasonable expectation" does have a precise statistical definition. The average, plus or minus small random variations, is the precise meaning of reasonable expectation in this case. "Significantly above average," in the context of this study, is interpreted to mean a discrepancy from the average that is larger than can be accounted for by chance alone. A second concern is the inclusion of both medical and educational defined DUS. The two criteria for "significantly," educational and medical, do not necessarily reduce the DUS to the lowest incidence disabilities nor do they guarantee an increase in the precision of identification (i.e., the trustworthiness of identification and service rates).

For example, all of the federally defined categories of disability eligible for special education have medically oriented definitions that use language and recommend identification procedures that either are the same as or closely parallel the language and procedures used in special education professional practice. These disability categories often have formal academic definitions as well as operational educational definitions. It is true that many low incidence disabilities are construed as more "severe" by some professionals and by the public at large even though no agreement exists about a natural gradation of categories of disability in terms of severity. Instead, the special education and other professional communities recognize "severity" as a dimension of disability that is independent of category.

Similarly, it is known that cost per student, taken as an index of severity, varies by category of disability and that some categories of disability tend towards higher per capita costs than others (Chaikund, Danielson, & Brauen, 1993). Nevertheless, it is equally clear that individual cases can and do arise that contradict any attempt to draw a strict relationship between category and cost. That is, individual students with, say, learning disabilities, may require services that are more costly than services required by some students with multiple handicaps.

The confusion of category and severity of disability, as well as the ambiguous relationship between severity and cost, are formally acknowledged and

accommodated in federal law (i.e., IDEA) and conforming state legislation. That category, severity, and cost are not strictly correlated and may vary for unspecified reasons is implicit in requirements for individualized identification, assessment and programming processes and procedures when school personnel suspect a student has a disability. The phenomena of variability of both inter and intra-state rates of identification is well documented and has been extensively debated (e.g., Algozzine & Korinek, 1985; Algozzine & Ysseldyke, 1983; Algozzine, Ysseldyke, & Christensen, 1983; Gelb & Miszokawa, 1986; Gerber, 1984; Gerber & Levine-Donnerstein, 1989; Hallahan, Keller, & Ball, 1987; Keller, Ball, & Hallahan, 1987; Nelson, 1982; Noel & Fuller, 1985; Singer, Butler, Palfrey, & Walker, 1986; Singer, Palfrey, Butler, & Walker, 1989).

#### Sources of Variability

One early interpretation of observed variability in identification rates, particularly for high incidence categories of disability, was that it reflected local variations in how school personnel understood and implemented identification criteria (e.g., see *Sixth Annual Report to Congress*, 1984). Under this interpretation, it was assumed that sufficient expert knowledge exists to unambiguously define and provide operational procedures for accurately identifying students with disabilities. These arguments basically held that observed variability in identification tended to reflect variations in local practices due to inadequate training, incompetence, or other idiosyncratic differences in personnel and management of special education.

This interpretation has been difficult to sustain over time. Although decreasing variability in identification rates within most categories of disability is found over time across states (Gerber & Levine-Donnerstein, 1989), large variations still persist within and across categories of disability. This variability persists despite the fact that schools now have over 20 years of experience with national, state, and local implementation of special education policy. Therefore, it is increasingly unlikely that continuing variability observed across such large units of analysis as states indicates systematic differences in adequacy of training or competence of school personnel. Rather, more likely is the fact that, by law, eligibility for special education does not and cannot rely solely on certification that a student qualifies by definition for a particular category of disability. Meeting strict definitional requirements for a category of disability is necessary but not sufficient basis for entitlement to special education and related services. In addition, assessment data must lead local school personnel to conclude that the presence of a disability has a significant educational consequence. How and why school personnel arrive at this conclusion provides an additional and legitimate source of variability in rates of identification.

Furthermore, in reaching conclusions about the educational consequences of disabilities, school personnel can and do consider the perceived adequacy of local resources when responding to educational problems. For example, a school that has never before enrolled a student with, say, multiple physical disabilities, is altogether less prepared to respond to such a student compared to a school that has previous similar experience. Therefore, extant resources -- in this case, the experience embodied in school personnel -- operate as a kind of lens through which disability and educational need are viewed by school personnel. It can be seen in this sense that "severity" refers to both the characteristics of a category of disability as well as the available resources or the cost of developing new resources. This suggests that variability possibly arises not from a random distribution of categories of disabilities across live births, but rather from a host of non-randomly distributed environmental factors both within and external to schools. In this regard, variability may represent significant competence on the part of school personnel in determining what array of locally available resources are likely to be satisfactory for achieving meaningful educational goals for specific students.

#### Statistical Meaning of Variability

The statistical construct typically used to indicate variability in the sense of dispersion around a mean is the *variance* or, when expressed in standard units, a *standard deviation*. It has a concise mathematical definition that indicates how large the observed differences are between each observation and the average of all observations. In examining variability of incidence rates, we are examining differences in what proportion of students are identified as disabled by different entities (e.g., school, district, SELPA, state, or nation).

While we may speak of identification or incidence rates for larger entities, such as a SELPA or a state, simply aggregating numbers from individual school districts can be misleading. Actual identification decision processes occur, as they should, at the lower levels of aggregation -- school and district. Few if any SELPAs have the power, means, or desire to enforce decision making criteria for all teachers within schools so that exactly the same students would receive exactly the same services regardless of location. This means, however, that incidence rates expressed at the SELPA or state levels represent only an arithmetic aggregation of many discrete local decisions. These local decisions are made in response to *objective characteristics* of students, local variations in *capacity* to provide relevant instructional or support resources, and *preferences* for some rather than other configurations of resource allocation for special education. Therefore, expressing variability of incidence rates across

California's 115 normal<sup>2</sup> SELPAs disguises what might be substantial variability in real decision-making conditions and outcomes across school districts *within* each SELPA.

#### **Testing Statistical Significance of Variability**

Interpreting the problem specified in the RFP of "even" or "uneven" distribution as a statistical problem, we framed our analysis as follows: Given that each SELPA operates independently to identify students, do we observe variations in incidence rates across the SELPAs that are greater than would be expected by chance alone? For example, statewide incidence for the six categories we used in our Low Incidence Category Model (see Table 3-1) is .47%. If only random factors related to, say, place of birth and residence, influenced this rate for each SELPA, we would expect to observe variations by SELPA of only plus or minus a few hundredths of a percent.

A suitable and appropriate statistical test exists to determine how likely it is that the observed variation in proportions identified under different definitions of severity has occurred by chance alone. This test is called a chi-square test. Essentially, as the difference between each SELPA's incidence rate and the state average becomes larger, the test statistic (chi-square) indicates an increasingly small likelihood that these differences have occurred by chance.

#### **Modeling Severity**

In our analyses, we first approached the issue of variability of incidence of severity by constructing and testing two different explicit models of "severity." In the first phase of analysis, we grouped low incidence disability categories, as a first approximation to describing a population with "severe" disabilities. In the second phase, we developed an approach of standardizing the resources allocated by schools to individual students for the purpose of identifying and comparing the incidence levels of "high cost" students across SELPAs.

#### Low Incidence Category Model

Using data collected by the state, we began with a simple model of severity using six categories of disability -- hard-of-hearing, deaf, deaf-blind, visually impaired, orthopedically impaired, and multiply handicapped. We calculated these disabilities as a proportion of total enrollment as an estimate of incidence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This number excludes four atypical SELPAs that operate much differently with substantially different types of enrollments, such as LA Juvenile Court Schools and California special schools.

As a group, these disabilities have been treated as "low incidence" disabilities by the state, and by definition consist of sensory and physical deficiencies that can be characterized by precise medically-oriented measurements (e.g., degree of auditory and visual acuity, range of motion, tonicity, gross developmental milestones). These disabilities are known to occur at low rates in the population and they appeal, we suspect, to the lay person's notion that they are somehow more readily, less ambiguously identified across regions and personnel than other categories of disability. In a second model, we added autism, which is considered by many to be a "severe" disability. Together, these comprised our two models of low incidence categories of severity.

We then applied the chi-square test of equal proportions to data for the 1996-97 school year for 115 *SELPAs of residence* (excluding LA court and state run schools). We further adjusted our incidence estimate by removing nonpublic school students residing in licensed children institutions (LCI). These students are removed from the analysis because it is known that their residential placements are non-random and current funding formula for these students reimburses SELPAs 100 percent of the cost for their placements.<sup>3</sup>

The analysis yielded test statistics that clearly demonstrate that variation is far greater than could be expected by chance differences alone in SELPA incidence rates using our definition of Low Incidence Categories, Low Incidence Categories Plus Autism, or, in fact, *any combination of categorical proxies for severity* (see Table 3-1). More precisely, there is far less than a one-in-a-thousand probability that differences in variation as large as we observe in the 1996-97 data would occur by chance alone. There is no reasonable doubt, therefore, that the SELPAs do vary in the incidence of children with severe disabilities residing within their boundaries, and that we cannot account for these variations by random influences alone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a description of the methodology used to adjust for NPS/LCI students, see Appendix A-8.

Table 3-1. Summary of CHI-SQUARE Analyses Testing Significance of Differences of Incidence Rates for Severely Disabled Children (  $N=115\ SELPAs$  of Residence)  $^1$ 

Model of Severity	Total N	Mean% ADA	SD	$\chi^2$
Low Incidence Only <sup>2</sup>	29,094	.47%	.16%	3,112*
Low Incidence + Autism	33,820	.54%	.17%	4,064*

Excludes LA court and state schools

- Includes Hard of Hearing, Deaf, Deaf-Blind, Visually Impaired, Multiply Handicapped, Orthopedically Impaired
- \* For samples of this size (df=114), chi-square test statistics > 166 have probabilities less than .001

As can be seen in Table 3-1, the statewide average proportion of all students identified in 1997 in six or seven (including autism) low incidence categories, respectively, equaled .47% and .54%. If variations across the 115 typical SELPAs were due to random factors alone, observed incidence rates for these two models of severity would vary only by hundredths of a percentage point. In fact, however, the actual incidence rates range from .12% to 1.37%. Thus, the SELPA with the largest proportion of low incidence students residing within its boundaries has an incidence rate that is *more than ten times* higher than the rate of the SELPA with the smallest proportion of its students in low incidence categories. The magnitude of this difference is 140 versus 12 out of every 10,000 students.

#### The High Cost Student Model

Because the services that students with disabilities receive is a proxy measure of the perceived severity of educational needs, we created a second set of models of severity related to differential allocations of resources. In these models (described in the following chapters), we used state data from California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS), California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS), other sources and considerable input from the Advisory Committee to estimate a standard dollar value of the actual resource allocations schools had made for each of over 600,000 special education students in the state. These estimates allowed us to determine the state average value of educational resources allocated to students with disabilities and when these allocations might be perceived as substantially (e.g., equal to or greater than one standard deviation) above average for typical students in California. From these data, we could then characterize

SELPAs according to the proportion of their students (using total average daily attendance (ADA) as the base) with disabilities that were "high cost." The SELPA with the lowest incidence of severity, by this definition, had .13% of the students in its area of enrollment as "high cost", while the SELPA of highest incidence of "high cost" severity had 2.46% high cost students residing within its boundaries. Again, the highest and lowest SELPA differed by a factor greater than ten. When subjected to the same analysis described above, the results were substantially the same -- far greater (p < .001) variability than could reasonably be expected by chance variations alone (See Table 3-2).

Table 3-2 . Summary of CHI-SQUARE Analyses Testing Significance of Differences of Incidence Rates for Severely Disabled ( N = 115 SELPAs of Residence)  $^1$ 

Model of Severity	Total N	Mean %	SD	$\chi^2$	
High Cost (%ADA)	66,304	1.12%	.39%	7,263*	

Excludes LA court and state schools

#### Rank Order Differences Across SELPAs

Given that variability in severity incidence exceeded chance for both classes of models, our next task was to analyze whether category and cost models would identify the *same* SELPAs as more or less impacted by severe disabilities. The way we posed this problem was as follows. If we rank SELPAs according to the proportion of students with severe disabilities, using two different ranking criteria -- one based on category and one based on the percentage of high cost students -- will we produce the same rank order? That is, using rank as an index of relative impact on a SELPA of severity incidence, will a SELPA's rank based on proportion of high cost students predict its rank based on proportion of low incidence category students? If so, the factors contributing to having higher cost students are related to the factors contributing to having students in certain low incidence categories (see Table 3-3).

<sup>\*</sup> For samples of this size (df=114), chi-square test statistics > 166 have probabilities less than .001

Table 3-3. Summary of RANK ORDER CORRELATION Analyses Testing Differences of SELPA Ranks Based Using Different Models of Severe Disability (N = 115 SELPAs of Residence)<sup>1</sup>

	% high cost	% low incidence	
% low incidence	0.65*		
% low incidence (+ autistic)	0.71*	0.96*	

<sup>\*</sup> For correlations .32 or greater, p < .001.

As can be seen in Table 3-3, SELPA rank based on percentage of six low incidence categories of disability is at least moderately related (r = .65) to rank based on percentage of special education students who are high cost. This correlation means that about half of the observed variability in rank position based on cost can be accounted for by rank position based on low incidence categories of disability. This relationship is stronger still (r = .71) if we include autism as a seventh category of low incidence disability, suggesting that incidence of autistic students adds measurably to the percentage of higher cost special education students in a SELPA. This finding re-emphasizes the interpretation that important factors other than low incidence categories of disability operate in SELPAs to produce higher cost special education students. The correlation in Table 3-3 means that about 65% (71% with autism included), or about two-thirds, of the variability in category rankings can be accounted for by the cost rankings for each SELPA. This means that about one-third of the variability is accounted for by some other variable or variables not represented by rates of identification of low incidence disabilities. The conclusion that must be drawn from this analysis is that the differential identification of certain low incidence categories of disability only partially accounts for the above average impact of high cost special education students experienced by California SELPAs.

# Summary

Our analyses show that however we define incidence of severity -- either on the basis of low incidence categories of disability or measures of above average cost *independent* of category -- the observed variability across California's 115 SELPAs is much greater than would be expected by chance alone. Moreover, SELPA rank based on the proportion of low incidence category students in residence is only partially related to rank based on the proportion of high cost students in residence. In fact, in almost a fifth of all cases, a

Excludes LA court and state schools

SELPA's rank position changes radically when shifting from one criterion to the other. Therefore, whatever causes some California SELPAs to have a large proportion of students with low incidence disabilities does not forecast demand for larger levels of resources for some students, nor does the presence of many high cost students necessarily indicate identification of low incidence categories of disability. Different factors determine the distribution of high cost and low incidence categories of students across the state's Special Education Local Planning Areas.

# Chapter 4

#### Factors Associated with Variability and Severe Service Intensities

There has been sustained debate about the underlying explanation for observed variability in identification or service rates (i.e., incidence estimators) since the early 1980s, particularly with respect to differences among the states and especially with regard to identification of high incidence disabilities. As cited in the *Sixth Annual Report to Congress* on progress in implementing (then) P. L. 94-142 (now IDEA), a report from the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) conjectured that observed variability was due to a) inadequate training of those identifying students, b) incompetent performance by these personnel, or c) idiosyncratic disregard for criteria and standards for identification. In fact, one often repeated speculation about the source of observed variability in incidence estimators (i.e. the proportion of enrollment identified for special education services) has been that it is due to systematic *errors* made by those who make referrals, conduct assessments, or determine eligibility. The implication, therefore, is that absent these errors, the numbers of students identified would begin to approach their true incidence in the population.

# **Consistency of Classification**

There is very little empirical data, however, to support the argument that simple and easily "correctable" variations in local staff, or more specifically variations in their training, ethics, or competence, can account for much or most of the observed variations in incidence. Singer and her colleagues (Singer, Palfrey, Butler, & Walker, 1989) intensively studied data for a stratified random sample of 829 special education students representing six categories of disabilities from five metropolitan school districts. Represented in her sample were students with speech impairments, learning disabilities, emotional disturbance, mental retardation, hearing impairment, and physical, multiple handicaps. The percentage of student enrollment identified for special education in these five districts varied from 7.6% to 13.4%. Variability was observed not only for higher incidence, medically ambiguous, and less severe disabilities. Identification rates for hearing impaired, visually impaired, and physically, multiply handicapped students varied as well from .9% to 2.6%, .1% to .8%, and .9% to 4.1%, respectively.

Singer and her colleagues looked first at the similarities and differences of the student profiles identified in the same categories but in different school districts. Researchers

tested for statistically significant (reliable) differences between school districts for each of seven functional characteristics for each of six disability categories. The seven functional characteristics examined speaking level, academic ability, social skills, psychological well-being, everyday knowledge, hearing, and daily living skills. Very few (i.e., 12) differences proved to be statistically significant. Somewhat surprisingly, districts differed more in the characteristics of students they identified as hearing impaired and physically, multiply handicapped, than in characteristics of students labeled speech impaired or learning disabled.

These data support a more complex explanation for observed variations in incidence than school personnel being poorly trained, unethical, or incompetent. Overall, students with a given classification in one district are not meaningfully different in a range of functional characteristics from students with the same categorical classification in another district. On the other hand, districts are less than perfectly consistent in their classification of students with highly similar characteristics, even for categories of disability that could be considered both low incidence and medically defined.

#### The Role of Ethnicity, Language, and Social Class

Several early studies of national data implicated differences in social class, particularly racial and ethnic proportions in the underlying population of different states, as a major influence on identification processes. These researchers suggested that ethnic bias was at least a partial explanation for longstanding observed variations in incidence for some disabilities, especially where clinical impression weighed as heavily as objective measurement in identification decisions (e.g., LD).

Noel and Fuller (1985) hypothesized that an array of social as well as fiscal variables might explain variations in identification of disabled, especially learning disabled, students across the states. Their model succeeded in accounting for about 69% of observed national variability of total disabilities. In general, states with lower levels of supplemental state and federal aid identified disabled students at higher rates. The percentage of minority students was negatively related to the identification of disability as well. Measures of poverty and urbanicity (i.e. % of rural population) did not significantly relate to overall rates of incidence.

Gelb and Mizakawa (1986) conducted a similar national study with states as the unit of analysis. None of their ethic, income, resource, or social deviance measures correlated significantly with percentage of students with hearing, visual, or orthopedic impairments or the percentage of students with multiple handicapping conditions. In a regression analysis, these authors did show that percentage of ethnic minorities at risk (i.e., African-Americans and Hispanics), along with composite measures representing social deviance,

poverty, and income, did account for 72% of observed state variability in the identification of its students with educable mental retardation(EMR). These measures tended to have a different sign depending on the minority group in question. For example, higher proportions of African-American students in the population predicted higher EMR identification rates while higher proportions of Hispanic students predicted lower rates.

In a more sophisticated analysis, Nelson (1982) examined the simultaneous determination of total expenditures per pupil, overall prevalence rates for special education students, and expenditures for students with disabilities for 147 school districts in the state of Wisconsin. All fiscal measures related to per pupil expenditure level (+), special education per pupil expenditure (-), district wealth (-), Title I (i.e., Chapter 1) aid (-) and categorical aid (+) were reliable (i.e. statistically significant) predictors of special education student prevalence rates. Poverty rate also was an independent, negative predictor. That is, increases in percentage of students who were impoverished predicted decreased special education prevalence. In contrast to previous findings, Nelson found that percentage of minorities positively predicted percentage of disabled students. Only 28% of the observed variability in disability prevalence rates was accounted for by Nelson's model, although the collection of simultaneous models he examined provided a broader explanatory framework than had previously been attempted.

# California Analysis of Incidence of Disabilities

Previous empirical literature has examined national data with states as units of analysis. Although several within-state district level analyses have been attempted, ours is the first of which we are aware that has focused exclusively on incidence of severely disabled students, defined both in terms of comparative standard special education program costs as well low incidence category. Our analysis is further unique because of California's size and because of the unusual administrative organization of 115 Special Education Local Planning Areas (SELPAs) that formed our units of analysis.

# **Conceptual Framework and Variables**

For the purposes of this study, we proposed five variables that, on the basis of existing empirical literature, might independently or in combination play a role in explaining variability of severe (high cost) disabilities across California's Special Education Local Plannning Areas (SELPAs). These variables were selected to represent the relative impact on SELPA eligibility and service decisions of poverty, social class and language proficiency, SELPA size, SELPA resource capacity, and urbanicity.

Incidence of disabilities has long been associated with poverty via the primary influences of health and health care and the secondary influences of materially inadequate

childrearing environments. Congress recognized this relationship by building a poverty adjustment into its new funding formula under the revised and reauthorized IDEA of 1997. Similarly, social class as it relates to ethnicity may be a variable that affects disability independent of family income. For example, cultural differences across race ethnic groups may affect the prevalence of special education identification. Certainly, too, language proficiency may vary both within and between income and ethnic classes in California and further complicate the risk-for-disabilities present in any given community. Poor language proficiency certainly complicates diagnosis of disability in many cases but, because of language ability's centrality to academic and social learning, may act as an independent risk factor with highly impacted SELPAs.

In addition to these social variables, there are a number of organizational variables related to size, scale, and resource availability that potentially mediate decisions about eligibility and allocations for some rather than other kinds of disabilities. For example, medical facilities tend to be concentrated more in metropolitan rather than non-metropolitan areas. Larger scale school districts can manage the cost of low incidence disabilities better than smaller scale districts. Concentrations of individuals with some disabilities may develop historically around certain centers, regions, or agencies rather than others, contributing to non-random residence and migration of families of children with some disabilities. Thus, regional variations in organization location, size and/or structure, variables largely or completely exogenous to child characteristics, may be important influences on the observed incidence of students with severe disabilities.

#### **Data Sources**

Data for our analyses were provided from several archival sources. The CASEMIS (April 1997) database provided our basic counts and profiles of disabled students in SELPAs of residence as described in previous sections of this report (see Chapter 3). Basic data pertinent to district size and resources as well as the ethnicity and language proficiency of students came from the state's 1996-97 CBEDS database and was reaggragated to the SELPA level for analysis. Similarly, additional fiscal data reported on the J385C was reaggragated to the SELPA level and used for resource analyses. Finally, 1995-96 NCES data, using U. S. Office of Management and Budget categorical codings of agencies serving or not serving metropolitan statistical areas within select geopolitical regions, was used to derive SELPA measures of urbanicity.

#### Measures

For each variable, we selected or created proxy measures to investigate the plausibility of various explanations for observed variations in incidence of severe disabilities.

For poverty, we used the percentage of non-private school students in attendance within a SELPA who received free or reduced lunch (PRCTMEAL) and the percentage of non-private school students who received AFDC (PRCTAFDC).

For risk arising from social class and language proficiency, we derived two measures. The first was the percentage of current student enrollment classified as having limited English proficiency (PRCTLEP). The second measure represented the relative representation within SELPAs, again as a percentage of current enrollment, of statistically high risk ethnic groups (African-American, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, and Native American) (PRCTRISK).

SELPA size was represented by five measures, including current enrollment (ENROLL97) and average daily attendance (ADA97). In addition, to represent scale of operations, we used the number of school sites (SCHLS96) reported in each SELPA for the target 1996-97 school year.

SELPA resource capacity was represented by the ratio of total instructional expenditures to current enrollment (PERROLL) and by the ratio of students to teachers (STUDTCHR). Finally, urbanicity measures were derived in three ways. The first was the total number of "agencies" within a SELPA that met NCES definitions of agencies that served Metropolitan Statistical Area (TOTALMSA). Such agencies were the first *two* of the following three NCES-coded types.

NCES definition	Number	Percent
a. Primarily serves a central city of a MSA	152	14.5%
b. Serves a MSA but not primarily its central city	649	62.7%
c. Does not serve an MSA	266	22.8%
	1067	100.0%

For the second measure, we first used the number of agencies in each of the three NCES categories above to predict SELPA total enrollment. The differences (i.e., standardized residuals) between the predicted and actual enrollment was used as an index of urbanicity (i.e., RESIDMSC). In effect, total enrollment in less urban SELPAs tended to be related to the total number of agencies, hence the difference between predicted and observed values were relatively small. Urban SELPAs -- those with dense, metropolitan populations tended to have very few agencies but large enrollments. Thus, differences between predicted and actual enrollments tended to be large.

A final measure of urbanicity was based on the idea that individual school enrollments tended to be larger in more metropolitan SELPAs. By dividing the total enrollment by the number of schools in a SELPA, we derived a rough estimate of school size (SCHLSIZE).

In summary, we developed eleven measures to represent our five independent variables to test relationships with our two dependent variables as shown in Table 4-1:

#### Analytical Strategy

It was clear from previous work that measures of these variables may correlate with one another. Moreover, our own previous analyses presented in this report show that at least a moderate relationship exists between low incidence categories of disabilities (PRCTLOW) and relative costliness of individualized educational programs devised by SELPAs, although category of disability alone could not account for the value of resources expended by SELPAs for individual students. Therefore, we proceeded in our analyses by assuming that percentage of low incidence categories of disabilities contributed to the percentage of higher cost students in each SELPA (PRCTHIGH), but not the inverse. It is possible that some of our five explanatory variables (e.g., poverty, social class and language proficiency) were more directly related to proportion of low incidence categories of students than other variables. Proportion of low incidence categories of disabilities, in conjunction with various economic and structural variables, might constitute a better combination of factors to explain why some SELPAs have higher (or lower) proportions of higher cost students than others. Conceived in this way, PRCTLOW measures function as an outcome (dependent) variable in one phase of analysis, but as variable (independent) mediating PRCTHIGH in another.

Beyond the directional and separate effects thought to come from categorical and resource requirement characterizations of students in SELPAs, it is necessary to recognize that different explanatory variables might function differently with different subsamples of SELPAs. California's SELPAs are not homogenous on any relevant dimension. They represent sometimes vastly different political, demographic, and organizational attributes. In sheer size and scale, Los Angeles Unified School District SELPA is, for example, like no other. Several SELPAs are constituted from one school district while others contain many districts. Some SELPAs overlap perfectly with counties while other SELPAs represent portions of counties or several counties.

Table 4-1. Variables, measures, and their interpretations

	Variables	Measures	Interpretation
Independent	Poverty	PRCTAFDC PRCTMEAL	% receiving AFDC % receiving free or reduced lunch
	Social Class/	PRCTRISK	% Pacific Islander, Native American, African-American, Hispanic
	Language Proficiency	PRCTLEP	% LEP students
	Size	ADA97 ENROLL97 SCHLS96	ADA Total enrollment # of schools
	Resource Capacity	PERROLL STUDTCHR	Expenditures/student Students/teacher
	Urbanicity	RESIDMSC	Standardized residual for Enrollment = a + b(# MSA)
		TOTALMSA	Total metro agencies
Dependent	Severity	PRCTLOW	% students in low incidence categories
		PRCTHIGH	% students with high cost programs

These organizational variations influence everything concerned with decision making in special education including lines of authority, ease of communication, likelihood of coordinating policies, resource sharing, and fiscal management. Therefore, we attempted in our analysis to test the possibility that such complex organizational differences might be a major source of variation as well.

In general, our analytical strategy was to verify the utility of our measures by a correlational analysis and then to enter them into a regression analysis stepwise to test the following hypotheses:

 $H_1$ : Low Incidence Disabilities = f(Poverty, Size, Social Class & Language Proficiency)

 $H_2$ : High Cost Disabilities = f(Low Incidence Disabilities, Size, Resource Capacity, Urbanicity)

### Stepwise Regressions

The correlational analysis for our eleven independent (ADA97, ENROLL97, SCHLS96, and two dependent measures provided evidence of reliable relationship between and among measures as expected (see Table 4-2) We proceeded to perform stepwise regression analyses on our measures in two stages. First, all measures were allowed to enter the equation predicting percentage of higher cost students (PRCTHIGH). Measures that did not predict percentage of higher cost students were then allowed to enter a second stepwise regression equation predicting percentage of students served from low incidence categories (PRCTLOW).

Three measures, PRCTLOW, PERROLL and SCHLSIZE accounted for sbout 42% of the variability observed in PRCTHIGH. In the second equation, only one measure, percent of LEP students (PRCTLEP) predicted the percentage of low incidence category students served, accounting for only about 3% of observed variability in PRCTLOW. In other words, knowing the percentage of low incidence category students served, SELPA expenditures per student, and average enrollment per school, can account reliably for at least a portion of the variability observed among SELPAs in their percentage of *higher cost special education* students. On the other hand, none of our measures, except PRCTLEP, reliably predicted the percentage of *low incidence category* students in a SELPA.

Table 4-2. Zero order correlations and probabilities for eleven independent and two dependent measures<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

	ENROLL97	PERROLL	PRCTAFDC	PRCTHIGH	PRCTLEP	PRCTLOW	PRCTMEAL	PRCTRISK	RESIDMSC	SCHLS96	SCHLSIZE	STUDTCHR	TOTALMSA
ADA97	.981	027	.150	.177	.295	.163	.219	.312	.962	.938	.329	.159	.191
	(.000)	(.774)	(.108)	(.057)	(.001)	(.080)	(.019)	(.001)	(.000)	(.000)	(.000)	(.089)	(.040)
ENROLL97		045	.131	.175	.281	.162	.205	.315	.974	.964	.342	.184	.222
		(.629)	(.161)	(.061)	(.003)	(.082)	(.028)	(.001)	(.000)	(.000)	(.000)	(.049)	(.017)
PERROLL			.132	.127	073	.054	.076	087	.022	063	287	289	296
			(.158)	(.175)	(.439)	(.560)	(.416)	(.354)	(.809)	(.499)	(.002)	(.002)	(.001)
PRCTAFDC				.038	.313	.020	.780	.402	.153	.132	.048	.049	072
				(.680)	(.001)	(.832)	(.000)	(.000)	(.102)	(.157)	(.607)	(.596)	(.440)
PRCTHIGH					.064	.637	.007	.098	.205	.169	.213	.231	105
					(.495)	(.000)	(.936)	(.298)	(.028)	(.071)	(.022)	(.013)	(.263)
PRCTLEP						.189	.672	.776	.303	.217	.429	.338	068
						(.045)	(.000)	(.000)	(.001)	(.021)	(.000)	(.000)	(.470)
PRCTLOW							.081	.107	.186	.141	.156	.210	086
							(.387)	(.251)	(.046)	(.132)	(.095)	(.024)	(.361)
PRCTMEAL								.681	.230	.182	.074	.048	088
pp compact								(.000)	(.013)	(.051)	(.429)	(.611)	(.345)
PRCTRISK									.326	.262	.504	.407	013
DEGIDI (GG									(.000)	(.005)	(.000)	(.000)	(.890)
RESIDMSC										.900	.356	.178	.000
CCIII COC										(.000)	(.000)	(.057)	(1.000)
SCHLS96											.222	.151	.391
COLUCIZE											(.017)	(.106)	(.000)
SCHLSIZE												.709	020
CTUDTCUD												(.000)	(.830)
STUDTCHR.													.058
													(.531)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See text and Appendix A-5 for derivation and explanation of measures <sup>2</sup> Correlations with probabilities less than .01 are in boldface. <sup>3</sup> Probabilities are in italics.

### Subgroup Analysis

When SELPAs were subgrouped by size and number of constituent districts for additional analysis, the results of the regression analyses changed. The 25 largest SELPAs (LARGEST) and the 25 SELPAs consisting of one school district (ONEDIST) were selected for these analyses. Similar to our original analysis findings, both PRCTLOW and PERROLL predicted PRCTHIGH for the one district SELPAs accounting for about 31% of the variability. For the largest group SELPAs, however, PRCT LOW and TOTALMSA, a measure of urbanicity, rather than the measure of SELPA resouce capacity (PERROLL) reliably predicted percentage of higher cost students. Measures entered for the large SELPA analysis accounted for almost 50% of the variability in PRCTHIGH. Average school enrollment, or size (SCHLSIZE), did not enter as a predictor for either subgroup.

Furthermore, PRCTLEP was not a significant predictor of PRCTLOW for either subgroup. For the largest SELPAs, only the urbanicity indicator, RESIDMSC, predicted the percentage of low incidence category students, accounting for about 17% of observed variability in PRCTLOW. No independent measure reliably predicted PRCTLOW for the one district SELPAs.

Simply stated, these latter results indicate that different measures predict differently for different SELPAs, especially for SELPAs characterized in terms related to scale and organizational differences. When we examined each SELPA subgroup more closely, we found statistically significant patterns that both differentiated subgroups from remaining SELPAs and from each other. Both subgroups differed from remaining SELPAs (i.e., larger vs. smaller, one district versus multidistrict) on all three urbanicity measures. Although school size was similarly large for both subgroups of SELPAs, large SELPAs tended to contain many more metropolitan agencies than smaller SELPAs and also in contradistinction to one-district SELPAs, whose one-district constituencies make them unique compared to any other SELPAs.

The 25 larger SELPAs also had more students per school and spent less per student than the 90 smaller SELPAs. Larger and smaller SELPAs did not differ, however, on the either percentage of low incidence category or the percentage of higher cost students identified. On the other hand, one-district SELPAs spent more per student and identified a higher percentage of higher cost special education students (1.3% vs. 1.1%). (NOTE: Further elaboration of these analyses are found in Appendix A-5.)

### **Summary and Conclusions**

The results of our analyses showed that variations in low incidence categories of disability, resource capacity, and urbanicity provide a partial explanation for variability in the proportion of higher cost special education students across 115 California Special Education Local Planning Areas (SELPAs). These results are consistent with earlier findings for samples of states (Noel & Fuller, 1985, related to incidence of learning disabilities), districts in the same state (Nelson, 1982) or metropolitan areas (Singer et al., 1989).

However, contrary to earlier analysis of national (Gelb & Mizakawa, 1986; Noel & Fuller, 1985) and state (Nelson, 1982) special education incidence data, our analysis found that measures of poverty or ethnicity provided no explanation for observed variability in incidence of disability across SELPAs.

We did find a positive, small, but reliable influence of language proficiency on proportion of low incidence category students. Beyond the possibility that language differences seriously complicate the special education classification process, it is more likely that this small effect reflects health, medical, and morbidity differences in California's large immigrant population. Low incidence categories of disability may arise more directly from maternal and perinatal health factors than other categories of disability. We used no clear health or medical status related measures in our analyses but it is possible that our measure of the prevalence of limited English proficiency in SELPAs captured the relatively higher health risk of that population.

In summary, it is clear that whatever factors produce differences in low incidence categories of disabilities across all SELPAs also contribute to differential incidence of higher cost students. Resource capacity and scale provide additional, though smaller, influences to differences in high cost special education student incidence. SELPAs that spend more per pupil on average also identify more higher cost special education students. Moreover, the larger the schools in a SELPA, the larger the proportion of high cost students.

It is possible that these factors work together through some unspecified and more complex organizational filter. For example, large, multidistrict SELPAs may enjoy some benefits of scale with regard to services for severely disabled students. They actually spend less on average per pupil overall than smaller and one-district SELPAs but may achieve other efficiencies of scale, perhaps related to service differentiation and specialization across constituent districts to provide higher cost programming for disabled students. It may also be true that lower expenditures per student provide a less adequate baseline of

instructional effort, thereby amplifying special education program needs for students with disabilities in these SELPAs.

SELPA size alone may contribute to incidence of higher cost disabilities, but it is also clear that SELPAs composed of a single large, metropolitan school district behave quite differently. Despite similar proportions of students with low incidence category disabilities, and similar overall school sizes, these SELPAs spend more on average per student and have a higher proportion of high cost special education students.

It is quite possible that inclusion of indicators of medical and other health risk might help account for variations in the number of high cost special education students across SELPAs. We know only that the relative proportions of low incidence category students in one-district SELPAs are similar to those for multildistrict SELPAs. Therefore, the number of low incidence students does not seem to account for larger proportions of higher cost special education students. It may be that greater resource capacity in these SELPAs in conjuction with urban scale helps to improve special education service capacity. Singer et al.'s (1989) data would lead us to believe that metropolitan districts may develop special decision habits and capacities relative to some disabilities which creates historical biases towards some rather than other models of resource allocation in special education. It would seem that factors related to the organizational contiguity of SELPA and metropolitan district accounts for these interesting differences, but more study will be needed to reveal what these factors may be and how they operate in response to state fiscal policy.

A primary policy question confronting this study is whether a single or some set of factors beyond district control (e.g. poverty and/or urbanicity) could serve as the basis for valid and reliable adjustments to state special education funding. That is, could they serve as proxy measures for variations in the severity of special education students residing in SELPAs? An example of the use of an external measure for such purposes is the pverty adjustment to be applied to the allocation of IDEA grants to the states. However, the analysis presented in this chapter does not support the use of such measures as reliable proxies for variation in the incidence of severe and/or high cost students in California.

# Chapter 5

#### **Interviews with SELPA Directors**

Telephone interviews were conducted with 17 SELPA directors to gain an understanding of the variability in overall special education identification rates relative to the proportion of students with low incidence and/or severe disabilities, and factors contributing to high service costs for these students. The SELPAs were selected based on their rankings within their state based on relatively *higher* proportions of students with low incidence disabilities (n=8), and high cost students (n=6). In addition, 3 SELPAs were selected to examine why their overall special education identification rates were *low* in relation to the percent of high cost students. A list of the SELPAs interviewed can be found in Appendix A-19

Interviews were conducted by four persons using a standard protocol as a guide. Interviews were open-ended and directors were encouraged to expand on any question or provide additional information not addressed by the questions. The protocol sought information from the directors in a variety of areas, including:

- ! Knowledge of their SELPAs ranking on incidence, cost, etc. relative to other SELPAs
- ! Opinions of which types of students are most costly to provide special education and related services, whether the numbers or characteristics of such students are changing, and the reasons for those changes.
- **!** Factors contributing to decisions to provide certain high cost services to certain students (e.g., placements, related services, assistive technology, litigation, etc.)
- ! Perception regarding trends in high vs. low cost students and what factors may reduce or increase costs (e.g., general education teacher/school capacity, changes in professional roles and responsibilities, state funding formula, etc.)
- ! General observations regarding the state funding formula and how high cost students might best be served.

Following is a summary of the findings within each of the broad question areas:

### Knowledge of Rankings

Almost every director was surprised at their SELPA's ranking within the state. Of course, SELPAs with a high ranking based on larger than average percentages of low incidence and high cost students were less surprised, because nearly everyone replied they had "many" of such students. Various explanations were offered for why their SELPA was ranked where it was.

At the SELPA level, it was commonly cited that the more affluent districts had higher identification rates or at least higher referral rates. According to directors, parents with more knowledge of interventions as well as more access to lawyers have more "clout." Not only can these parents get their child identified as eligible for special education, but they are also the most consistent factor associated with increasing costs per child.

Directors of SELPAs that had low percentages of high cost students were at a loss to explain why their SELPAs percentages were ranked toward the bottom, although at least one speculated that it may be because they focused on early intervention and "loaded up services at the front-end," thus reducing lifetime costs per child.

#### Patterns in Incidence

All directors reported increases in the numbers of students being identified as autistic and as having Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED). These perceptions are substantiated by the state wide data shown in Table5-1 Recognized as a disability condition in California since 1992/93, autism enrollments grew over 70% over the next four years. Over the past six years, enrollments of seriously emotionally disturbed (SED) students grew by nearly 46% compared to 20% for all of special education students in the state.

Generally, the increases in autism were credited to be among children with more affluent parents, while increased identification of SED was seen among all segments of the school population. In addition, the directors reported that the SED population was increasing among younger children, and that these students were also presenting more significant problems, including very severe emotional problems, sexual assaults, arson, and other violent behaviors.

Table 5-1. Changes in Autism and Severe Emotional Disturbance Enrollments (Ages 6-21) 1990/91 - 1995/96

				Studen	t Count			
	Autism	Severe Emotional Disturbance	Deaf	Deaf- Blind	Visual Impairments	Multiple Handicaps	Orthopedic Impairments	All Disabilities
90/91	0	12344	6194	109	2679	5549	7152	425711
91/92	0	13507	6472	113	2825	5567	7661	446378
92/93	1605	14163	6863	116	3037	5271	8427	462886
93/94	1911	15278	7056	141	3107	5185	9406	478464
94/95	2412	16372	8190	154	3265	5186	9881	492028
95/96	3064	18020	8643	166	3453	5333	10253	510875

				Percent	Change			
	Autism	Severe Emotional Disturbance	Deaf	Deaf- Blind	Visual Impair-ments	Multiple Handicaps	Orthopedic Impair-ments	All Disabilities
90/91								
91/92	0.00%	9.42%	4.49%	3.67%	5.45%	0.32%	7.12%	4.85%
92/93	0.00%	4.86%	6.04%	2.65%	7.50%	-5.32%	10.00%	3.70%
93/94	19.07%	7.87%	2.81%	21.55%	2.30%	-1.63%	11.62%	3.37%
94/95	26.22%	7.16%	16.07%	9.22%	5.09%	0.02%	5.05%	2.83%
95/96	27.03%	10.07%	5.53%	7.79%	5.76%	2.83%	3.76%	3.83%
90-96	90.90%*	45.98%	39.54%	52.29%	28.89%	-4.05%	43.36%	20.01%

<sup>\*</sup> Percent change from 1992/93 - 1995/96. Autism was not recognized as a disability in California prior to the 1992/93 school year. Source: Annual Reports to Congress, 1992-1997

The increase in autism is likely due to several factors: the broader classification of autism in the Diagnostic Statistical Manual III (DSM III) that refers to "Autism Spectrum Disorder", and the notion that autism is no longer just a very severe disorder but can manifest itself in "milder" symptoms. Also, expectations have increased regarding how much these students can learn. In addition, parents are becoming more aware of the category due to advocacy on the part of the local autism society. As several directors stated, "It is the disability du jour." "Autism is very fashionable and acceptable." Although two SELPA directors believed there were real biological causes for this increase, perhaps due to social or environmental changes.

Other low incidence rates are perceived as stable. Interestingly, seven SELPA directors reported a recent "blip" in either visually impaired (VI) and/or deaf and hearing impaired (HI). One SELPA served five VI students eight years ago and today has 71. Yet, as these increases were attributed to changes in medical technology for involving low birth weight or other at-risk infants, they were seen as one-time events as opposed to a trend.

#### Cost Factors

In general, across all SELPAs, the two types of students associated with high costs were those with autism and SED. Below is a summary of factors cited by SELPA directors increasing special education costs.

1. For students with autism the costs were almost uniformly attributed to Discrete Trial Training (DTT) which is said to be "sweeping" the state. Discussions about DTT generated the most emotion and concern. Directors referred to "DTT pandemonium" and "the DTT budget breaker." The costs tend to be extraordinary and the lack of professional control over how and where these programs are provided causes enormous concerns to SELPA directors. The DTT program, developed by Dr. I. Lovaas at UCLA, is designed for young autistic children and requires intensive one-on-one intervention for extensive periods of time each day. Periodically, the SELPAs around the Los Angeles area were the most impacted. However, the requests for DTT were increasing in all but one SELPA included in the interviews. There are very high personnel costs associated with DTT. Many of the SELPAs are contracting with non-public agencies that provide the specially trained aides who work with individual children in their homes.

Costs in one SELPA were reported at \$30,000 to \$50,000 per child. In this SELPA, a private provider trains and pays aides about \$12.50/hour, but bills the SELPA for \$23/hour. Supervision of the in-home aides is typically billed around \$150/hour for 2-3 visits per month. Two SELPAs reported that they had children receiving DTT directly from Dr. Lovaas' clinic and these costs were over \$120,000 per year. Several directors now require parents to remain in the home during DTT sessions because some parents were reported to be using the aides as baby sitters. In an effort to control DTT costs some SELPAs are moving to create their own DTT programs, which require one-on-one aides. Despite this, directors consider in-school DDT classes to be far less expensive than contracting for services. All interviewed directors were concerned about the efficacy of DTT. Several had ethical concerns about DTT

as well, but all perceive that they are under threat of legal action to provide the therapy. One director indicated that he has tried to refuse to provide DTT because of lack of efficacy data. But has been in hearings over this; another director believes that DDT works for some autistic students and should be one option in an overall program for students with autism.

2. Costs associated with students with SED were attributed to non-public placements as well as extensive staff costs, including aides, for "in-house" programs. Most of the SELPAs included in these interviews had used non-public placements extensively for students with SED. Statewide data show that the percentage of public school children enrolled in NPS has nearly doubled over the past ten years. Various reasons were offered for this pattern of growing NPS use. One director believed that the previous funding formula favored this approach and since local districts and building principals were happy to have the more aggressive students out of their buildings they tended not to look at other options. Although the new AB 602 special education funding formula for the state will largely relieve the fiscal incentives to place students in NPS, for children in licensed childrens institutions (LCIs) the fiscal incentives for NPS placement have become even more pronounced under AB 602.

Another director in a highly urban SELPA believed that the mandated collaboration with county mental health has contributed to the use of more non-public placements. The director believed parents tend to "trust" the mental health professionals more than the schools, and those professionals believe that the residential and day programs offer better mental health services. This has also been raised as an issue in conjunction with the NPS study being conducted by the state concurrent with this analysis. These issues are described in more detail in this report. Several directors also noted that more affluent parents seek non-public placements for their children with SED, even "when the SELPA can offer a very supportive and appropriate placement." This contention may be worthy of further investigation by the state. Although family income information is not available for individual students, the state's CASEMIS data (see Table 5-2) show non-white students to be under represented in relation to the state population as a whole (60%) versus 51% in NPS). This is especially true for Hispanic children, who represent 41% of the state's public school enrollment, but only 19% at NPS enrollments.

Table 5-2. Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Students in California Public Schools, in Public Special Education Programs, NPSs, and NPSs/LCIs

Students	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	Total
All public school	40%	41%	9%	9%	1%	100%
Public special education	43%	37%	14%	3%	3%	100%
NPSs	49%	19%	30%	1%	1%	100%

However, even as SELPAs are attempting to create more public programs for SED students, they recognize the need to staff these well, including providing sufficient aides. One director believes that non-public placements are more cost effective for some students with SED, if their individual service plans, include full-time aides and/or therapies, begin to exceed \$20,000 per year. A big factor in the ability to create public school programs for students identified as SED is the availability of effective teachers. The lack of experienced and well-trained teachers who can successfully educate and manage students with SED in either special day classes (SDCs) or less restrictive placements is considered a big barrier to creating effective programs.

3. Other services or supports that drive up costs for an individual child are the amount of occupational therapy (OT) and physical therapy (PT) (specifically the latter), personal aides or nurses, and technology. The costs of providing OT and PT were not perceived as extraordinary, but several directors noted that there is an increasing tendency to give many low incidence students more hours of these services than is "probably" necessary because "parents (and professionals) want them and assume that more is better." One director is developing standards of practice to help teachers of low incidence students look more closely at individual needs and monitor progress more closely. SELPA directors that were close to large medical centers also noted that availability of OT and PT increased the demand. More parents know about the services and want the maximum amount of hours for their child.

Only three directors specifically noted increased use of personal aides as a cost factor and only one spoke of the need to provide nurses to medically fragile students. However, almost all directors noted increased service need among low incidence students, particularly those requiring medical services or technologies.

Generally, however, technology costs were not perceived to be a great factor in increasing costs, although several directors noted that requests for assistive technologies were starting to climb. These costs can be particularly high for the sensory impaired and some students with very significant physical disabilities, although almost every director who mentioned assistive technology noted that these individualized supports tended to be one-time investments and that costs were amortized over time. Several directors noted an increase in parental requests for laptops and personal computers, for both high and low incidence students, probably due to greater publicity about the right to assistive technology as well as an awareness of what a student might be eligible to receive, irrespective of individual need.

- 4. Cultural factors are perceived to be related to costs. First, all directors noted that affluent, informed parents are the most likely to request that their child be found eligible for special education as well as receive more services. All but two directors cited parental requests coupled with fear of litigation, as the primary factors related to providing more intensive and costly services. In contrast, three directors expressed concerns about their Hispanic students whose parents often demand little or nothing and whom they fear may be under-served (see comments above and data in Table 5-2). This is perceived to be prevalent among first-generation families. One director has worked with a community organization to attempt to identify any under-served Hispanic students, such as those who are deaf or have severe physical disabilities. Two directors noted the impact that high proportions of English language learners can have on overall special education incidence. They noted that students with language problems can fall farther and farther behind in school until they become "eligible" for special education. They note that, while they make every effort to discriminate between "true" disability and language differences, the bottom line is that when a student is failing, special education is usually the only option. However, even if these students are identified, they are tend to receive less costly services (Table 5-2 also shows Hispanic students to be somewhat under represented in special education (37%) compared to overall public school enrollments (70.5%)).
- 5. Class size reduction was noted by several directors as contributing to costs, because they see the reductions as increasing referrals and identification in special education. The reasons given were because so many inexperienced teachers have been hired to meet the new reduced class sizes, the directors believe that they are less able to manage students with learning and/or

behavioral problems, and quicker to refer them to special education. Although this counters the expected result from the state's major class size reduction programs (i.e. that reduced size classes would reduce special education referrals). However, claims of increased referrals in conjunction with the state's class size program are supported by research conducted on this phenomenon in Santa Barbara County (Gerber, 1998).

#### **Reducing Costs**

Directors were asked to comment on how they might decrease the costs of serving "high cost" students. The most prevalent suggestions was to create standards of practice that would guide the provision of such services as DTT, and create more "inhouse" programs for students with SED. Most of the directors expressed a need for a defensible set of standards that could guide what types of services represent best practice. In particular, they would like the CDE to provide a comprehensive review of DTT and determine its efficacy and, at minimum, set some standards for the conditions for providing such services. Currently, each director believes that he/she are legally vulnerable because they must either agree to contract or provide the identical type of program in the SELPA. Comparing DTT to other programs for children with autism is like, in the words of one director, comparing "apples and oranges;" one may not be better than the other, they are different and parents won't accept a substitute. Similar types of standards for OT, PT, and assistive technology would also be useful or better allocate these increasingly scarce and costly services.

In the absence of standards, several directors would like to see the CDE provide some sort of voucher to parents seeking DTT or other unusual or "exotic" therapies (e.g., dolphin therapy) that are offered privately. Parents could use vouchers to buy the service and virtually take the SELPA out of managing the various contractors.

With respect to students with SED, directors believe that they will need to create more programs in their districts under the new funding formula. They see the greatest challenge to this being the lack of well-trained classroom teachers and a rich array of mental health services that students need and parents want.

About half of the directors did not see any options and expressed the opinion that costs will continue to rise as student needs intensify and more parents seek the new or different treatments or technologies. Two directors mentioned collaboration and working with parents to avoid litigation as ways of reducing costs.

# Chapter 6

# **Development and Specification of Service Model**

The purpose of this analysis is to develop a uniform set of procedures for measuring variations in services received by students across the state. With help from the Advisory Committee, the research team constructed a model that compared the placement and related services of students to the special education personnel providing these services. This analysis is conducted primarily on the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS), and the Special Education Personnel Data Report.

For each special education student in California, CASEMIS shows disability, placement, related services received, SELPA of residence, and a host of demographic information such as age, sex, race, and residential status. In addition, the Special Education Personnel Data Report provides information on the numbers of teachers, administrators, and other certificated staff providing special education services. The state's J-50 files supplemented this with selected financial information and the distribution of aides.

Using CASEMIS and the state's personnel data report for standardized counts of special education personnel by job category, quantities of teacher and aide time were assigned to individual students based on their primary special education placement and the related services received. For example, Language and Speech is one of the related services listed on CASEMIS. Concurrently, the Personnel Data Report provides a count of Language and Speech Specialists statewide. We generated a count of the total number of students receiving speech therapy statewide and compared it to the total number of language and speech specialists across the state for the purpose of determining a ratio of services to personnel (see Appendix A-2 for complete service/personnel crosswalk). This ratio was then multiplied by a single statewide standardized teacher salary and benefit amount. This value was the projected cost of salary and benefits for one student receiving speech therapy. This approach was applied for all instructional services and placements in CASEMIS. The results of this program and service cost analysis are summarized in Table 6-1, column c.

Table 6-1. Estimated State Average Unit Cost by Placement and Related Service

	1. Estimateu State Average	Salary		Cost	Total	Total
Placement Category	Subcategory	with Benefits	Instructional	<b>Including Administration</b>	Number of Students	Number of Staff
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
SDC					· ·	
	Mentally Retarded	\$6,345	\$6,476	\$9,355	31,344	5,699
	Hard of Hearing	\$9,971	\$10,176	\$14,701	3,312	946
	Deaf	\$11,633	\$11,872	\$17,151	3,118	1,039
	Speech/Language Impaired	\$6,345	\$6,476	\$9,355	13,903	2,528
	Visually Impaired	\$9,971	\$10,176	\$14,701	2,684	767
	Seriously Emotionally Disturbed	\$11,357	\$11,590	\$16,744	9,038	3,228
	Orthopedically Impaired	\$10,664	\$10,883	\$15,723	9,542	3,067
	Other Health Impairment	\$6,345	\$6,476	\$9,355	4,376	796
	Specific Learning Disability	\$5,008	\$5,111	\$7,384	89,590	11,199
	Deaf-Blind	\$17,450	\$17,808	\$25,727	152	76
	Multihandicapped	\$13,250	\$13,522	\$19,535	5,582	2,326
	Autism	\$11,357	\$11,590	\$16,744	5,167	1,845
	Traumatic Brain Injury	\$13,250	\$13,522	\$19,535	480	200
RSP		\$2,873	\$2,931	\$4,235	273,468	22,096
DIS						
	Language & Speech	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	248,811	4,466
	Home & Hospital	\$7,813	\$7,973	\$11,519	2,686	416
	Adapted Physical Education	\$927	\$946	\$1,367	47,969	882
	Audiological Services	\$498	\$509	\$735	5,955	59
	Individual Counseling	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411
	Group Counseling	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411
	Guidance Services	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411
	Occupational Therapy	\$1,246	\$1,272	\$1,837	6,237	154
	Physical Therapy	\$744	\$759	\$1,096	1,792	26
	Orientation & Mobility	\$3,459	\$3,530	\$5,099	1,764	121
	Parent Counseling	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411
	Social Work Services	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411

Table 6-1. Estimated State Average Unit Cost by Placement and Related Service (cont'd)

Placement Category (a)	Subcategory (b)	Salary with Benefits (c)	Instructional Cost (d)	Cost Including Administration (e)	Total Number of Students (f)	Total Number of Staff (g)
DIS	Vocational Education					
	Training	\$1,096	\$1,119	\$1,616	12,235	266
	Recreation Services	\$927	\$946	\$1,367	47,969	882
	Vision Services	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
	Specialized Driver Training	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
	Psychological Services	\$905	\$923	\$1,334	25,181	411
	Specialized Services Low Incidence Disabilities	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
	Health/Nursing-Specialized Physical Health Care	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
	Health/Nursing-Other Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Interpreter Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Education Technology Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Behavior Management Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Assistive Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Braille Transcription	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Reader Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Note Taking Services	\$4,730	\$4,827	\$6,974	8,575	2,091
	Itinerant Services	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
	Adult Transition Services	\$1,379	\$1,407	\$2,032	1,318	36
	Vocational Counseling	\$1,379	\$1,407	\$2,032	1,318	36
	Deaf/Hard of Hearing Services	\$5,774	\$5,892	\$8,512	13,816	1,583
NPS						
	Group A			\$21,705	7,678	
	Group B & C		_	\$23,130	4,692	_

These services are organized around four possible placement options for students, Special Day Class (SDC), Resource Specialist (RSP), Designated Instructional Service (DIS)<sup>3</sup>, and Nonpublic School (NPS).

In addition to calculating standardized instructional costs for each service and placement, multipliers were also uniformly applied to reflect nonpersonnel and administrative costs. Nonpersonnel costs were added to the salary and benefit costs (in column a) to equal the full instructional cost (column d). Administrative costs were added to the instructional cost (column e). These multipliers, derived from prior research conducted in the state, were uniformly applied across all students and SELPAs. The cost multipliers used in this analysis are described in detail in Appendices A-9 through A-12. Consistent with the standardized approach, students receiving speech in rural SELPAs would show the same standardized service cost estimate as that applied to students in urban SELPAs. The amounts used in the model for each placement and service are shown in column e of Table 6-1.

To develop a standardized cost for non-public schools (NPS), the research team used the J-50 report. NPS students were differentiated into cohorts of Group A, B, and C. Group A students are NPS students residing within the district. Group B students are LCI students whose parents live in the same district in which the LCI is located. Group C students are LCI who are originally from a different district and are placed in a district of service by an outside agency (i.e. not the school district). Average costs were calculated by summing NPS expenses for Group A, B, and C students, and then dividing them by the respective ADA for each cohort of students. Standardized cost estimates for each of the NPS cohorts are shown at the end of Table 6-1.

It should be noted that this is not an expenditure model. The cost values that are assigned to each service and placement were not calculated from SELPA expenditure reports. Services provided, rather than expenses incurred, were used to determine incidence of high cost students. The research team and the Advisory Committee considered it essential that this adjustment not simply reward SELPAs that have spent a lot of money in the past or encourage them to spend a lot in the future.

The research team used these standardized costs for placements and services to calculate an individualized projected total cost of services for each child in CASEMIS. For

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>DIS is used as a primary whenever a student receives a DIS service, but does not have a primary placement in an SDC, RSP, or NPS.

example, a student with a Resource Specialist program (RSP) placement receiving language and speech services has a projected total cost of \$5,569. This number is the sum of the cost for RSP (\$4,235), and language and speech, (\$1,334). Each child in CASEMIS has an individually customized service cost derived from this model. Tables 6-2 and 6-3 illustrate the individualized service cost estimates for 8 sample children drawn from the CASEMIS file.

Student 1 receives the placement "DIS" and the service "Language and Speech." The projected service cost for Language and Speech is \$1,334. The placement DIS does not incur additional placement costs like RSP and SDC. Therefore the total projected service cost for this student is only the expense for the DIS service, which is \$1,334. Student 2, on the other hand, is placed in an RSP, but does not receive any DIS services. This student's cost, then, is only calculated from the RSP placement of \$4,235. Student 3 is similar to Student 2 in that he is only placed in an SDC and does not receive additional DIS services. The cost for this student is the value of an SDC placement for students with the disability "Specific Learning Disability."

Table 6-2. Sample of Students 1-5 and Unique Service Cost Estimates

Student	SELPA	Disability	Placement	Designated Instructional Services	Placement and Service Cost	Total Cost
1	Contra Costa	SLI	DIS	Language & Speech	\$1,334	
2	Mid County	SLD	RSP		\$4,235	\$1,334
					, ,	\$4,235
3	Mid County	SLD	SDC		\$7,384	\$7,384
4	West End	SED	NPS		\$21,705	\$21,705
5	Butte	MR	NPS		\$21,705	<b>421</b> ,100
	County					\$21,705

The SDC placement costs are different from the other three placement options because the disability category is also considered in the cost estimate. For example, the SDC placement for a child with the classification "Mentally Retarded" is \$6,345, while the SDC placement for a child with the classification "Deaf" is almost twice as large, at \$11,633. These differences are due to estimated differences in the ratio of students to teachers and aides in each of these respective special day classes. In our example, deaf students have a smaller ratio of students to teachers and aides than mentally retarded students (see Appendix A-7 for a full breakdown of SDC teacher and aide ratios). These ratios were calculated to best reflect the actual class sizes of the various SDC placements.

Students 4 and 5 both receive the placement NPS. The service cost estimate for each of these students is \$21,705. Even though most SELPAs negotiate tuition rates for their students with local nonpublic schools, we applied a standardized cost to NPS students across the state. In our example, the NPS cost estimate for Student 4 from West End and Student 5 from Butte County are identical. The only exception made in NPS cost estimates are between the Group A cohort of students, and the Group B and C cohorts of students. Group A students' service cost estimate is \$21,705, and Group B and C's service cost estimate is \$23,130. In this instance, Students 4 and 5 are both Group A students.

The next three students, as shown in Table 6-3, receive multiple DIS services.

Student 6 has the placement RSP and receives multiple DIS. Student 7 has the placement DIS and receives 5 DIS services. The CASEMIS system caps the actual number of DIS a SELPA can report for each student at 4. If a student receives 5 or more DIS, then the SELPA can select the option of "5 or more services." If a student receives 5 DIS, for example, DIS 1 through 4 will be individually labeled, and DIS 5 will only be labeled "5 or more services." It is impossible to determine from CASEMIS the exact type of DIS beyond the 4<sup>th</sup> DIS. The estimated service cost value for "5 or more services" is \$1,830 (see Appendix A-13 for description of cost estimate). The total cost for Student 7 is the sum of all the DIS, \$24,529. Student 8 receives 6 DIS; subsequently the DIS "5 or More Services" is listed and counted twice.

Once each child has a unique cost of service estimate, the next step in the approach was to aggregate individual students into their respective SELPAs of residence. The CASEMIS file lists both the SELPA of residence and the SELPA of service for each student. SELPA of residence was chosen when aggregating students back to SELPAs because residence

most closely conforms to the intent of the question posed by the RFP, whether the incidence of disabilities is randomly and evenly distributed across SELPAs. Oftentimes students are served in programs outside their SELPA of residence.

Table 6-3. Sample of Students 6-8 and Unique Service Cost Estimates

					Placement and	
Studen	t SELPA	Disability	Placement	Designated Instructional Services	Service Cost	Total Cost
6	N o r t h Orange	VI	RSP		\$4,235	
				Language & Speech	\$1,334	
				Adapted Physical Education	\$1,367	
				Orientation & Mobility	\$5,099	
				Vision Services	\$8,512	
						\$16,312
7	Fresno Unified	МН	DIS	Home & Hospital	\$11,519	
				Language and Speech	\$1,334	
				Guidance Services	\$1,334	
				Vision Services	\$8,512	
				5 or More Services	\$1,830	
						\$24,529
8	Oakland	VI	SDC		\$14,701	
				Orientation & Mobility	\$5,099	
				Vision Services	\$8,512	
				Occupational Therapy	\$1,837	
				Language & Speech	\$1,334	
				5 or More Services	\$1,830	
				5 or More Services	\$1,830	

\$35,143

Additionally, the SELPA of residence is responsible for paying the costs of this child's placement. For instance, SELPA A might have an established occupational therapy (OT) program, and a neighboring SELPA, SELPA B, does not provide occupational therapy services within their SELPA. Instead SELPA B decides to place their students into SELPA A's program for OT. If we only looked at SELPA of service to count special education students, we would exaggerate the incidence of disabilities of SELPA A, and underestimate the incidence in SELPA B. The intent of the question posed in the RFP directs the research team to analyze the incidence distributed across SELPAs, and this is best answered by using SELPA of residence as the determining characteristic for placing students in SELPAs.

With this aggregation, the research team was able to calculate the total projected cost of services for each SELPA, as well as to calculate an average cost per student by SELPA. It is possible to compare these SELPA averages with the overall state average. The average statewide cost of services per student in the simulation to be presented in the next chapter in Table 7-1 is \$6,249.

# Chapter 7

# **Severity Service Adjustment**

The research team concluded that an adjustment to the current AB 602 funding model should be considered by the state to account for differences in student needs across SELPAs due to the variations observed in the incidence of disabilities (Chapter 3). A number of alternative approaches were considered by the study team and the project Advisory Committee for developing a severity index for the state. The approach finally determined as most appropriate is based on the relative percentage and the total costs of high cost special education students in each SELPA.

The approach used to calculate the severity service adjustment focuses specifically on the population of high cost students in each SELPA. As described in Chapter 6 of this report, each student was assigned a unique service cost using standardized cost estimates. From these unique service costs, we were able to array students by cost, and subsequently by SELPA of residence. Using these arrays of students, we calculated the statewide average cost per student for all special education students, as well as determined the distribution of costs, or standard deviation, around the average. Based on the standardized approach, the average cost for all special education students is \$6,417. The standard deviation is \$5487. The sum of the mean plus standard deviation were then used as the cutoff of high cost students. All students with cost profiles at or above \$11,904 (\$6,417 + \$5,487) were included in the severity service adjustment model.

With this array of students and costs, a severity service adjustment model was developed. The model compared the net costs of a SELPA's high cost students to the net revenues the SELPA will receive under their AB 602 base rate per student. The resulting severity service adjustment is calculated and applied through a set of procedures described below. The results are summarized in Table 7-1.

# Steps to Calculate Incidence Multiplier

1. Determine a high cost cutoff amount.. The cutoff equals the mean overall special education cost per student (\$6,417) plus one standard deviation (\$5,487). The high cost cutoff amount used for this analysis equals \$11,904<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>\$6416.62 plus 5487.09 equals \$11,903.71, which when rounded up equals \$11,904.

- 2. Count the number of students over this cutoff amount and calculate the total service cost, using the standardized cost model, for each SELPA. See columns C of Table 7-1. Please continue to refer to Table 7-1 to follow the steps listed below.
- 3. Deduct the estimated NPS group "C" student count and the aggregate cost of their services from the counts in Step 2. The count of NPS group "C" students is shown in column D; the cost deduct for NPS group "C" services is shown in column K. (These amounts are deducted because these students are placed in SELPAs by outside agencies and their costs are funded under a separate state formula.)
- 4. Determine the percentage of high cost students by SELPA as a percentage of total ADA (col. I = col E divided by col. H).
- 5. Derive the statewide average percentage of high cost students (1.23%), and based on the variations in this percentage across SELPAs, derive a measure of the standard deviation (SD) of this distribution (.40%). The mean percentage (1.23%) plus the SD (.40%) was used as a ceiling on the allowable percentage of high cost students (1.63%).
- 6. For all SELPAs over this allowable high cost incidence rate (1.63%), calculate the difference between their actual rate and this allowable rate to determine the count of high cost students over the allowable rate (col. F).
- 7. Multiply this amount (col. F) by the high cost cut-off amount of \$11,904 to determine each SELPAs deduct if in excess at the allowable ceiling high cost incidence rate (col. M).
- 8. Based on an array of the distribution of high cost students by total cost a natural break point was observed at \$36,000. This was used as the ceiling allowable amount to be calculated for individual high cost students. The difference between this ceiling and the actual standardized cost estimates for these students was calculated by SELPA and shown in column L.
- 9. From each SELPA's total high cost student amount (col. J), deducts were taken for:
  - a. NPS group "C" students (col. K)
  - b. Exceeding the ceiling cost per student (col. L)
  - c. Exceeding the ceiling high cost incidence rate (col. M)

This results in the total NET amount for high cost students by SELPA (col. N).

- 10. This high cost student amount per SELPA is compared to an estimate of what this amount would be if the SELPA were serving students at the state average (col. O). This average amount (col. O) is derived by the following steps:
  - a. We determined the number of high cost students each SELPA would have at the statewide average incidence rate. This number, shown in column G, was calculated by multiplying the statewide average high cost incidence rate (1.23%) by each SELPA's ADA (col. H).
  - b. Column O is the product of column G and the state average high cost student amount, \$18,707. This average high cost student amount (\$18,707) is calculated by dividing the net high cost total for the state (\$1,324,701,129 from col. J) less the NPS group "C" students deduct (\$84,748,320 from col. K) by the net high cost student count of 66,304 (excludes NPS group "C" students) from column E.
- 11. Column R, the excess high cost student amount, is the difference between what the district is providing to high cost students in relation to what they would be providing at the statewide average (col. O). This value only appears in column R when positive (i.e. col. N is greater than col. O), to indicate *excess* high cost student amounts.
  - It should be noted that when column N is compared to column O, the deducts from column N (i.e. L and M) have not been applied to column O. For this reason, the excess high cost student amount shown in column R somewhat underestimates the full excess costs for this population of students. The deducts shown in columns L and M are designed to allow excess costs beyond the specified ceiling to be borne at the SELPA level, reducing any future fiscal incentives to provide high cost services.
- 12. The next step is to determine if the excess severity cost shown in column R is already fully or partially funded for individual SELPAs through base state special education allocations per ADA that are over the state average. This is done through the following steps:
  - a. Column P shows an estimate of total revenues per SELPA by multiplying each SELPA's current base state allocation (col. B) by its ADA count (col. H).

- b. Column Q shows what these revenues would be at the state average allocation per ADA (\$426 from col. B) by multiplying this amount by the ADA count in column H.
- c. Excess revenues over the state average (col. P col. Q) are shown in column S when positive (i.e. when there are excess revenues over the state average).
- 13. The severity supplement for each SELPA (col. T) is the amount left from column R (excess high costs) after any excess revenues beyond the state average (col. S) have been fully counted.
- 14. The Incidence Multiplier (col. U) is calculated by dividing column R, excess high cost, by column Q, estimated total revenue at the state average. If supplemental high costs are not shown in column R, this multiplier is set at 1.0.
- 15. The Supplement per ADA (col. V) is calculated by multiplying the incidence multiplier (column U) by the statewide average ADA rate of \$426. Column V represents the amount per ADA above the statewide average rate per ADA a SELPA is eligible to receive. Some of these funds are included in the SELPAs base rate (column S) and the balance in their severity supplement (column T).
- 16. With the Incidence Multiplier, it is possible to calculate the growth ADA rate for each SELPA, adjusting for the incidence of disabilities, consistent with the language of SB 1564, Section 17. Future growth ADA rate per SELPA is calculated by multiplying the Incidence Multiplier (col. U) by the state target AB 602 rate of \$426 (also the average ADA rate of all SELPAs).

Based on the simulation shown in Table 7-1, the total cost to the state of California of implementing the severity supplement is approximately \$57 million in the first year.

# Most Fair, Feasible, and Appropriate

The method developed by the research team is considered "the most fair, feasible, and appropriate," as called for in the study description, for several reasons. The approach is driven by the services received by student rather than expenses incurred by the SELPA. The previous Master Plan formula was driven by placement units, which varied substantially across SELPAs. This system created the potential incentive to place and retain a student in an inappropriate special education setting. Furthermore, this formula did not recognize the variations in the relative severity within a single disability category. Services received, on the other hand, are a better indication of the level of severity of individual students, because it reflects as best as possible what the parents, teachers, administrators, and potentially the health care providers working with the individual student decide is most appropriate and necessary through the IEP process.

Consider, for example, two students who are classified as blind by their IEP and are both placed in a Special Day Class. Little can be inferred about the relative severity or cost of service between these two students. A severity-service approach, however, provides greater insight into the relative severity of each student. From CASEMIS, we can determine that Student One receives the designated instructional services (DIS) of vision services, braille transcription, guidance services, adaptive physical education, and note taking services. Student Two only receives vision services. Who is the more "severe" or "high cost" of the two? Judging from the services provided to each student, it can be inferred that Student One requires a higher intensity of services, and therefore is the more "severe" and "high cost" student. The severity service approach improves the ability to both identify severe students and adequately compensate districts for serving these children.

The severity service model also identifies "high cost" students and SELPAs. Using services received and the standardized cost estimates for each service and placement, high cost students and SELPAs can be more clearly identified. In the past, expenditure reports were used to identify high cost SELPAs. Such reports provided limited information on the nature of special education services being provided.

The feasibility of this approach is largely due to the comprehensiveness of the CASEMIS data file. CASEMIS provides detailed student-level information on a number of important variables, especially disability category, placement, and related services. It would have been much more difficult to construct a service model without such a resource. Further, the severity service adjustment is the most feasible approach because the data sets are familiar. These data have been designed and used by California agencies such as the Department of Education and Department of

Finance, as well as SELPA administrators, district officials, and school personnel. Thus the data are familiar, well known, and readily understandable to a broad constituency.

Although the methodology involves many steps, the basic approach is straightforward and calculated with the fewest assumptions possible. The methodology is replicable by the state to determine future severity service adjustments. The data may also interest agencies in future analyses, such as identifying high cost SELPAs, reviewing practices in high versus low incidence SELPAs, and understanding distributional patterns of particular disabilities across the state.

This approach is considered most appropriate because it does not create incentives to over or under-identify students in special education placements. AB 602 did much to eliminate the potential incentive under the Master Plan to place students in more restrictive environments, since such placements were linked to varying reimbursement rates. The severity service adjustment likewise avoids creating incentives or disincentives to inappropriately identify, place, and/or serve students. If a SELPA wished to increase their eligibility for funds, they would need to drive up their net cost for high cost special education students, and they would also need maintain a percentage of high cost students relatively close to the state average plus one standard deviation.

This option, driving up net costs for high cost special education students, is unlikely because it will be very expensive for the SELPA in the short run. We recommended that the severity multiplier be calculated only once every five years to discourage artificial decisions to "run-up" services to high cost students. Moreover, if a SELPA attempted to increase services, it would not be guaranteed an increase in its severity multiplier, because the multiplier is a norm referenced figure measured against the state average special education cost per student and per high cost student. If other SELPAs also attempted to increase their costs by driving up their services, the statewide mean for a high cost student would likewise increase. One SELPA could benefit only if the majority of other SELPAs did not increase their service delivery patterns. The state could also audit SELPAs that appear to be disproportionately increasing their services by using the CASEMIS data from 1996-97 (or any years prior to the adjustment) as a baseline.

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment** 

A	B Comment Pers	Count of High	D Dadust	E NET Count of	F Ctudonto	G # High Coat	H Danian d	I	J CELDA's Test	K	L Deduct Amount	M Deduct for
SELPANAM	Current Base State SE Alloc/ADA	Count of High Cost Students	Deduct NPS C Students	NET Count of High Cost Students	Students Over The % Cut-off	# High Cost Students @ State Average	Revised 97/98 ADA	Percent High Cost ADA	SELPA's Tota Cost High Co Students		Deduct Amount per Student over Allowable Ceiling	Deduct for Exceeding Ceiling Rate
ANAHEIM ELEM	332	121	1	120	-	233	18,986	0.63%	\$ 2,353,15	3 \$ 23,130	\$ 10,174	\$ -
ANTELOPE VALLEY	458	797	-	797	-	755	61,415	1.30%	\$ 14,424,67	2 \$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BAKERSFIELD	430	128	-	128	-	310	25,209	0.51%	\$ 2,255,92	0 \$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BUTTE COUNTY	462	298	7	291	-	405	32,899	0.88%	\$ 5,369,84	5 \$ 161,910	\$ 317	\$ -
CLOVIS UNIFIED	339	294	-	294	-	366	29,793	0.99%	\$ 5,688,76	1 \$ -	\$ 5,152	\$ -
COLUSA COUNTY	438	36	-	36	-	50	4,037	0.89%	\$ 622,98	6 \$ -	\$ -	\$ -
CONTRA COSTA	507	1,026	17	1,009	-	957	77,800	1.30%	\$ 19,410,31	6 \$ 393,210	\$ 38,997	\$ -
CORONA-NORCO	352	329	34	295	-	361	29,379	1.00%	\$ 5,989,24	5 \$ 786,420	\$ -	\$ -
DESERT MOUNTAIN	426	451	4	447	-	844	68,643	0.65%	\$ 8,233,88	6 \$ 92,520	\$ -	\$ -
DOWNEY-MONTBELLO	378	565	2	563	-	621	50,491	1.12%	\$ 10,182,12	8 \$ 46,260	\$ 129	\$ -
E. SAN GABRIEL	406	1,932	217	1,715	-	1,596	129,788	1.32%	\$ 36,005,56	9 \$ 5,019,210	\$ 28,410	\$ -
EAST COUNTY	413	1,248	82	1,166	-	934	75,955	1.54%	\$ 23,317,79	1 \$ 1,896,660	\$ 16,176	\$ -
EAST VALLEY	432	1,028	106	922	-	910	73,966	1.25%	\$ 20,932,59	0 \$ 2,451,780	\$ 18,329	\$ -
EL DORADO	428	202	23	179	-	275	22,332	0.80%	\$ 3,514,10	7 \$ 531,990	\$ -	\$ -
ELK GROVE	465	527	77	450	-	468	38,096	1.18%	\$ 9,854,51	8 \$ ,781,010	\$ 6,321	\$ -
FONTANA UNIFIED	339	231	4	227	-	377	30,693	0.74%	\$ 4,279,63	3 \$ 92,520	\$ 6,039	\$ -
SELPANAM	N SELPA's Total I Cost High Co Student		st High E	P Estimated Total Est Revenues	<b>Q</b> stimated Total Rev @ State	<b>R</b> SELPA High Cost In Rel To	S Total SELP R		T Severity	<b>U</b> Incidence Multiplier	11 1	W Growth ADA
	Student			Totaliacs	Average	State Avg Svc	Over The Averag		Supplement	(Col Q / Col. P)	ADA (\$above 426)	Rate
ANAHEIM ELEM	\$ 2,319,84	49 \$ 4,36	57,378    \$		Average			e	Supplement \$ -	(Col Q / Col. P)	ADA (\$above 426)	\$ 426
ANAHEIM ELEM ANTELOPE VALLEY				6,297,516 \$	Average 8,087,874	State Avg Svc	Averag	e -		, ,	,	
	\$ 2,319,84	72 \$ 14,12		6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$	Average 8,087,874 26,162,756	State Avg Svc	Averag \$ \$ 1,987	- 7,390	\$ -	1.0000	\$ -	\$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79	27,648 \$	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$	Average 8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166	\$ - \$ 297,024	Averag \$ \$ 1,987	- 7,390 ,816	\$ - \$ -	1.0000 1.0114	\$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56	27,648 \$ 99,051 \$	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038	State Avg Svc  \$ - \$ 297,024 \$ -	Averag  \$ \$ 1,987 \$ 92	7,390 ,816 ,300	\$ - \$ - \$ -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85	27,648 \$ 99,051 \$ 67,992 \$	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$ 15,214,338 \$ 10,108,896 \$	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809	\$ - \$ 297,024 \$ - \$ - \$	\$ \$ 1,987 \$ 92 \$ 1,199 \$	- 7,390 ,816 0,300	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6	72 \$ 14.12 20 \$ 5.79 18 \$ 7.56 10 \$ 6.85 86 \$ 92	27,648 \$ 99,051 \$ 57,992 \$ 53,461 \$ 28,727 \$	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$ 15,214,338 \$ 10,108,896 \$ 1,769,141 \$	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894	\$ - \$ 297,024 \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 6	\$ \$ 1,987 \$ 92 \$ 1,199 \$	- 3390 ,816 ,3300 - 3,246	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85 86 \$ 92 09 \$ 17,89	27,648 \$ 99,051 \$ 57,992 \$ 53,461 \$ 28,727 \$	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S 10,108,896 S 1,769,141 S 39,472,726 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826	\$ 297,024 \$ 296,024 \$ - \$ - \$ -	Averag  \$ \$ 1,987 \$ 92 \$ 1,199 \$ \$	- (3.390 ,816 ,3300 - (3.246 ,900	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1	72  \$ 14,12 20  \$ 5,79 18  \$ 7,56 10  \$ 6,85 86  \$ 92 09  \$ 17,89 25  \$ 6,75	7,648 \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ 7,992 \$ \$ 3,461 \$ \$ 8,727 \$ \$ 6,821 \$ \$ 8,180 \$ \$ \$	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$ 15,214,338 \$ 10,108,896 \$ 1,769,141 \$ 39,472,726 \$ 10,330,652 \$	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360	\$ 297,024 \$ 297,024 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1,081,287	Averag  \$ 1,987 \$ 92 \$ 1,199 \$ \$ 49 \$ 6,329	- 390 ,816 ,300 - 246 ,900	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85 86 \$ 92 09 \$ 17,89 25 \$ 6,75 66 \$ 15,79	7,648 \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ \$ 7,992 \$ \$ 3,461 \$ \$ 8,727 \$ \$ 6,821 \$ \$ 8,180 \$ \$ 9,0402 \$ \$ \$	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S 10,108,896 S 1,769,141 S 39,472,726 S 10,330,652 S 29,225,442 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982	\$ - 297,024 \$ - 297,024 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ 1,081,287 \$ -	S 1,987 S 92 S 1,199 S 1,199 S 6,329 S	- 3390 ,816 ,300 - 2,246 ,900	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 440
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO DESERT MOUNTAIN	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85 86 \$ 92 09 \$ 17,85 25 \$ 6,75 66 \$ 15,79 39 \$ 11,61	7,648 \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ \$ 17,992 \$ \$ 13,461 \$ \$ 18,727 \$ \$ 18,180 \$ \$	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S 10,108,896 S 1,769,141 S 39,472,726 S 10,330,652 S 29,225,442 S 19,100,275 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982 21,509,315	State Avg Svc  \$	S 1,987 S 92 S 1,199 S 49 S 6,329 S 8	- (3.390 ,816 ,3300 - (3.246 ,900	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO DESERT MOUNTAIN DOWNEY-MONTBELLO	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3 \$ 10,135,7	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85 86 \$ 92 09 \$ 17,89 25 \$ 6,75 66 \$ 15,79 39 \$ 11,61 49 \$ 29,85	7,648 \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ \$ 9,051 \$ \$ 9,092 \$ \$ 9,3461 \$ \$ 9,8727 \$ \$ 9,6821 \$ \$ 9,8180 \$ \$ 9,402 \$ \$ 4,833 \$ \$ 5,937 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 9,000000000000000000000000000	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$ 15,214,338 \$ 10,108,896 \$ 1,769,141 \$ 39,472,726 \$ 10,330,652 \$ 29,225,442 \$ 19,100,275 \$ 52,715,086 \$	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982 21,509,315 55,289,714	\$ 297,024 \$ 297,024 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1,081,287 \$ - \$ -	Averag  \$ 1,987 \$ 92 \$ 1,199 \$ \$ 49 \$ 6,329 \$ \$	- (3.390 ,816 ,300 - (3.246 ,900 (-	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO DESERT MOUNTAIN DOWNEY-MONTBELLO E. SAN GABRIEL	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3 \$ 10,135,7 \$ 30,957,9	72  \$ 14,12 20  \$ 5,79 18  \$ 7,56 10  \$ 6,85 86  \$ 92 09  \$ 17,89 25  \$ 6,75 66  \$ 15,79 39  \$ 11,61 49  \$ 29,85 55  \$ 17,47	27,648 S 19,051 S 17,992 S 13,461 S 18,727 S 16,821 S 18,180 S 10,402 S 4,833 S 15,937 S 12,336 S	6,297,516 \$ 28,150,146 \$ 10,831,982 \$ 15,214,338 \$ 10,108,896 \$ 1,769,141 \$ 39,472,726 \$ 10,330,652 \$ 29,225,442 \$ 19,100,275 \$ 52,715,086 \$ 31,348,588 \$	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982 21,509,315 55,289,714 32,356,728	State Avg Svc  \$	S 1,987 S 92 S 1,199 S 5 6,329 S 5 5 5 5 5	- (3.390 ,816 ,300 - (3.246 ,900	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO DESERT MOUNTAIN DOWNEY-MONTBELLO E. SAN GABRIEL EAST COUNTY	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3 \$ 10,135,7 \$ 30,957,9 \$ 21,404,9	72  \$ 14,12 20  \$ 5,79 18  \$ 7,56 10  \$ 6,85 86  \$ 92 09  \$ 17,89 25  \$ 6,75 66  \$ 15,79 39  \$ 11,61 49  \$ 29,85 55  \$ 17,47 81  \$ 17,01	27,648 S 19,051 S 17,992 S 13,461 S 18,727 S 16,821 S 18,180 S 10,402 S 4,833 S 15,937 S 12,336 S	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S 10,108,896 S 1,769,141 S 39,472,726 S 10,330,652 S 29,225,442 S 19,100,275 S 52,715,086 S 31,348,588 S 31,987,056 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982 21,509,315 55,289,714 32,356,728 31,509,422	State Avg Svc  \$	S 1,987 S 92 S 1,199 S 49 S 6,329 S 5 S 5 S 477	7,390 ,816 ,300 - ,2246 ,900 - - -	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0199 1.1215	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 7	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 428 \$ 428
ANTELOPE VALLEY BAKERSFIELD BUTTE COUNTY CLOVIS UNIFIED COLUSA COUNTY CONTRA COSTA CORONA-NORCO DESERT MOUNTAIN DOWNEY-MONTBELLO E. SAN GABRIEL EAST COUNTY EAST VALLEY	\$ 2,319,84 \$ 14,424,6 \$ 2,255,9 \$ 5,207,6 \$ 5,683,6 \$ 622,9 \$ 18,978,1 \$ 5,202,8 \$ 8,141,3 \$ 10,135,7 \$ 30,957,9 \$ 21,404,9 \$ 18,462,4	72 \$ 14,12 20 \$ 5,79 18 \$ 7,56 10 \$ 6,85 86 \$ 92 09 \$ 17,89 25 \$ 6,75 66 \$ 15,79 39 \$ 11,61 49 \$ 29,85 55 \$ 17,47 81 \$ 17,01 17 \$ 5,13	27,648 S 19,051 S 17,992 S 13,461 S 18,727 S 16,821 S 18,180 S 10,402 S 4,833 S 15,937 S 12,336 S 4,799 S	6,297,516 S 28,150,146 S 10,831,982 S 15,214,338 S 10,108,896 S 1,769,141 S 39,472,726 S 10,330,652 S 29,225,442 S 19,100,275 S 52,715,086 S 31,348,588 S 31,987,056 S 9,564,117 S	8,087,874 26,162,756 10,739,166 14,015,038 12,691,809 1,719,894 33,142,826 12,515,360 29,241,982 21,509,315 55,289,714 32,356,728 31,509,422 9,513,475	State Avg Svc  \$	S 1,987 S 92 S 1,199 S 49 S 6,329 S 5 S 8		S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	1.0000 1.0114 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0326 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0199 1.1215 1.0459	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 426 \$ 431 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 440 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 427 \$ 428 \$ 428 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)** 

A	<b>B</b> Current	Base Cou	<b>C</b> int of Hig	<b>D</b> h Deduct	<b>E</b> NET Count		<b>F</b> dents	<b>G</b> # High Cost	<b>H</b> Revised	<b>I</b> Percent	SELP	<b>J</b> 'A's Total		<b>K</b> t NPS C	<b>L</b> Deduct A			<b>M</b> uct for
SELPANAM	State S Alloc/A	SE Cos	st Student:		High Cost s Students		r the % it-off	Students @ State Average	97/98 ADA	High Cost ADA		High Cost udents	Stu	ident	per Studer Allowable		Exc	eeding ng Rate
FOOTHILL	339		610	14	596		-	586	47,676	1.25%	\$	11,923,918	\$	323,820	\$	47,878	\$	_
FRESNO COUNTY	420		556	-	556		-	740	60,180	0.92%	\$	10,333,622	\$	-	\$	24,788	\$	-
FRESNO UNIFIED	386		946	-	946		-	888	72,237	1.31%	\$	18,004,243	\$	-	\$	24,038	\$	-
GARDEN GROVE	369		857	1	856	1	140	540	43,911	1.95%	\$	17,016,463	\$	23,130	\$	67,398	\$	1,670,776
GLENN COUNTY	530		36	-	36		-	73	5,903	0.61%	\$	620,785	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
GREATER ANAHEIM	350		524	1	523		-	627	51,003	1.03%	\$	11,141,242	\$	23,130	\$	26,579	\$	-
HUMB - DEL NORTE	420		234	-	234		-	311	25,326	0.92%	\$	4,057,766	\$	-	\$	11,632	\$	-
IMPERIAL COUNTY	374		145	-	145		-	375	30,517	0.48%	\$	3,072,334	\$	-	\$	4,672	\$	-
INYO COUNTY	474		19	-	19		-	41	3,304	0.57%	\$	316,793	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
IRVINE UNIFIED	414		358	-	358		-	278	22,590	1.58%	\$	6,194,154	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
KERN COUNTY	386		497	-	497		_	1,015	82,515	0.60%	\$	9,330,514	\$	-	\$	8,311	\$	-
KERN UNION HIGH	269		164	-	164		_	302	24,552	0.67%	\$	2,997,079	\$	-	\$	35,618	\$	-
KINGS COUNTY	387		102	-	102		-	286	23,280	0.44%	\$	1,827,040	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
LAKE COUNTY	448		74	-	74		-	114	9,290	0.80%	\$	1,391,014	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
LASSEN COUNTY	702		43	-	43		-	64	5, 214	0.82%	\$	718,461	\$	-	\$	653	\$	-
	1	N .		)	p	0		R	S		Т		U		V			w
SELPANAM	SELPA's Cost Hi	Total Net gh Cost lent	Total C		Estimated Total Revenues		State	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP R Over The Averag	State	Severit Supplem		•	Multiplier Col. P)	Suppleme ADA (\$abo	ent per	Grow	th ADA Rate
							0	9		,								
FOOTHILL		11,552,220	\$ 1	0,967,242	\$ 16,181,596		10,053	\$ 584,978		-	\$ 5	584,978		1.0288	\$	12.27		\$ 438
FRESNO COUNTY	\$	10,308,835	\$ 1	3,843,618	\$ 25,253,964	\$ 25,6	36,765	\$ -										ė 400
FRESNO UNIFIED	\$	17,980,205							\$	-	\$	-		1.0000	\$	-		\$ 426
GARDEN GROVE		17,500,205	\$ 1	6,617,098	\$ 27,902,225	\$ 30,7	72,928	\$ 1,363,107		-		- 363,107				18.87		\$ 426 \$ 445
GLENN COUNTY	\$	15,255,159		6,617,098 0,101,032	\$ 27,902,225 \$ 16,204,754		72,928 05,933	\$ 1,363,107 \$ 5,154,128	\$	- - -	\$ 1,3	- 363,107 154,128		1.0000	\$	18.87		
GLEINN COUNT I	\$ \$		\$ 1			\$ 18,7			\$	612,833	\$ 1,3	· ·		1.0000 1.0443	\$ \$	18.87		\$ 445
GREATER ANAHEIM	\$	15,255,159	\$ 1 \$	0,101,032	\$ 16,204,754	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5	05,933	\$ 5,154,128	\$ \$	-	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1	· ·		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755	\$ \$ \$	18.87		\$ 445 \$ 543
	\$	15,255,159 620,785	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1	0,101,032 1,357,804	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7	05,933 14,495	\$ 5,154,128 \$ -	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$	· ·		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$	18.87		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM	\$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7	05,933 14,495 27,154	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$ \$	· ·		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	18.87		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE	\$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$ \$	· ·		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	18.87		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE IMPERIAL COUNTY	\$ \$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134 3,067,662	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$ \$ \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495 \$ 11,406,730	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0 \$ 1,4	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757 00,289	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 612,833 - - -	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	· ·		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	18.87		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE IMPERIAL COUNTY INYO COUNTY	\$ \$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134 3,067,662 316,793	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037 760,152	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495 \$ 11,406,730 \$ 1,567,285	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0 \$ 1,4 \$ 9,6	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757 00,289 07,713	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 612,833 - - -	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 18.87 117.38 - - -		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE IMPERIAL COUNTY INYO COUNTY IRVINE UNIFIED	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134 3,067,662 316,793 6,194,154	\$ 1 \$ \$ \$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037 760,152 5,196,547	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495 \$ 11,406,730 \$ 1,567,285 \$ 9,350,857	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0 \$ 1,4 \$ 9,6 \$ 35,1	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757 00,289 07,713 23,400	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 612,833 - - -	\$ 1,3 \$ 5,1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.1037	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 18.87 117.38 - - -		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 470
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE IMPERIAL COUNTY INYO COUNTY IRVINE UNIFIED KERN COUNTY	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134 3,067,662 316,793 6,194,154 9,322,203	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037 760,152 5,196,547 8,981,428 5,647,839	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495 \$ 11,406,730 \$ 1,567,285 \$ 9,350,857 \$ 31,878,717	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0 \$ 1,4 \$ 9,6 \$ 35,1 \$ 10,4	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757 00,289 07,713 23,400 51,390	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 997,606 \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 612,833 - - -	\$ 1,55,5 \$ 5,5 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.1037 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 18.87 117.38 - - -		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426
GREATER ANAHEIM HUMB - DEL NORTE IMPERIAL COUNTY INYO COUNTY IRVINE UNIFIED KERN COUNTY KERN UNION HIGH	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	15,255,159 620,785 11,091,533 4,046,134 3,067,662 316,793 6,194,154 9,322,203 2,961,461	\$ 1 \$ \$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0,101,032 1,357,804 1,732,464 5,825,830 7,020,037 760,152 5,196,547 8,981,428 5,647,839 5,355,127	\$ 16,204,754 \$ 3,127,328 \$ 17,852,081 \$ 10,636,495 \$ 11,406,730 \$ 1,567,285 \$ 9,350,857 \$ 31,878,717 \$ 6,612,949	\$ 18,7 \$ 2,5 \$ 21,7 \$ 10,7 \$ 13,0 \$ 1,4 \$ 9,6 \$ 35,1 \$ 10,4 \$ 9,9	05,933 14,495 27,154 88,757 00,289 07,713 23,400 51,390 559,139	\$ 5,154,128 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 997,606 \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 612,833 - - -	\$ 1,5 \$ 5,1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -		1.0000 1.0443 1.2755 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.1037 1.0000 1.0000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 18.87 117.38 - - -		\$ 445 \$ 543 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 426 \$ 470 \$ 426 \$ 426

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)** 

LODI \$ 4,346,187 \$ 5,751,252 \$ 9,671,260 \$ 10,650,648 \$ - \$ - \$ - 1.0000 \$ -	SELPANAM	State S						of	Students	# High	Cast	D	D .	. CT	יר היא מדי <sub>י</sub>	tol.	Dod	A NIDC C	Doduct	1 mount	Dod	3	
LODI		Alloc/A		ost otudent					Over The %	Studen	ıts @		High Co		st High C	ost			per Stud	ent over	Exc	ceedir	ng
LONG BEACH   339   1,089   92   997   -   1,020   82,941   1,20%   \$ 21,29,716   \$ 21,27,60   \$ 6,439   \$ \$ MADERA-MARIPOSA   373   188   -   188   -   307   24,965   0.75%   \$ 3,616,887   \$ -   \$ 20,476   \$ \$ MARIN   637   441   127   314   -   332   26,974   1,16%   \$ 8,095,420   \$ 2,937,510   \$ 3,800   \$ \$ MENDOCINO   806   296   85   211   -   181   14,759   1,43%   \$ 5,751,7935   \$ 1,966,050   \$ -   \$ \$ 45,391   \$ \$ \$ MERCED   263   630   -   630   -   576   46,828   1,35%   \$ 13,402,990   \$ -     \$ 45,391   \$ \$ \$ MID CITIES   396   751   32   719   -   878   71,366   1,01%   \$ 13,956,588   \$ 740,160   \$ 9,981   \$ \$ MID COUNTY   404   602   53   549   -   565   45,978   1,19%   \$ 1,1176,688   \$ 1,225,890   \$ -   \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	LAUSD	493		11,560	633		10,927		610	7,78	35	633,052	1.73%		\$ 224,520	),575	\$	14,641,290	\$	95,76	3 \$	7,25′	7,707
MADERA-MARIPOSA         373         188         -         188         -         307         24,965         0.75%         \$ 3,616,887         \$ -         \$ 20,476         \$           MARIN         637         441         127         314         -         332         26,974         1.16%         \$ 8,695,420         \$ 2,937,510         \$ 3,800         \$           MENDOCINO         806         296         85         211         -         181         14,759         1.43%         \$ 5,775,935         \$ 1,966,050         \$ -         \$           MERCED         263         630         -         630         -         576         46,828         1.35%         \$ 13,402,990         \$ -         \$ 45,391         \$           MID COUNTY         404         602         53         549         -         565         45,798         1.19%         \$ 11,176,688         \$ 740,160         \$ 9,981         \$           MODESTO         335         376         10         366         -         366         29,732         1.23%         \$ 6,804,595         \$ 231,300         \$ 2,028         \$           MONO         660         22         -         22         -         22	LODI	387		243	12		231		-	30	7	25,002	0.92%		\$ 4,632	2,136	\$	277,560	\$	8,38	\$		-
MARIN  637  441  127  314  - 332  26,974  1.16%  \$ 8,695,420  \$ 2,937,510  \$ 3,800  \$ \$ MENDOCINO  806  296  85  211  - 181  14,759  1.43%  \$ \$ 5,775,935  \$ 1,966,050  \$ \$	LONG BEACH	339		1,089	92		997		-	1,02	20	82,941	1.20%		\$ 21,290	0,716	\$	2,127,960	\$	6,43	9 \$		-
MENDOCINO 806 296 85 211 - 181 14,759 1.43% \$ 5,775,935 \$ 1,966,050 \$ - \$ \$ MERCED 263 630 - 630 - 576 46,828 1.35% \$ 13,402,990 \$ - \$ 45,391 \$ MID CITIES 396 751 32 719 - 878 71,366 1.01% \$ 13,956,588 \$ 740,160 \$ 9,981 \$ MID COUNTY 404 602 53 549 - 565 45,978 1.19% \$ 11,176,688 \$ 1,225,890 \$ - \$ \$ MODESTO 335 376 10 366 - 366 29,732 1.23% \$ 6,804,595 \$ 231,300 \$ 20,228 \$ MODOC 923 13 - 13 - 13 - 25 2,053 0.63% \$ 201,498 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ MONONO 660 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 1,783 1.23% \$ 342,904 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ MONOTEREY 357 503 - 503 - 503 - 781 63,484 0.79% \$ 10,091,382 \$ - \$ \$ 38,917 \$ \$ MORENO VALLEY 392 388 22 366 - 338 29,131 1.26% \$ 7,517,063 \$ 508,660 \$ \$ 12,521 \$ \$ MORONGO 440 139 2 1137 - 114 9,310 1.47% \$ 2,732,024 \$ 46,260 \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	MADERA-MARIPOSA	373		188	-		188		_	30	7	24,965	0.75%		\$ 3,610	6,887	\$	-	\$	20,47	3 \$		-
MERCED 263 630 - 630 - 576 46,828 1.35% \$ 13,402,990 \$ - \$ 45,391 \$ MID CITIES 396 751 32 719 - 878 71,366 1.01% \$ 13,956,588 \$ 740,160 \$ 9,981 \$ MID COUNTY 404 602 53 549 - 565 45,978 1.19% \$ 11,176,688 \$ 1,225,890 \$ - \$ MODESTO 335 376 10 366 - 366 29,732 1.23% \$ 6,804,595 \$ 231,300 \$ 2,028 \$ MODOC 923 113 - 13 - 25 2,053 0.63% \$ 201,498 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ MONO 660 22 - 22 - 22 1,783 1.23% \$ 342,904 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ MONTEREY 357 503 - 503 - 781 63,484 0.79% \$ 10,091,382 \$ - \$ 38,917 \$ \$ MORENO VALLEY 392 388 22 366 - 358 29,131 1.26% \$ 7,517,063 \$ 508,860 \$ 12,521 \$ \$ MORONGO 440 139 2 1137 - 114 9,310 1.47% \$ 2,732,024 \$ 46,260 \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	MARIN	637		441	127		314		-	33	2	26,974	1.16%		\$ 8,69	5,420	\$	2,937,510	\$	3,80	\$		-
MID CITIES  396 751 32 719 - 878 71,366 1.01% \$13,956,588 \$740,160 \$9,981 \$  MID COUNTY 404 602 53 549 - 565 45,978 1.19% \$11,176,688 \$1,225,890 \$- \$  MODESTO 335 376 10 366 - 366 29,732 1.23% \$6,804,595 \$231,300 \$2,028 \$  MODOC 923 13 - 13 - 13 - 25 2,053 0.63% \$201,498 \$- \$201,498 \$- \$342,904 \$- \$440 \$-	MENDOCINO	806		296	85		211		-	18	1	14,759	1.43%		\$ 5,77	5,935	\$	1,966,050	\$		- \$		-
MID COUNTY  404 602 53 549 - 565 45,978 1.19% \$11,176,688 \$1,225,890 \$- \$ MODESTO 335 376 10 366 - 366 29,732 1.23% \$6,804,595 \$231,300 \$2,028 \$ MODOC 923 13 - 13 - 13 - 25 20,53 0.63% \$201,498 \$- \$- \$- \$- \$ MONO 660 22 - 22 - 22 1,783 1.23% \$342,904 \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$ MONOPIEREY 357 503 - 503 - 781 63,484 0.79% \$10,091,382 \$- \$- \$38,917 \$5 MORENO VALLEY 392 388 22 366 - 358 29,131 1.26% \$5,751,7063 \$508,860 \$12,521 \$5 MORONGO 440 139 2 137 - 114 9,310 1.47% \$2,732,024 \$46,260 \$5 1,061 Q / Col. P)  ADA (Sabove 426)  Average  LAUSD \$202,525,810 \$145,624,906 \$312,073,040 \$269,680,339 \$56,900,903 \$4,346,187 \$5,751,252 \$9,671,260 \$10,650,648 \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	MERCED	263		630	-		630		-	570	6	46,828	1.35%		\$ 13,40	2,990	\$	-	\$	45,39	1 \$		-
MODESTO         335         376         10         366         -         366         29,732         1.23%         \$ 6,804,595         \$ 231,300         \$ 2,028         \$ MODOC           MODOC         923         13         -         13         -         25         2,053         0.63%         \$ 201,498         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	MID CITIES	396		751	32		719		-	878	8	71,366	1.01%		\$ 13,950	6,588	\$	740,160	\$	9,98	1 \$		-
MODOC         923         13         -         13         -         25         2,053         0,63%         \$ 201,498         \$ -         \$ -         \$ S           MONO         660         22         -         22         -         22         1,783         1,23%         \$ 342,904         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ S           MONTEREY         357         503         -         503         -         781         63,484         0.79%         \$ 10,091,382         \$ -         \$ 38,917         \$ MORENO VALLEY           MORONGO         440         139         2         137         -         114         9,310         1.47%         \$ 2,732,024         \$ 46,260         \$ -         \$ S           SELPA's Total Net Cost High Student         Cost High Cost Student         Cost Student         Revenues Rev @ State Average         Rev @ State Avg Svc         Total SELP Revenues Over the State Average         Total SELP Revenues Over the S	MID COUNTY	404		602	53		549		-	56	5	45,978	1.19%		\$ 11,170	6,688	\$	1,225,890	\$		- \$		-
MONO         660         22         -         22         -         22         1,783         1,23%         \$ 342,904         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ MONOTEREY           MONTEREY         357         503         -         503         -         781         63,484         0.79%         \$ 10,091,382         \$ -         \$ 38,917         \$ 38,917         \$ MORONGO         \$ 388         22         366         -         358         29,131         1.26%         \$ 7,517,063         \$ 508,860         \$ 12,521	MODESTO	335		376	10		366		-	36	6	29,732	1.23%		\$ 6,80	1,595	\$	231,300	\$	2,02	3 \$		-
MONTEREY         357         503         -         503         -         781         63,484         0.79%         \$ 10,091,382         \$ -         \$ 38,917         \$ MORENO VALLEY           MORENO VALLEY         392         388         22         366         -         358         29,131         1.26%         \$ 7,517,063         \$ 508,860         \$ 12,521         \$ 12,521         \$ 10,091,382         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,482         \$ 10,091,4	MODOC	923		13	-		13		-	25	j	2,053	0.63%		\$ 20:	1,498	\$	-	\$		- \$		-
MORENO VALLEY         392         388         22         366         -         358         29,131         1.26%         \$ 7,517,063         \$ 508,860         \$ 12,521         \$           MORONGO         440         139         2         137         -         114         9,310         1.47%         \$ 2,732,024         \$ 46,260         \$         -         \$           SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost Student         Total Cost High Cost Student         Total Cost High Cost Revenues         Estimated Total Rev @ State Average         SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Average         Total SELP Revenues over the State Average         Severity Supplement         Incidence Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)         Supplement ADA (Sabove 426)         Rate           LAUSD         \$ 202,525,810         \$ 145,624,906         \$ 312,073,040         \$ 269,680,339         \$ 56,900,903         \$ 42,392,701         \$ 14,508,202         1.2110         \$ 89.88         \$ 1.201           LODI         \$ 4,346,187         \$ 5,751,252         \$ 9,671,260         \$ 10,650,648         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         1.0000         \$ -         \$ -	MONO	660		22	-		22		-	22	2	1,783	1.23%		\$ 342	2,904	\$	-	\$		- \$		-
MORONGO	MONTEREY	357		503	-		503		-	78	1	63,484	0.79%		\$ 10,09	1,382	\$	-	\$	38,91	7 \$		-
N   SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost Student   Total Cost High Cost Student   Studen	MORENO VALLEY	392		388	22		366		-	358	8	29,131	1.26%		\$ 7,517	7,063	\$	508,860	\$	12,52	1 \$		-
SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost Student  SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost High Student  SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost High Cost Student  SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost High Cost Student  SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost Student  SELPA's Total Net Total SELP Revenues Severity Supplement  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Average  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Average  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel Total State Avg Svc  Severity Supplement Per Cost In Rel Total	MORONGO	440		139	2		137		-	11-	4	9,310	1.47%		\$ 2,732	2,024	\$	46,260	\$		- \$		-
SELPA's Total Net Cost High Cost Student  SELPA's Total Net Total SELP Revenues  Severity  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement Per Cost High Cost Student  Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  State Avg Svc  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement Per Cost High Cost Student  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement  Supplement Per Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  Supplement  Su																							
LODI \$ 4,346,187 \$ 5,751,252 \$ 9,671,260 \$ 10,650,648 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1.0000 \$ -	SELPANAM	SELPA's ' Cost Hi	Total Net gh Cost	Total C	ost High		mated Total		imated Total ev @ State	SELPA Cost In F	High Rel To	Total SELP I over the	State	Sev	erity		dence	Multiplier	Supplen	nent per	Grow	vth A	DA
	LAUSD	\$ 20	02,525,810	) \$ 14	15,624,906	\$ 3	312,073,040	\$	269,680,339	\$ 56,9	900,903	\$ 42	2,392,701	\$	14,508,202	2		1.2110	Ç	89.8	3	\$	516
LONG DEACH C 10.155 917 C 10.070.409 C 90.109.079 C 25.999.077 C 76.094 C C 76.094 1.0099 C 0.09	LODI	\$	4,346,187	7 \$	5,751,252	\$	9,671,260	\$	10,650,648	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-		1.0000	9	;	-	\$	426
LONG DEACH \$ 19,100,517 \$ 19,079,465 \$ 26,100,072 \$ 50,502,977 \$ 70,854 \$ - \$ 70,854 \$ 1.0022 \$ 0.93 \$	LONG BEACH	\$ 1	19,156,317	7 \$ 1	9,079,483	\$	28,103,072	\$	35,332,977	\$	76,834	\$	-	\$	76,834	1		1.0022	9	0.9	3	\$	427
MADERA-MARIPOSA \$ 3,596,411 \$ 5,742,851 \$ 9,322,033 \$ 10,635,090 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1.0000 \$ -	MADERA-MARIPOSA	\$	3,596,411	1 \$	5,742,851	\$	9,322,033	\$	10,635,090	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-		1.0000	9	:	-	\$	426
MARIN \$ 5,754,110 \$ 6,204,991 \$ 17,172,864 \$ 11,490,920 \$ - \$ 5,681,944 \$ - 1.0000 \$ -	MARIN	\$	5,754,110	\$	6,204,991	\$	17,172,864	\$	11,490,920	\$	-	\$	5,681,944	\$		-		1.0000	9	:	-	\$	426
MENDOCINO \$ 3,809,885 \$ 3,395,176 \$ 11,890,042 \$ 6,287,470 \$ 414,708 \$ 5,602,572 \$ - 1.0660 \$ -	MENDOCINO	\$	3,809,885	5 \$	3,395,176	\$	11,890,042	\$	6,287,470	\$	414,708	\$	5,602,572	\$		-		1.0660	9	:	-	\$	454
MERCED \$ 13,357,598 \$ 10,772,130 \$ 12,338,072 \$ 19,948,728 \$ 2,585,469 \$ - \$ 2,585,469 \$ 1.1296 \$ 55.21	MERCED	\$ 1	13,357,598	3 \$ 1	0,772,130	\$	12,338,072	\$	19,948,728	\$ 2,5	585,469	\$	-	\$	2,585,469	)		1.1296	9	55.2	1	\$	481
MID CITIES \$ 13,206,447 \$ 16,416,857 \$ 28,256,428 \$ 30,402,103 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1.0000 \$ -	MID CITIES	\$ 1	13,206,447	7 \$ 1	6,416,857	\$	28,256,428	\$	30,402,103	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-		1.0000	9	:	-	\$	426
NID COLINERY A 0.050,000 A 40,500,000 A 40,500,000 A	MID COUNTY	\$	9,950,798	3 \$ 1	0,576,618	\$	18,560,801	\$	19,586,662	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-		1.0000	9	;	-	\$	426
MID COUNTY \$ 9,950,798 \$ 10,576,618 \$ 18,560,801 \$ 19,586,662 \$ - \$ - \$ - 1.0000 \$ -	MODESTO	\$	6,571,267	7 \$	6,839,513	\$	9,965,356	\$	12,665,981	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-		1.0000	9		-	\$	426
	MODOC	\$	201,498	3 \$	472,264	\$	1,895,375	\$	74,578	\$	-	\$	1,020,797	\$		-		1.0000	9		-	\$	426
MODESTO \$ 6,571,267 \$ 6,839,513 \$ 9,965,356 \$ 12,665,981 \$ - \$ - \$ 1.0000 \$ -	MONO	\$	342,904	4 \$	410,120	\$	1,176,645	\$	759,494	\$	-	\$	417,151	\$		-		1.0000	9		-	\$	426
MODESTO \$ 6,571,267 \$ 6,839,513 \$ 9,965,356 \$ 12,665,981 \$ - \$ - \$ 1.0000 \$ - \$ MODOC \$ 201,498 \$ 472,264 \$ 1,895,375 \$ 74,578 \$ - \$ 1,020,797 \$ - 1.0000 \$ - \$	MONO							_	07 044 007	٥				c				1 0000					426
MODESTO       \$ 6,571,267       \$ 6,839,513       \$ 9,965,356       \$ 12,665,981       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 1,0000       \$ -       \$ MODOC         MODOC       \$ 201,498       \$ 472,264       \$ 1,895,375       \$ 74,578       \$ -       \$ 1,020,797       \$ -       1,0000       \$ -       \$ 8         MONO       \$ 342,904       \$ 410,120       \$ 1,176,645       \$ 759,494       \$ -       \$ 417,151       \$ -       1,0000       \$ -       \$ 8		\$ 1	10,052,466	3 \$ 1	4,603,671	\$	22,689,617	\$	27,044,295	\$	-	\$	-	Ş		-		1.0000		•	-	\$	420
MODESTO       \$ 6,571,267       \$ 6,839,513       \$ 9,965,356       \$ 12,665,981       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 1,0000       \$ -       \$	MONTEREY										- 294,572	· ·	-		294,572	2					1	\$ \$	426

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)** 

A	В	С	_	E		F	G	Н	I		J	K		L			M	
SELPANAM	Current Base State SE Alloc/ADA	Count of Cost Stu		C High Co	st	Students Over The % Cut-off	# High Cost Students @ State Average	Revised 97/98 ADA	Percent High Cos ADA	t Cos	LPA's Total st High Cost Students	Deduct NPS Student	]	Deduct A per Stude Allowable	nt over	Ex	duct f ceedi ling R	ng
MT. DIABLO	467	592	2 5	587		25	424	34,484	1.70%	(	\$ 11,467,87	6 \$ 115,6	350	\$	45,155	\$	29	7,551
N.E. ORANGE	359	347	7 -	347		-	365	29,716	1.17%	9	6,857,00	8 \$	-	\$	3,720	\$		-
NAPA	490	380	3 45	341		49	221	17,947	1.90%	9	7,242,71	5 \$ 1,040,8	350	\$	17,809	\$	57	7,343
NEWPORT-MESA	421	232	2 4	228		-	237	19,255	1.18%	9	3 4,710,04	3 \$ 92,5	520	\$	-	\$		-
NO. ORANGE	372	44	5 51	394		-	596	48,448	0.81%	5	8,795,87	2 \$ 1,179,6	330	\$	1,976	\$		-
NORTH COASTAL	411	1,16	55 24	1,141		-	1,133	92,112	1.24%	9	3 21,603,99	00 \$ 555,1	120	\$	16,076	\$		-
NORTH INLAND	371	542	2 33	509		-	444	36,142	1.41%	9	9,730,50	2 \$ 763,2	290	\$	12,436	\$		-
NORTH REGION	447	365	6	359		-	309	25,138	1.43%	5	6,906,80	3 \$ 138,7	780	\$	-	\$		-
NORWALK-LA MIRADA	377	518	3 1	517		-	522	42,418	1.22%	9	3 10,287,32	27 \$ 23,1	130	\$	5,035	\$		-
OAKLAND	453	85	1 89	762		-	625	50,859	1.50%	9	3 16,640,32	25 \$ 2,058,5	570	\$	18,436	\$		-
ORANGE UNIFIED	424	399	) 11	388		-	341	27,704	1.40%	9	7,793,18	30 \$ 254,4	430	\$	13,462	\$		-
PAJARO	487	290	-	296		7	218	17,728	1.67%	5	5,669,33	3 \$	-	\$	13,000	\$	8	4,151
PASADENA	440	682	2 170	512		153	271	22,033	2.32%	9	3 13,482,28	\$ 3,932,1	100	\$	939	\$	1,82	0,198
PLACER-NEVADA	370	53:	1 46	485		-	737	59,955	0.81%	5	9,517,08	\$ 1,063,9	980	\$	9,451	\$		-
PLUMAS UNIFIED	386	14	-	14		-	42	3,390	0.41%		\$ 272,10	94 \$	-	\$	-	\$		-
SELPANAM	N SELPA's Tota Cost High C Student		O tal Cost High Cost Student	P Estimated Tota Revenues		<b>Q</b> stimated Total Rev @ State Average	R SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	S Total SELP I over the Avera	State	_	3	<b>U</b> ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P		<b>V</b> Suppleme ADA (\$abo		Grov	<b>W</b> wth A Rate	DΑ
SELPANAM MT. DIABLO	SELPA's Tota Cost High ( Student		tal Cost High	Estimated Tota Revenues	F	stimated Total Rev @ State Average	SELPA High Cost In Rel To	Total SELP I over the Avera	State	Seve Supple	erity I	ncidence Multipl	) A	Suppleme			wth A	
	SELPA's Tota Cost High C Student \$ 11,00	Cost (	tal Cost High Cost Student	Estimated Total Revenues	F 88 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  14,690,018	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	Seve Supple	erity In ement	ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P	) A	Suppleme ADA (\$abo	ove 426)		wth A Rate	515
MT. DIABLO	SELPA's Tota Cost High C Student \$ 11,00 \$ 6,88	Cost C 09,520 \$	tal Cost High Cost Student 7,932,47	Estimated Tota Revenues 5 \$ 16,105,43 \$ 10,657,05	88 \$ 66 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average 14,690,018 12,658,918	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	Seve Supple	erity In ement 1,661,625	ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P	) A 095 014	Suppleme ADA (\$abo	89.23		wth A Rate	515 427
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE	SELPA's Tota Cost High C Student \$ 11,00 \$ 6,83 \$ 5,60	09,520 \$ \$ 53,287 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69	Estimated Tota Revenues 5 \$ 16,105,43 5 \$ 10,657,05 6 \$ 8,799,38	F	timated Total Rev @ State Average 14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple \$ \$	erity Interest Intere	ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P 1.20 1.00	) A 095 014 933	Suppleme ADA (\$abo \$ \$	89.23 0.59		wth A Rate	515 427 508
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA	SELPA's Tota  Cost High C  Student  \$ 11,00  \$ 6,80  \$ 5,60  \$ 4,60	O9,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 06,713 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32	Estimated Tota Revenues  \$ 16,105,43  \$ 10,657,05  \$ 8,799,38  \$ 8,097,13	88 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	timated Total Rev @ State Average  14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple \$ \$ \$	erity Interest Intere	ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P 1.20 1.00 1.15	095 014 933 229	Suppleme ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA	SELPA's Tota  Cost High C  Student  \$ 11,00  \$ 6,88  \$ 5,60  \$ 4,6  \$ 7,6	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 96,713 \$ 17,523 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79	Estimated Tota Revenues  1	38 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	timated Total Rev @ State Average  14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple \$ \$ \$ \$	erity Interest Intere	ncidence Multipl (Col Q / Col. P 1.20 1.00 1.15 1.02	095 014 933 229	Suppleme ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE	\$ 11,00 \$ 6,83 \$ 5,60 \$ 7,6 \$ 21,00	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 06,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79	Estimated Tota Revenues  1	38 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	timated Total Rev @ State Average 14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	erity Interest Intere	1.20 1.00 1.00 1.10 1.00 1.10	095 014 933 229 000	Suppleme ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL	\$ 11,00 \$ 6,83 \$ 5,60 \$ 7,6 \$ 21,03 \$ 8,95	O9,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 96,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05	Estimated Tota Revenues  \$ 16,105,43 \$ 10,657,05 \$ 8,799,38 \$ 8,097,13 \$ 18,021,21 \$ 37,847,52 \$ 13,420,80	38 S 36 S 39 S 30 S .7 S 29 S	timated Total Rev @ State Average  14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ -	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195	1.20 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.00	095 014 933 229 000 000 416	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND	SELPA's Tota  Cost High C  Student  \$ 11,00  \$ 6,83  \$ 5,60  \$ 4,6  \$ 7,60  \$ 21,00  \$ 8,93  \$ 6,70	O9,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 06,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93	Estimated Tota Revenues  1 16,105,43 1 10,657,05 1 8,799,38 1 8,097,13 2 18,021,21 2 37,847,52 3 13,420,80 3 11,232,84	38 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	timated Total Rev @ State Average 14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195	1.20 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	095 014 933 229 000 000 416 920	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 - 17.73		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND NORTH REGION	\$ 11,00 \$ 6,83 \$ 5,60 \$ 7,6 \$ 21,00 \$ 8,99 \$ 6,70 \$ 10,25	O9,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 06,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$ 58,023 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93 5,782,67 9,757,63	Estimated Tota Revenues  \$ 16,105,43 \$ 10,657,05 \$ 8,799,38 \$ 8,097,13 \$ 18,021,21 \$ 37,847,52 \$ 13,420,80 \$ 11,232,84 \$ 16,000,13	88 \$ \$66 \$ \$60 \$ \$7 \$ \$29 \$ \$03 \$ \$19 \$ \$39 \$	14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843 18,070,008	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842 \$ 985,346	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195 	1.20 1.00 1.15 1.00 1.16 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	O95 O14 O33 O229 O00 O00 416 O20 O278	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 - 17.73 39.20		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465 438
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND NORTH REGION NORWALK-LA MIRADA	\$ 11,00 \$ 6,83 \$ 5,66 \$ 7,6 \$ 21,03 \$ 8,93 \$ 6,70 \$ 10,23 \$ 14,5	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 96,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$ 68,023 \$ 59,162 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93 5,782,67 9,757,63 11,699,34	Estimated Tota Revenues  \$ 16,105,43 \$ 10,657,05 \$ 8,799,38 \$ 8,097,13 \$ 18,021,21 \$ 37,847,52 \$ 13,420,80 \$ 11,232,84 \$ 16,000,13 \$ 23,038,90	H	14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843 18,070,008 21,665,823	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842 \$ 985,346 \$ 501,524	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421 - 1,153,860 524,005	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195 	1.20 1.00 1.15 1.00 1.16 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	095 014 933 229 000 000 416 920 278 322	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 - 17.73 39.20 11.82		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465 438 482
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND NORTH REGION NORWALK-LA MIRADA OAKLAND	\$ 11,00 \$ 6,80 \$ 5,60 \$ 4,60 \$ 7,60 \$ 8,90 \$ 6,70 \$ 10,20 \$ 14,50 \$ 7,55	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 96,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$ 58,023 \$ 59,162 \$ 53,319 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93 5,782,67 9,757,63 11,699,34	Estimated Tota Revenues  1	H	14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843 18,070,008 21,665,823 11,802,057	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842 \$ 985,346 \$ 501,524 \$ 2,863,974	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421 - 1,153,860 524,005	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195 640,842 461,341 501,524 1,490,888	1.20 1.00 1.00 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	O95 014 033 000 000 000 000 016 000 000 000 000 000	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 - 17.73 39.20 11.82 56.31		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465 438 482 468
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND NORTH REGION NORWALK-LA MIRADA OAKLAND ORANGE UNIFIED	SELPA's Tota  Cost High C Student  \$ 11,00 \$ 6,88 \$ 5,60 \$ 4,6 \$ 7,60 \$ 21,00 \$ 8,99 \$ 6,70 \$ 10,22 \$ 14,50 \$ 7,55 \$ 5,55	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 96,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$ 38,023 \$ 59,162 \$ 33,319 \$ 25,288 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93 5,782,67 9,757,63 11,699,34 6,373,00	Estimated Tota Revenues  1 16,105,43 1 10,657,05 1 8,799,38 1 8,097,13 2 18,021,21 2 37,847,52 3 13,420,80 3 11,232,84 3 16,000,13 5 23,038,90 6 11,733,41 8 8,641,33	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	timated Total Rev @ State Average  14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843 18,070,008 21,665,823 11,802,057 7,552,260	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842 \$ 985,346 \$ 501,524 \$ 2,863,974 \$ 1,152,285	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195 640,842 461,341 501,524 1,490,888 1,152,285	1.20 1.00 1.19 1.00 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.04 1.05 1.05 1.06 1.06 1.06	Opp 5 Opp 5 Opp 6 Opp 7 Opp 7 Op	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465 438 482 468 510
MT. DIABLO N.E. ORANGE NAPA NEWPORT-MESA NO. ORANGE NORTH COASTAL NORTH INLAND NORTH REGION NORWALK-LA MIRADA OAKLAND ORANGE UNIFIED PAJARO	SELPA's Tota  Cost High C Student  \$ 11,00 \$ 6,88 \$ 5,60 \$ 4,6 \$ 7,6 \$ 21,00 \$ 8,99 \$ 6,70 \$ 10,20 \$ 14,50 \$ 7,55 \$ 7,75	09,520 \$ 53,287 \$ 66,713 \$ 17,523 \$ 14,266 \$ 32,793 \$ 54,776 \$ 58,023 \$ 59,162 \$ 63,319 \$ 25,288 \$ 72,182 \$	7,932,47 6,835,69 4,128,51 4,429,32 11,144,79 21,189,05 8,313,93 5,782,67 9,757,63 11,699,34 6,373,00 4,078,15 5,068,42	Estimated Tota Revenues  \$ 16,105,43 \$ 10,657,05 \$ 8,799,38 \$ 8,097,13 \$ 18,021,21 \$ 37,847,52 \$ 13,420,80 \$ 11,232,84 \$ 16,000,13 \$ 23,038,90 \$ 11,733,41 \$ 8,641,33 \$ 9,693,50	F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	14,690,018 12,658,918 7,645,529 8,202,600 20,638,865 39,239,652 15,396,437 10,708,843 18,070,008 21,665,823 11,802,057 7,552,260 9,386,126	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,077,045 \$ 17,588 \$ 1,478,198 \$ 188,195 \$ - \$ 640,842 \$ 985,346 \$ 501,524 \$ 2,863,974 \$ 1,152,285 \$ 1,494,031	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge 1,415,421	Seve Supple	1,661,625 17,588 324,338 188,195 640,842 461,341 501,524 1,490,888 1,152,285 404,958	1.20 1.00 1.15 1.00 1.15 1.00 1.00 1.04 1.00 1.02 1.03 1.03 1.04	995 995 995 9000 9000 9000 920 9278 9322 9776 9978	Supplement ADA (Sabo	89.23 0.59 82.36 9.77 - 17.73 39.20 11.82 56.31 41.59 84.27		wth A Rate	515 427 508 436 426 426 444 465 438 482 468 510 547 426

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)** 

A A	В		C	D	E		F	G	Н	I		J	K	L		M	
	Current E State S		ınt of High st Students				Students Over The %	# High Cost Students @	Revised 97/98 ADA	Percent High Cost		PA's Total	Deduct NPS C Student	Deduct A		Deduct Exceed	
SELPANAM	Alloc/Al		si Studenis	Student	U		Cut-off	State Average	31/30 ADA	ADA		High Cost tudents	Student	Allowable		Ceiling 1	0
POWAY UNIFIED	324		347	-	347		-	372	30,262	1.15%	\$	.,,		\$	21,771		-
PUENTE HILLS	397		533	-	533		-	498	40,521	1.32%	\$	9,606,455	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	421		2,147	194	1,953		-	2,064	167,807	1.16%	\$	,- ,	\$ 4,487,220	\$	58,972		-
RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	526		539	45	494		-	416	33,815	1.46%	\$	9,821,142	\$ 1,040,850	\$	-	\$	-
SACRAMENTO CITY	407		704	33	671		-	595	48,398	1.39%	\$	13,606,204	\$ 763,290	\$	6,546	\$	-
SACRAMENTO County	426		669	55	614		-	754	61,316	1.00%	\$	13,349,174	\$ 1,272,150	\$	-	\$	-
SAN BENITO	414		82	15	67		-	122	9,926	0.67%	\$	1,573,850	\$ 346,950	\$	7,274	\$	-
SAN BERNARDINO	390		553	33	520		-	533	43,368	1.20%	\$	10,418,114	\$ 763,290	\$	387	\$	-
SAN DIEGO CITY	499		3,183	22	3,161		1,068	1,579	128,414	2.46%	\$	58,669,816	\$ 508,860	\$	71,095	\$ 12,7	14,933
SAN FRANCISCO	604		1,010	40	970		18	719	58,431	1.66%	\$	18,972,121	\$ 925,200	\$	7,505	\$ 2	10,850
SAN JOAQUIN	363		273	23	250		-	553	44,994	0.56%	\$	4,778,598	\$ 531,990	\$	-	\$	-
SAN JUAN UNIFIED	459		704	181	523		-	566	46,018	1.14%	\$	12,612,280	\$ 4,186,530	\$	-	\$	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	418		265	-	265		-	424	34,492	0.77%	\$	4,696,496	\$ -	\$	11,679	\$	-
SAN MATEO	459		921	3	918		-	1,086	88,281	1.04%	\$	16,415,818	\$ 69,390	\$	-	\$	-
SANTA ANA	387		506	3	503		-	622	50,599	0.99%	\$	9,918,101	\$ 69,390	\$	20,683	\$	-
	N SELDA's		Total Co		P Estimated Total	Est	Q	R CELDA High	S Total SELD I	Davanuaa Car	T		U Incidence	V		W	٨٦٨
	SELPA's Cost Hig	Γotal Net	Total Co Cost St	st High	<b>P</b> Estimated Total Revenues			<b>R</b> SELPA High Cost In Rel To	Total SELP I			pplement	Incidence	Supplem	ent per	<b>W</b> Growth A Rate	
SELPANAM	SELPA's	Γotal Net gh Cost	Total Co	st High	Estimated Total		timated Total	SELPA High	Total SELP	State		pplement		Supplem	ent per	Growth A	
	SELPA's T Cost Hiş Stud	Fotal Net gh Cost ent	Total Co Cost St	st High tudent	Estimated Total Revenues	R	timated Total Sev @ State Average	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP I over the Avera	State	verity Su	pplement	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	Supplem ADA (\$ab	nent per pove 426)	Growth A	)
POWAY UNIFIED	SELPA's 7 Cost Hig Stud	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022	Total Co Cost St	ost High tudent 6,961,373	Estimated Total Revenues  \$ 9,800,770	R \$	timated Total eev @ State Average 12,891,650	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP I over the Avera	State	verity Su \$	pplement M	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	Supplem ADA (\$ab	nent per pove 426)	Growth A	426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS	SELPA's Cost Hig Stud	Total Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455	Total Co Cost St	ost High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267	Estimated Total Revenues  \$ 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747	R \$	timated Total eev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ 285,188	Total SELP I over the Avera	State	verity Su	pplement	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165	Supplem ADA (\$ab	nent per pove 426) 7.04	Growth A	426 433
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY	SELPA's Cost High Studes Studes S	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854	Total Co Cost St	6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698	Estimated Total Revenues  \$ 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131	R \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	timated Total dev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ 285,188 \$ -	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge - -	verity Su	pplement M	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04	Growth A	426 433 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	SELPA's Cost Hig Stud	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292	Total Co Cost St	st High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670	Estimated Total Revenues  \$ 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921	R	timated Total ev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ 285,188 \$ - \$ 1,001,622	Total SELP I over the Avera	State	s s s s	285,188 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04	Growth A	426 433 426 456
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY	SELPA's Cost High Stude	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368	\$ 0 \$ 3 \$ 3 \$ 1	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383	S 9,800,770 S 16,075,747 S 70,728,131 S 17,800,921 S 19,696,942	R	timated Total ev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ 285,188 \$ - \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge - - - - 3,395,731	s s s s	pplement M	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 35.19	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county	SELPA's Cost High Students Stu	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024	\$ 0 \$ 3 \$ 3 \$ 1 \$ 14	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918	Estimated Total Revenues  \$ 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459	R	timated Total dev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ - \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ -	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge - -	s s s s s	285,188 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 35.19	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO	SELPA's Cost High Students Stu	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626	S 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446	S 9,800,770 S 16,075,747 S 70,728,131 S 17,800,921 S 19,696,942 S 26,145,459 S 4,113,601	R \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	timated Total ev @ State Average 12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ - \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ - \$ -	Total SELP over the Avera	State ge - - - - 3,395,731	s s s s s	285,188 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 - 35.19	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO	SELPA's Cost High Students Stu	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436	S 0 S 3 S 3 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189	R S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ \$	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	S S S S S S S S S	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 - 35.19	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY	SELPA's Cost High Students Stu	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928	S 0 S 3 S 3 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ - \$ 5	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	s s s s s s	285,188 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895	Supplem ADA (Sab		Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 426 549
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY SAN FRANCISCO	SELPA's Cost High Stude	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928 7,828,566	S 0 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831 3,441,183	\$ 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169 \$ 35,293,372	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321 24,891,504	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ - \$ - \$ 15,835,097 \$ 4,387,382	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	s s s s s s s	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895 1.1763	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 35.19 123.31	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 549 501
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN	SELPA's Cost High Students Stu	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928 7,828,566 4,246,608	S 0 S 3 S 3 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831 3,441,183 0,350,145	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169 \$ 35,293,372 \$ 16,312,530	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321 24,891,504 19,167,261	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 285,188 \$ 1,001,622 \$ 1,702,984 \$ - \$ - \$ 15,835,097 \$ 4,387,382 \$ -	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895 1.1763 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab		Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 426 549 501 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN SAN JUAN UNIFIED	\$ Cost High Stude   \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928 7,828,566 4,246,608 8,425,750	S 0 S 3 S 3 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5 S 5	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831 3,441,183 0,350,145 0,585,886	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169 \$ 35,293,372 \$ 16,312,530 \$ 21,140,623	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321 24,891,504 19,167,261 19,603,826	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895 1.1763 1.0000 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 - 35.19 - 123.31	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 426 549 501 426 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN SAN JUAN UNIFIED SAN LUIS OBISPO	SELPA's Cost His Stud	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928 7,828,566 4,246,608 8,425,750 4,684,818	S 0 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	st High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831 3,441,183 0,585,886 7,934,308	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169 \$ 35,293,372 \$ 16,312,530 \$ 21,140,623 \$ 14,417,380	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321 24,891,504 19,167,261 19,603,826 14,693,413	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge  3,395,731  24,797  9,383,847  0,401,868  - 1,536,797	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895 1.1763 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	7.04 - 35.19 - 123.31	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 549 501 426 426 426
POWAY UNIFIED PUENTE HILLS RIVERSIDE COUNTY RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SACRAMENTO CITY SACRAMENTO county SAN BENITO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO CITY SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN SAN JUAN UNIFIED	\$ SELPA's 7 Cost High Stude   \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Fotal Net gh Cost ent 6,256,022 9,606,455 8,374,854 8,780,292 2,836,368 2,077,024 1,219,626 9,654,436 5,374,928 7,828,566 4,246,608 8,425,750	S 0 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	sst High tudent 6,961,373 9,321,267 8,601,698 7,778,670 1,133,383 4,104,918 2,283,446 9,976,095 9,539,831 3,441,183 0,350,145 0,585,886	S 9,800,770 \$ 16,075,747 \$ 70,728,131 \$ 17,800,921 \$ 19,696,942 \$ 26,145,459 \$ 4,113,601 \$ 16,914,189 \$ 64,088,169 \$ 35,293,372 \$ 16,312,530 \$ 21,140,623	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12,891,650 17,261,899 71,485,842 14,405,190 20,617,727 26,120,663 4,228,676 18,474,564 54,704,321 24,891,504 19,167,261 19,603,826 14,693,413 37,607,774	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	285,188 - - 1,702,984 - -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0165 1.0000 1.0695 1.0826 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.2895 1.1763 1.0000 1.0000	Supplem ADA (Sab	- 7.04 35.19 123.31	Growth A	426 433 426 456 461 426 426 426 549 501 426 426

Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)

A	<b>B</b> Current Base	C Count of High	<b>D</b> Deduct	<b>E</b> NET Count of	<b>F</b> Students	<b>G</b> # High Cost	<b>H</b> Revised	<b>I</b> Percent	<b>J</b> SELPA's Total	<b>K</b> Deduct NPS C	L Deduct Amou	ınt	<b>M</b> Deduct	for
	State SE	Cost Students	NPS C	High Cost	Over The %	Students @	97/98 ADA		Cost High Cost	Student	per Student o		Exceedi	
SELPANAM	Alloc/ADA		Students	Students	Cut-off	State Average		ADA	Students		Allowable Ceil	ing	Ceiling F	Rate
SANTA BARBARA	433	880	103	777	-	742	60,372	1.29%	\$ 15,253,042	\$ 2,382,390	\$ 9	,451	\$	_
SANTA CLARA AREA I	455	185	63	122	-	249	20,224	0.60%	\$ 3,575,625	\$ 1,457,190	\$	-	\$	_
SANTA CLARA AREA II	379	239	-	239	-	358	29,148	0.82%	\$ 4,286,832	\$ -	\$	-	\$	_
SANTA CLARA AREA IV	404	241	-	241	-	387	31,442	0.77%	\$ 4,106,591	\$ -	\$ 1	,074	\$	-
SANTA CLARA AREA V	430	810	-	810	-	1,141	92,788	0.87%	\$ 14,354,841	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
SANTA CLARA AREA VI	405	163	-	163	-	213	17,307	0.94%	\$ 2,686,239	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
SANTA CLARA AREA VII	478	114	-	114	-	172	13,949	0.82%	\$ 2,041,711	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
SANTA CLARA III	610	637	-	637	49	444	36,086	1.77%	\$ 11,872,021	\$ -	\$ 1	,120	\$ 58	81,943
SANTA CLARITA	386	359	-	359	-	418	33,964	1.06%	\$ 6,632,304	\$ -	\$ 4	,501	\$	-
SANTA CRUZ	545	240	8	232	-	237	19,272	1.20%	\$ 4,414,972	\$ 185,040	\$	-	\$	-
SHASTA COUNTY	465	374	56	318	-	356	28,923	1.10%	\$ 6,817,180	\$ 1,295,280	\$	-	\$	-
SIERRA COUNTY	931	8	-	8	-	11	900	0.89%	\$ 121,902	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
SISKIYOU COUNTY	599	129	-	129	2	95	7,764	1.66%	\$ 2,195,144	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 2	29,358
SOLANO COUNTY	431	548	22	526	-	583	47,435	1.11%	\$ 10,168,864	\$ 508,860	\$	-	\$	-
SONOMA COUNTY	523	982	162	820	-	830	67,461	1.22%	\$ 18,413,230	\$ 3,747,060	\$ 22	,442	\$	-
	<b>N</b> SELPA's Total	O Net Total Co		<b>P</b> stimated Total E	<b>Q</b> stimated Total	<b>R</b> SELPA High	S Total SELP I	Revenues Sev	<b>T</b> erity Supplement	<b>U</b> Incidence	V Supplement r	er	<b>W</b> Growth A	ADA
GEV PANAM	SELPA's Total Cost High Co	Net Total Co	st High E	stimated Total E	stimated Total Rev @ State	SELPA High Cost In Rel To	Total SELP I	State	erity Supplement	Incidence fultiplier (Col Q /	Supplement p		W Growth A Rate	
SELPANAM	SELPA's Total	Net Total Co	st High E	stimated Total E	stimated Total	SELPA High	Total SELP I	State	erity Supplement	Incidence	Supplement p		Growth A	
SELPANAM SANTA BARBARA	SELPA's Total Cost High Co	Net Total Cost St Cost St	st High E udent	stimated Total E	stimated Total Rev @ State Average	SELPA High Cost In Rel To	Total SELP I	State	erity Supplement	Incidence fultiplier (Col Q /	Supplement p		Growth A	
	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student	Net Total Cost St Cost St	st High Education	S 26,120,122 S 9,196,298 S	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  5 25,718,442	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP I over the Avera	State ge	erity Supplement M	Incidence fultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	Supplement p ADA (Sabove		Growth A Rate	
SANTA BARBARA	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student	Net Total Cost St  1,201 \$ 13  3,435 \$ 4	st High E. dent 3,887,723 4,652,356	stimated Total E Revenues \$ 26,120,122	stimated Total Rev @ State	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP I over the Averag	State ge 401,680	erity Supplement M	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	Supplement p ADA (\$above 4		Growth A Rate	426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118	Net Total Cox Cost St  1,201 \$ 13 3,435 \$ 4 6,832 \$ 6	st High E. 1,000 St. 1,000	S 26,120,122 S 9,196,298 S	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  6 25,718,442 6 8,615,620 6 12,417,095	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ -	Total SELP I over the Average \$	State ge 401,680	s -	Incidence (ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000	Supplement p ADA (Sabove 4 \$ \$		Growth A Rate	426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286	Net Total Cox Cost St  1,201 \$ 13  3,435 \$ 4  6,832 \$ 6  5,516 \$ 7	st High E. 1,000 St. 1,000	Stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ -	Total SELP I over the Average \$ \$ \$ \$	State ge 401,680	s - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement p ADA (\$above 4 \$ \$ \$		Growth A Rate	426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,108	Net Total Cocost St  1,201 \$ 13 3,435 \$ 4 5,516 \$ 7 1,841 \$ 21	st High E. 10,887,723 1,652,356 1,705,117 1,232,843	stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  8	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ - \$ - \$ -	Total SELP I over the Average \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	State ge 401,680 580,678	S - S - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement p ADA (Sabove 4		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V	\$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,105 \$ 14,356 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041	Net Total Corcost St  1,201 \$ 13 3,435 \$ 4 5,832 \$ 6 5,516 \$ 7 1,841 \$ 21 3,239 \$ 3 1,711 \$ 3	st High E. 2,887,723	\$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  6	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Total SELP I over the Average  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	State ge 401,680 580,678	S - S - S - S - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,106 \$ 14,354 \$ 2,686	Net Total Corcost St  1,201 \$ 13 3,435 \$ 4 5,832 \$ 6 5,516 \$ 7 1,841 \$ 21 3,239 \$ 3 1,711 \$ 3	st High E. 3,887,723   6,652,356   6,705,117   7,232,843   7,344,517   7,981,214   7,208,720   7,300,993	Stimated Total E Revenues  S 26,120,122 S S 9,196,298 S S 11,052,087 S S 12,690,206 S S 39,873,832 S S 7,009,246 S S 6,670,212 S S 22,007,653 S	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  8	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Total SELP I over the Average  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	State ge 401,680 580,678 - 346,276	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement p ADA (\$above 4 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,100 \$ 14,354 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288	Net Start Total Corporation     1,201	st High E. 3,887,723   6,652,356   6,705,117   7,232,843   7,344,517   7,981,214   7,208,720   7,300,993	\$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  8	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Total SELP I over the Average  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	State ge 401,680 580,678 - 346,276 - 728,036	s - S - S - S - S - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII SANTA CLARA III	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,103 \$ 14,354 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288 \$ 6,622	Net Start Total Corporation    1,201	st High E. (1887,723) (1887,723) (18652,356) (1705,117) (1232,843) (1344,517) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214) (1981,214)	Stimated Total E Revenues  S 26,120,122 S S 9,196,298 S S 11,052,087 S S 12,690,206 S S 39,873,832 S S 7,009,246 S S 6,670,212 S S 22,007,653 S	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  5	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	Total SELP I over the Average S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	State ge 401,680 580,678 - 346,276 - 728,036	S	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARITA	\$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,105 \$ 14,352 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288 \$ 6,627 \$ 4,225 \$ 5,521	Net Start Total Coccess St	st High E. (1887,723) 1.652,356 1.705,117 1.232,843 1.344,517 1.981,214 1.208,720 1.300,993 1.812,872 1.433,306	Stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$ \$ 22,007,653 \$ \$ 13,125,557 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  3	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	SELP I over the Average SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	State ge 401,680 580,678 346,276 728,036 6,635,183	S	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426 509 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARITA SANTA CRUZ	\$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,105 \$ 14,352 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288 \$ 6,627 \$ 4,225 \$ 5,521	Net Start Total Corcost Start	st High E. (1887,723) 1.652,356 1.705,117 1.232,843 1.344,517 1.981,214 1.208,720 1.300,993 1.812,872 1.433,306	Stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$ \$ 22,007,653 \$ \$ 13,125,557 \$ \$ 10,509,342 \$ \$ 13,445,641 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  5	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	SELP I over the Average SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	State ge 401,680 580,678 - 346,276 - 728,036 63,635,183 - 2,299,377	S	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.1944 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARITA SANTA CRUZ SHASTA COUNTY SIERRA COUNTY	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,103 \$ 14,354 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288 \$ 6,627 \$ 4,225 \$ 5,522 \$ 121 \$ 2,166	Net Start Total Corcost Start	st High E. (1887,723) (1887,723) (18652,356) (18705,117) (18232,843) (1844,517) (1898,720) (1893,300,993) (1812,872) (1833,306) (1853,260) (18653,260) (18653,260) (18653,260) (18653,260) (18653,260) (18653,260) (18653,260)	Stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$ \$ 22,007,653 \$ \$ 13,125,557 \$ \$ 10,509,342 \$ \$ 13,445,641 \$ \$ 837,457 \$ \$ 4,648,058 \$	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  5	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 2,987,965 \$ \$ 379,809	Total SELP I over the Average S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	State ge 401,680 580,678	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA AREA I SANTA CLARA AREA II SANTA CLARA AREA IV SANTA CLARA AREA V SANTA CLARA AREA VI SANTA CLARA AREA VII SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARA III SANTA CLARITA SANTA CRUZ SHASTA COUNTY	SELPA's Total Cost High Co Student  \$ 12,861 \$ 2,118 \$ 4,286 \$ 4,103 \$ 14,354 \$ 2,686 \$ 2,041 \$ 11,288 \$ 6,627 \$ 4,225 \$ 5,522 \$ 121 \$ 2,166	Net Start Total Corcost Start	st High E. (1887,723) (1887,723) (18652,356) (1705,117) (1232,843) (1344,517) (1981,214)	Stimated Total E Revenues  \$ 26,120,122 \$ \$ 9,196,298 \$ \$ 11,052,087 \$ \$ 12,690,206 \$ \$ 39,873,832 \$ \$ 7,009,246 \$ \$ 6,670,212 \$ \$ 22,007,653 \$ \$ 13,125,557 \$ \$ 10,509,342 \$ \$ 13,445,641 \$ 8 837,457	stimated Total Rev @ State Average  3	SELPA High Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$	Total SELP I over the Average S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	State ge  401,680 580,678  346,276  728,036 6,635,183  2,299,377 1,124,580 454,142	S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	Incidence ultiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Supplement pADA (Sabove 4)		Growth A Rate	426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426 426

**Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)** 

A	В		С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L		M	
	Current Ba		nt of High			f Students Over		Revised	Percent	SELPA's Total	Deduct NPS C	Deduct A		Deduct	
SELPANAM	State SE Alloc/AD		t Students	NPS C Student	U	The % Cut-off	Students @ State Average	97/98 ADA	High Cost ADA	Cost High Cost Students	Student	per Stude Allowable		Exceed Ceiling	0
	1111007112			Student	Students		Tiverage		.12.1	Stadonis		1 Ino wabie	00111118	coming	14410
SOUTH BAY	426		1,038	17	1,021	-	879	71,474	1.43%	\$ 20,516,89	4 \$ 393,210			\$	-
SO. ORANGE	332		797	-	797	-	896	72,895	1.09%	\$ 14,995,78	1 \$ -	\$	92,710	\$	-
SOUTHWEST	450		1,337	39	1,298	-	1,122	91,258	1.42%	\$ 24,185,50	7 \$ 902,070	\$	92,710	\$	-
STANISLAUS COUNTY	407		696	63	633	-	700	56,964	1.11%	\$ 12,786,70	5 \$ 1,457,190	\$	21,822	\$	-
STOCKTON CITY	423		439	79	360	-	415	33,764	1.07%	\$ 7,858,93	1 \$ 1,827,270	\$	43,403	\$	-
SUTTER COUNTY	422		108	-	108	-	180	14,630	0.74%	\$ 2,027,78	1 \$ -	\$	-	\$	-
TAHOE-ALPINE	392		75	-	75	-	68	5,539	1.35%	\$ 1,493,26	4 \$ -	\$	3,167	\$	-
TEHAMA COUNTY	468		65	-	65	-	129	10,463	0.62%	\$ 1,347,35	5 \$ -	\$	12,151	\$	-
TRI-CITIES	386		353	6	347	-	271	22,025	1.58%	\$ 6,826,69	7 \$ 138,780	\$	13,686	\$	-
TRI-COUNTY	644		236	15	221	-	235	19,108	1.16%	\$ 4,840,85	2 \$ 346,950	\$	-	\$	-
TRINITY COUNTY	751		3	-	3	-	27	2,226	0.13%	\$ 57,45	9 \$ -	\$	-	\$	-
TRI-VALLEY	352		313	-	313	-	342	27,834	1.12%	\$ 5,918,78	8 \$ -	\$	-	\$	-
TULARE COUNTY	408		334	-	334	-	973	79,153	0.42%	\$ 6,484,53	6 \$ -	\$	10,861	\$	-
TUSTIN UNIFIED	331		150	1	149	-	181	14,694	1.01%	\$ 3,094,61	8 \$ 23,130	\$	-	\$	-
VALLEJO CITY	437		328	14	314	17	224	18,223	1.72%	\$ 6,176,38	3 \$ 323,820	\$	5,437	\$ 2	202,538
	N	. 137 .	T + 10		P	Q	R	S		T	U	V		W	
	SELPA's To	tal Net													
	Cost High				Estimated Total Revenues					erity Supplement	Incidence Multiplier (Col Q /	Suppleme ADA (Sabo		Growth Rate	
SELPANAM	Cost High Stude	Cost	Cost St		Revenues	Rev @ State Average	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	Total SELP over the Avera	State		Incidence Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)			Growth Rate	
	Stude	Cost	Cost S	tudent	Revenues	Rev @ State Average	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc	over the Avera	State	ı I	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	ADA (\$abo	ove 426)	Rate	e
SOUTH BAY	Stude \$ 20	Cost it 101,215	Cost Si	6,441,551	Revenues \$ 30,432,229	Rev @ State Average \$ 30,447,835	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,659,664	over the Avera	State	\$ 3,659,664	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	ADA (\$abo			e 477
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE	\$ 20 \$ 14	Cost at 101,215 903,071	\$ 10 \$ 10	6,441,551 6,768,446	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080	Rev @ State Average \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,659,664 \$ -	over the Avera \$ \$	e State age - -	\$ 3,659,664 \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000	ADA (\$abo	51.20	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726	\$ 10 \$ 10 \$ 20	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070	over the Avera	State	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P) 1.1202 1.0000 1.0565	ADA (Sabo	ove 426)	Rate \$ \$	e 477 6 426 6 450
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693	\$ 10 \$ 10 \$ 20 \$ 11	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ -	over the Avera	e State age - -	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000	ADA (Sabo	51.20	Rate \$ \$	e 477 6 426 6 450 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258	\$ 10 \$ 10 \$ 20 \$ 11 \$ 2	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ -	s s s s	e State age - -	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000	ADĀ (Sabo	51.20	Rate \$ \$	e 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781	\$ 10 \$ 10 \$ 20 \$ 11 \$ 2 \$ 15 \$ 2	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	s s s s s	e State age - -	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000	ADĀ (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 -	Rate \$ \$	8 477 8 426 8 450 8 426 8 426 8 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097	S 10 S 10 S 20 S 11 S 21 S 21 S 3	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ - \$ 2 \$ 2 \$ 215,907	s s s s s	2,186,246	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915	ADĀ (Sabo	51.20	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204	S 10	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ -	over the Average S	e State age - -	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000	ADĀ (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	e 477 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 6	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 4490,097 335,204 674,231	S 10 S 10 S 20 S 11 S 20 S 11 S 20 S 11 S 20 S 20	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725	over the Average S	2,186,246 - - 2,185,080	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 -	Rate \$ \$	e 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 429
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES TRI-COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 4	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204 674,231 493,902	S 11 S 21 S 11 S 12 S 12 S 13 S 14 S 15	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506 4,395,530	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677 \$ 12,299,595	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578 \$ 8,140,008	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ 98,373	over the Avers	2,186,246 - - 2,186,246 - - 435,080 - 4,159,587	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714 1.0121	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 431
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES TRI-COUNTY TRINITY COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 6 \$ 4	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204 674,231 493,902 57,459	S 11 S 21 S 11 S 12 S 12 S 13 S 14 S 15	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506 4,395,530 511,980	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677 \$ 12,299,595 \$ 1,671,761	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578 \$ 8,140,008 \$ 48,127	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ 98,373 \$ - \$	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	2,186,246 - - 2,185,080	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714 1.0121 1.0000	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES TRI-COUNTY TRINITY COUNTY TRI-VALLEY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 6 \$ 4	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204 674,231 493,902 57,459 918,788	S 10	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506 4,395,530 511,980 6,402,737	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677 \$ 12,299,595 \$ 1,671,761 \$ 9,805,055	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578 \$ 8,140,008 \$ 48,127 \$ 11,857,122	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ 98,373 \$ - \$ -	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	2,186,246 - - 2,186,246 - - 435,080 - 4,159,587	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714 1.0121 1.0000 1.0000	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES TRI-COUNTY TRINITY COUNTY TRI-VALLEY TULARE COUNTY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 6 \$ 4 \$	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204 674,231 493,902 57,459 918,788 473,675	S 10	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506 4,395,530 511,980 6,402,737 8,208,060	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677 \$ 12,299,595 \$ 1,671,761 \$ 9,805,055 \$ 32,278,206	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578 \$ 8,140,008 \$ 48,127 \$ 11,857,122 \$ 33,719,204	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ 98,373 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	over the Average S	2,186,246 - - 2,186,246 - - 435,080 - 4,159,587	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ - \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714 1.0121 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426
SOUTH BAY SO. ORANGE SOUTHWEST STANISLAUS COUNTY STOCKTON CITY SUTTER COUNTY TAHOE-ALPINE TEHAMA COUNTY TRI-CITIES TRI-COUNTY TRINITY COUNTY TRI-VALLEY	\$ 20 \$ 14 \$ 23 \$ 11 \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$ 5 \$ 6 \$ 3	Cost at 101,215 903,071 190,726 307,693 988,258 027,781 490,097 335,204 674,231 493,902 57,459 918,788	S 10	6,441,551 6,768,446 0,992,656 3,103,755 7,766,968 3,365,428 1,274,191 2,406,858 5,066,506 4,395,530 511,980 6,402,737	Revenues  \$ 30,432,229 \$ 24,236,080 \$ 41,062,197 \$ 23,196,856 \$ 14,282,375 \$ 6,170,582 \$ 2,173,479 \$ 4,892,301 \$ 8,503,677 \$ 12,299,595 \$ 1,671,761 \$ 9,805,055 \$ 32,278,206 \$ 4,863,904	Rev @ State Average  \$ 30,447,835 \$ 31,053,206 \$ 38,875,951 \$ 24,266,626 \$ 14,383,519 \$ 6,232,380 \$ 2,359,652 \$ 4,457,221 \$ 9,382,578 \$ 8,140,008 \$ 48,127 \$ 11,857,122 \$ 33,719,204 \$ 6,259,797	Cost In Rel To State Avg Svc  \$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 2,198,070 \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ 98,373 \$ - \$ -	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	2,186,246 - - 2,186,246 - - 435,080 - 4,159,587	\$ 3,659,664 \$ - \$ 11,823 \$ - \$ - \$ 215,907 \$ - \$ 1,607,725 \$ - \$ -	Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)  1.1202 1.0000 1.0565 1.0000 1.0000 1.0915 1.0000 1.1714 1.0121 1.0000 1.0000	ADA (Sabo	51.20 - 24.09 - - 38.98	Rate \$ \$	6 477 6 426 6 450 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426 6 426

Table 7-1. Severity Service Adjustment (continued)

A SELPANAM	<b>B</b> Current Base State SE Alloc/ADA	C Count of High Cost Students	D Deduct NPS C Students	E NET Count of High Cost Students	F Students Over The % Cut-off	<b>G</b> # High Cost Students @ State Average	<b>H</b> Revised 97/98 ADA	I Percent High Cost ADA	Cost	<b>J</b> PA's Total High Cost tudents	<b>K</b> Deduct NPS C Student	per St	L et Amount udent over able Ceiling	<b>M</b> Deduct for Exceeding Ceiling Rate
VENTURA	378	1,522	78	1,444	-	1,664	135,347	1.07%	\$	29,218,072	\$ 1,804,140	\$	-	\$ -
W. CONTRA COSTA	484	499	46	453	-	380	30,876	1.47%	\$	10,026,889	\$ 1,063,980	\$	35,015	\$ -
W. ORANGE	486	707	-	707	-	536	43,613	1.62%	\$	13,044,032	\$ -	\$	13,257	\$ -
W. SAN GABRIEL	392	1,039	42	997	-	1,181	96,011	1.04%	\$	18,331,199	\$ 971,460	\$	5,878	\$ -
WASH TOWNSHIP	408	628	15	613	-	621	50,519	1.21%	\$	11,984,334	\$ 346,950	\$	5,455	\$ -
WEST END	397	808	11	797	-	1,265	102,874	0.77%	\$	14,467,609	\$ 254,430	\$	7,493	\$ -
WHITTIER	403	683	12	671	-	612	49,804	1.35%	\$	12,414,591	\$ 277,560	\$	15,869	\$ -
YOLO COUNTY	443	353	22	331	-	305	24,840	1.33%	\$	6,532,683	\$ 508,860	\$	3,259	\$ -
YUBA COUNTY	404	128	-	128	-	153	12,421	1.03%	\$	2,378,269	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
TOTALS	426	69,968	3,664	66,304	2,138	66,304	5,391,898	1.23%	1	,324,701,129	84,748,320	\$	1,431,957	\$ 25,447,349

SELPANAM	Cost	<b>N</b> A's Total Net t High Cost Student	<b>O</b> l Cost High st Student	<b>P</b> imated Total Revenues	R	<b>Q</b> imated Total ev @ State Average	Co	<b>R</b> ELPA High ost In Rel To tate Avg Svc	over t	<b>S</b> P Revenue he State erage	s Severi	<b>T</b> ty Supplement	<b>U</b> Incidence Multiplier (Col Q / Col. P)	V Suppleme ADA (\$abo		<b>W</b> Growth Rate	ADA
VENTURA	\$	27,413,932	\$ 31,134,674	\$ 51,183,666	\$	57,657,784	\$	-	\$		- \$	-	1.0000	\$	-	\$	426
W. CONTRA COSTA	\$	8,927,894	\$ 7,102,507	\$ 14,939,986	\$	3,153,014	\$	1,825,387	\$	1,786,97	2 \$	38,415	1.1388	\$	59.12	\$	485
W. ORANGE	\$	13,030,775	\$ 10,032,545	\$ 21,208,907	\$	18,579,104	\$	2,998,230	\$	2,629,80	3 \$	368,427	1.1614	\$	68.75	\$	495
W. SAN GABRIEL	\$	17,353,862	\$ 22,085,986	\$ 37,637,150	\$	40,900,669	\$	-	\$		- \$	-	1.0000	\$	-	\$	426
WASH TOWNSHIP	\$	11,631,929	\$ 11,621,096	\$ 20,627,591	\$	21,520,915	\$	10,833	\$		- \$	10,833	1.0005	\$	0.21	\$	426
WEST END	\$	14,205,686	\$ 23,664,799	\$ 40,855,058	\$	43,824,448	\$	-	\$		- \$	-	1.0000	\$	-	\$	426
WHITTIER	\$	12,121,162	\$ 11,456,634	\$ 20,079,642	\$	21,216,351	\$	664,527	\$		- \$	664,527	1.0313	\$	13.34	\$	439
YOLO COUNTY	\$	6,020,563	\$ 5,714,027	\$ 11,010,529	\$	10,581,712	\$	306,536	\$	428,81	7 \$	-	1.0290	\$	-	\$	438
YUBA COUNTY	\$	2,378,269	\$ 2,857,379	\$ 5,014,219	\$	5,291,533	\$	-	\$		- \$	-	1.0000	\$	-	\$	426
TOTALS	\$	1,213,073,504			\$ 2	,296,948,378		\$ 133,454,723				\$ 57,446,825					

Average SE Cost per Student	\$6416.62	Number of High Cost Students	66,304
Standard Deviation	\$5487.09	Average SE Cost Per High Cost Student	\$18,706.73
High Cost Cut-off	\$11903.71	Standard Deviation	\$5,000.04
o .		Lowest Cost of High Cost Students	\$11,914.72
State Average % of High Cost Students	1.23%	Highest Cost of High Cost Students	\$51,058.51
Ceiling % for High Cost Students	1.63%	High Cost Ceiling	\$36,000.00
Total State Cost for Severity Service Adjustment	\$57 446 825		

#### References

- Algozzine, B., & Korinek, L. (1985). Where is special education for students with high prevalence handicaps going? *Exceptional Children*, *51*, 388-394.
- Algozzine, B., & Ysseldyke, J. E. (1987). In defense of different numbers. *Remedial and Special Education*, 8 (2), 53-56.
- Algozzine, B., Ysseldyke, J. E., & Christensen, S. (1983). Ana analysis of the incidence of special education class placement: The masses are burgeoning. *Journal of Special Education*, 17, 141-147.
  - California Assembly Bill 602, Chapter 854, Statutes of 1997, approved and filed October 10, 1997.
- Chaikund, S., Danielson, L.C., & Brauen, M.L. (1993). What do we know about the costs of special education? A selected review. *Journal of Special Education*. 26(4), 344-79.
  - Fleiss, J.L. (1973). Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Gelb, S. A., & Mizokawa, D. T. (1986). Special education and social structure: the commonality of "exceptionality." *American Educational Research Journal*, 23(4), 543-557.
- Gerber, M. M. (1984). The Department of Education's Sixth Annual Report to Congress on P. L. 94-142: Is Congress getting the full story? *Exceptional Children*, *51*, 209-224.
- Gerber, M. M., & Levine-Donnerstein, D. (1989). Educating all children: Ten years later. *Exceptional Children*, 56, 17-27.
- Hallahan, D. P., Keller, C. E., & Ball, D. W. (1986). A comparison of prevalence rate variability from state to state for each of the categories of special education. *Remedial and Special Education*, 7(2), 8-14.
- Hartman, W.T. (1983). Projecting special education costs. J.G. Chambers and W.T. Hartman (Eds.), *Special Education Policies Their History, Implementation, and Finance* (pp. 241-288)
- Keller, C. E., Ball, D. W., & Hallahan, D. P. (1987). Questioning the defense of different numbers: A reply to Algozzine and Ysseldyke. *Remedial and Special Education*, 8(2), 57-59.
- Kennedy, S. (1997). CSF/CEC support helps pass revolutionary reform measure. *CSF/CEC Journal*, University of San Diego, pp. 4-5, 20.

- Legislative Analyst's Office, California Departments of Education and Finance. (1995). *New Funding Model of Special Education: Final Report.*
- Nelson, F. H. (1982). A simultaneous equation model of the provision of services to handicapped children at the school district level. *American Educational Research Journal*, 29(4), 579-597.
- Noel, M. M., & Fuller, B. C. (1985). The social policy construction of special education: The impact of state characteristics on identification and integration of handicapped children. *Remedial and Special Education*, 6(3), 27-35.
- Singer, J. D., Butler, J. A., Palfrey, J. S., & Walker, D. K. (1986). Characteristics of special education placements: Findings from probability samples in five metropolitan school districts. *Journal of Special Education*, 20(3), 319-337.
- Singer, J. D., Palfrey, J. S., Butler, J. A., & Walker, D. K. (1989). Variation in special education classification across school districts: How does where you live affect what you are labeled. *American Educational Research Journal*, 26(2), 261-81.

### **Appendix Table of Contents**

A-1	Data Sources
A-2	CASEMIS and Personnel Crosswalk with Teacher and Aide Salary
A-3	Alignment of Districts with SELPAs
A-4	Chapter 3 Technical Notes
A-5	Chapter 4 Technical Notes (Final Regression Models)
A-6	Allocation of Teacher Aides to Special Day Class and Resource Specialist Programs
A-7	Special Day Class Personnel and Aide Multipliers by Disability Class Size
A-8	Calculation of NPS/LCI Group C Deduction
A-9	Salary and Benefit Multiplier for Classified and Certificated Personnel
A-10	Nonpersonnel Multiplier
A-11	Administration Multiplier
A-12	Administration Multiplier for NPS
A-13	Formula for Determining Cost of Variable "5 or More DIS"
A-14	Formula for Calculating Cost of Special Day Class Placement
A-15	Formula for Calculating Cost of Resource Specialist Program Placement
A-16	Formula for Calculating Cost of Designated Instructional Services
A-17	Formula for Determining Nonpublic School Cost per Student
A-18	SELPA Director's Interview Protocol
A-19	SELPA Directors Interviewed
A-20	Source of SELPA ADA Used in Analysis
A-21	Source of SELPA AB 602 Funding Rates Used in Analysis
A-22	CASEMIS Exemptions

#### **Data Sources**

Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), October 1996, California Department of Education

California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS), 1996-97, California Department of Education

California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS), October 1997, California Department of Education

Common Core Data Agency, California 1995, National Center for Education Statistics

Free and Reduced Lunch, October 1996, California Department of Education

J-50 Special Education Entitlement Forms, 1996-97, California Department of Education

J-200, 1996-97, California Department of Education

J-385, 1996-97, California Department of Education

Special Education Personnel Data Report, 1996-97, California Department of Education

# Appendix A-2 CASEMIS and Personnel Files Crosswalk with Teacher and Aide Salary

DIS Code	CASEMIS Description	Code	Personnel Description	Salary + Benefits
50	Language and Speech	06	Language and Speech Specialist	\$50,400
51	Home and Hospital	05	Home and/or Hospital Instructor	\$50,400
52 64	Adapted Physical Education Recreational Services, Includes Therapeutic Recreation	12 10	Adapted P.E. Specialist Recreation Therapist	\$50,400
53	Audiological Services	22	Audiologist	\$50,400
54 55 56 60 68	Individual Counseling \ Group Counseling \ Guidance Services   Parent Counseling   Social Work Services / Psychological Services /	15 16	Counselor School Social Worker	\$50,400*1.1
57	Occupational Therapy	08	Occupational Therapist	\$50,400
58	Physical Therapy	09	Physical Therapist	\$50,400
59	Orientation and Mobility	11	Mobility Specialist	\$50,400
63	Vocational Ed. Training	13	Vocational Ed. Specialist	\$50,400
66 67 71 72 83 86	Vision Services  Specialized Driver Training  Specialized Services for \ 23  Low Incidence Disabilities  Health and Nursing - Specialized  Physical Health Care Services  Itinerant Services  Deaf and Hard of Hearing Services	18 19 Other 25	Other Certificated DIS Provider Other Licensed Personnel Diagnostic Staff Other Professional Staff	\$50,400

DIS C	ode CASEMIS Description	Code	Personnel Description	<u>Salary</u>
73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	Health and Nursing - Other Services \ Interpreter Services \ Educ. Tech. Services \ Behavior Management Services \ Assistive Services   Braille Transcription / Reader Services / Note Taking Services /	17	Classified DIS Provider	\$12,000
84 85	Adult Transition Services \ Vocational Counseling /	14	Work-Study Coordinator	\$50,400
Admir	nistration	20 24 25 26	Program Specialist Supervisor / Administrator Psychologist Other Non-professional Staff	\$50,400 * 1.4 \$12,000
Resou	rce Specialist Program			
RSP 65	Individual and Small Group Instruction	03 J50	Resource Specialist Aides	\$50,400
Specia	al Day Class			
SDC 81	Early Childhood Education	04 02 J50	SDC Teacher All Sp. Ed. Teachers for Ages 3-5 Aides	\$50,400
Non-P	Public Schools  J50 CASEMIS N	PS stude	ents = State NPS av	erage/child
NPS	= \$266,140,550 /	12,37	0 =	\$21,515

#### **Exemptions**

- 1. All students aged 0-2 were removed from CASEMIS for this analysis. Any student born after April 1, 1994 was not included in the analysis. 5130 students were removed from the analysis.
- 2. The LA County Court Schools (SELPA 1901) was not included in the analysis.
- 3. The state operated programs California State Special Schools (SELPA 7100), California Youth Authority (SELPA 7200), and California Dept. of Dev. Services (SELPA 7300) were not included in the analysis.

CA Dept. of Education Form R-30 SE (12-95) Page 1 of 1 (SELPASOP)

SELPASOP	Code:
----------	-------

## SPECIAL EDUCATION PERSONNEL DATA REPORT : 1995-96 SELPA/SOP REPORT

,		EMPLOY/	CONTRACT	ĺ	NEW HIRE	NEW HIRES IN 1995-96	
CATEGORY OF PERSONNEL	7	FULLY CERTIFIED (A)	NOT FULLY CERTIFIED (B)	VACANT POSITIONS (C)	FULLY CERTIFIED (0)	NOT FULLY CERTIFIED (E)	ROW TOTAL
ALL SP. ED. TEACHERS FOR AGES 0-2	0.1					(E)	. (F) .
ALL SP. ED. TEACHERS FOR AGES 3-5	02						
SP. ED. TEACHERS / INSTRUCTORS FOR	AGE	5 6-22	and an emproper density of the days of the first of the designment of the first of the first of the days of the days				v there can
* Resource Specialist	οз				1		
SDC Teacher	0.4						
· Home and/or Hospital Instructor	05						
Language and Speech Specialist	0.6						
Teacher Aide	07						
OTHER SP. ED. RELATED SERVICES PERSO	NNE						N. S. C. Barriero
Occupational Therapist	ó s		Section of the second				4.0
Physical Therapist	0.5						
Recreation Therapist	10						•
Mobility Specialist	1.1						
Adapted P.E. Specialist	12						
Vocational Education Specialist	13						
Work-Study Coordinator	14						
Counselor	15						
School Social Worker	1 6						
Classified DIS Provider	1.7						
Other Certificated DIS Provider	18						
Other Licensed Personnel	19						
NAGNOSTIC ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPO	AT S	TAFF.					### 1877 A
Program Specialist	20		or was a supposed the		SID LATERS		
Psychologist	21						
Audiologist	22	•					
Other Diagnostic Staff	23						
Supervisor / Administrator	24						
Other Professional Staff	25						
Other Non-professional Staff	26					r B	

Field Description and Codes	Field Description and Codes
13 Community College	62 Social Work Services
14 Other Postsecondary	63 Voc. Ed. Training
15 Ungraded	64 Recreation Services.
16 Infant	Includes Therapeutic
17 Preschool	Recreation
18 Kindergarten	65 Individual and Small
	Group Instruction
	66 Vision Services
Requires Intensive Services	67 Specialized Driver
.•	Training
T or Y True or Yes, if the	68 Psychological Services
student requires	69 (Do Not Use)
intensive services	70 (Do Not Use)
F or N False or No, if not	71 Specialized Services
or leave blank	for Low Incidence
	Disabilities
	72 Health and Nursing
Total Number of Designated	- Specialized
Instruction or Related	Physical Health
Services Received by the	Care Services
Student that are Paid for	73 Health and Nursing
by the SELPA (if any)	- Other Services
•	74 Interpreter Services
(NN)	75 Educ. Tech. Services
	76 Behavior Management
	Services
A DIS Service Received by	77 Assistive Services
the Student that is Paid	78 Braille Transcription
for by the SELPA (if any)	79 Reader Services
- '	80 Note Taking Services
50 Language and Speech	81 Early Childhood
51 Home and Hospital	Education
52 Adapted Physical	82 (Do Not Use)
Education	83 Itinerant Services
53 Audiological Services	84 Adult Transition
54 Individual Counseling	Services
55 Group Counseling	85 Vocational Counseling
56 Guidance Services	86 Deaf and Hard of
57 Occupational Therapy	Hearing Services
58 Physical Therapy	-
59 Orientation and	
Mobility	
60 Parent Counseling	
61 (Do Not Use)	

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
ANAHEIM ELEMENTARY	66423	ANAHEIM ELEM	3011
ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFIEL	75309	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
ANTELOPE VALLEY UNION HIGH	64246	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
EASTS IDE UNION ELEMENTARY	64477	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
GORMAN ELEMENTARY	64584	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
HUGHES-ELIZABETH LAKES UNION E	64626	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
KEPPEL UNION ELEMENTARY	64642	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
LANCASTER ELEMENTARY	64667	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
PALMDALE ELEMENTARY	64857	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
WESTSIDE UNION ELEMENTARY	65102	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
WILSONA ELEMENTARY	65151	ANTELOPE VALLEY	1911
BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY	63321	BAKERSFIELD	1511
*BUTTE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10041	BUTTE COUNTY	400
BANGOR UNION ELEMENTARY	61382	BUTTE COUNTY	400
BIGGS UNIFIED	61408	BUTTE COUNTY	400
CHICO UNIFIED	61424	BUTTE COUNTY	400
		BUTTE COUNTY BUTTE COUNTY	
DURHAM UNIFIED	61432	BUTTE COUNTY BUTTE COUNTY	400
FEATHER FALLS UNION ELEMENTARY GOLDEN FEATHER UNION ELEMENTAR	61440 61457	BUTTE COUNTY BUTTE COUNTY	400 400
GRIDLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	61465	BUTTE COUNTY	400
GRIDLEY UNION HIGH MANZANITA ELEMENTARY	61473	BUTTE COUNTY	400
	61499	BUTTE COUNTY	400
OROVILLE CITY ELEMENTARY	61507	BUTTE COUNTY	400
OROVILLE UNION HIGH	61515	BUTTE COUNTY	400
PALERMO UNION ELEMENTARY	61523	BUTTE COUNTY	400
PARADISE UNIFIED	61531	BUTTE COUNTY	400
PIONEER UNION ELEMENTARY	73379	BUTTE COUNTY	400
THERMALITO UNION ELEMENTARY	61549	BUTTE COUNTY	400
CLOVIS UNIFIED	62117	CLOVIS UNIFIED	1012
*COLUSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10066	COLUSA COUNTY	600
COLUSA UNIFIED	61598	COLUSA COUNTY	600
MAXWELL UNIFIED	61606	COLUSA COUNTY	600
PIERCE JOINT UNIFIED	61614	COLUSA COUNTY	600
WILLIAMS UNIFIED	61622	COLUSA COUNTY	600
*CONTRA COSTA CO. OFF. OF EDUC	10074	CONTRA COSTA	701
ACALANES UNION HIGH	61630	CONTRA COSTA	701
ANTIOCH UNIFIED	61648	CONTRA COSTA	701
BRENTWOOD UNION ELEMENTARY	61655	CONTRA COSTA	701
BYRON UNION ELEMENTARY	61663	CONTRA COSTA	701
CANYON ELEMENTARY	61671	CONTRA COSTA	701
JOHN SWETT UNIFIED	61697	CONTRA COSTA	701
KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY	61705	CONTRA COSTA	701
LAFAYETTE ELEMENTARY	61713	CONTRA COSTA	701
LIBERTY UNION HIGH	61721	CONTRA COSTA	701
MARTINEZ UNIFIED	61739	CONTRA COSTA	701
MORAGA ELEMENTARY	61747	CONTRA COSTA	701
OAKLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	61762	CONTRA COSTA	701
ORINDA UNION ELEMENTARY	61770	CONTRA COSTA	701
PITTSBURG UNIFIED	61788	CONTRA COSTA	701
SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED	61804	CONTRA COSTA	701
WALNUT CREEK ELEMENTARY	61812	CONTRA COSTA	701
CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED	67033	CORONA-NORCO	3311

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
*SAN BERNARDINO CO. OFF. OF ED	10363	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
ADELANTO ELEMENTARY	67587	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED	75077	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
BAKER VALLEY UNIFIED	73858	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
BARSTOW UNIFIED	67611	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
BEAR VALLEY UNIFIED	67637	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
HELENDALE ELEMENTARY	67736	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
HESPERIA UNIFIED	75044	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
LUCERNE VALLEY UNIFIED	75051	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
NEEDLES UNIFIED	67801	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
ORO GRANDE ELEMENTARY	67827	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
SILVER VALLEY UNIFIED	73890	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED	73957	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
TRONA JOINT UNIFIED	67892	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
VICTOR ELEMENTARY	67918	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
VICTOR VALLEY UNION HIGH	67934	DESERT MOUNTAIN	3601
*LOS ANGELES CO. OFFICE OF EDU	10199	DOWNEY - MONTBELLO	1902
DOWNEY UNIFIED	64451	DOWNEY - MONTBELLO	1902
MONTEBELLO UNIFIED	64808	DOWNEY-MONTBELLO	1902
AZUSA UNIFIED	64279	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
BALDWIN PARK UNIFIED	64287	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
BASSETT UNIFIED	64295	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
BONITA UNIFIED	64329	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
CHARTER OAK UNIFIED	64378	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
CLAREMONT UNIFIED	64394	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
COVINA-VALLEY UNIFIED	64436	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
GLENDORA UNIFIED	64576	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
POMONA UNIFIED	64907	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
WALNUT VALLEY UNIFIED	73460	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
WEST COVINA UNIFIED	65094	E. SAN GABRIEL	1903
*SAN DIEGO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10371	EAST COUNTY	3701
ALPINE UNION ELEMENTARY	67967	EAST COUNTY	3701
CAJON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	67991	EAST COUNTY	3701
DEHESA ELEMENTARY	68049	EAST COUNTY	3701
GROSSMONT UNION HIGH	68130	EAST COUNTY EAST COUNTY	3701
JAMUL-DULZURA UNION ELEMENTARY	68155		3701
JULIAN UNION HIGH LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY	68171 68197	EAST COUNTY EAST COUNTY	3701 3701
LAKES IDE UNION ELEMENTARY		EAST COUNTY	3701
LEMON GROVE ELEMENTARY	68189	EAST COUNTY	3701
	68205		3701
MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED SANTEE ELEMENTARY	68213	EAST COUNTY	
SPENCER VALLEY ELEMENTARY	68361	EAST COUNTY	3701
COLTON JOINT UNIFIED	68403 67686	EAST COUNTY EAST VALLEY	3701 3602
REDLANDS UNIFIED	67843	EAST VALLEY	3602
RIALTO UNIFIED	67850	EAST VALLEY	3602
RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED			3602
YUCAIPA-CALIMESA JT. UNIFIED	67868 67959	EAST VALLEY EAST VALLEY	3602
*EL DORADO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10090	EL DORADO	901
BLACK OAK MINE UNIFIED	73783	EL DORADO EL DORADO	901
BUCKEYE UNION ELEMENTARY	61838	EL DORADO EL DORADO	901
CAMINO UNION ELEMENTARY	61846	EL DORADO EL DORADO	901
CAMINO UNION ELEMENTARI	01040	EL DURADO	<i>5</i> 01

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
EL DORADO UNION HIGH	61853	EL DORADO	901
GOLD OAK UNION ELEMENTARY	61879	EL DORADO	901
GOLD TRAIL UNION ELEMENTARY	61887	EL DORADO	901
INDIAN DIGGINGS ELEMENTARY	61895	EL DORADO	901
LATROBE ELEMENTARY	61911	EL DORADO	901
MOTHER LODE UNION ELEMENTARY	61929	EL DORADO	901
PIONEER UNION ELEMENTARY	61945	EL DORADO	901
PLACERVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	61952	EL DORADO	901
POLLOCK PINES ELEMENTARY	61960	EL DORADO	901
RESCUE UNION ELEMENTARY	61978	EL DORADO	901
SILVER FORK ELEMENTARY	61986	EL DORADO	901
ELK GROVE UNIFIED	67314	ELK GROVE	3411
FONTANA UNIFIED	67710	FONTANA UNIFIED	3613
BURBANK UNIFIED	64337	FOOTHILL	1912
GLENDALE UNIFIED	64568	FOOTHILL	1912
LA CANADA UNIFIED	64659	FOOTHILL	1912
*FRESNO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10108	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
ALVINA ELEMENTARY	61994	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
AMERICAN UNION ELEMENTARY	62000	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
BIG CREEK ELEMENTARY	62026	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
BURREL UNION ELEMENTARY	62042	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
CARUTHERS UNION ELEMENTARY	62067	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
CARUTHERS UNION HIGH	62075	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
CENTRAL UNIFIED	73965	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
CLAY JOINT ELEMENTARY	62109	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
COAL INGA/HURON JOINT UNIFIED	62125	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
FIREBAUGH-LAS DELTAS UNIFIED	73809	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
FOWLER UNIFIED	62158	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
GOLDEN PLAINS UNIFIED	75234	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
KERMAN UNIFIED	73999	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
KINGS CANYON JOINT UNIFIED	62265	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
KINGSBURG JOINT UNION ELEMENTA	62240	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
KINGSBURG JOINT UNION HIGH	62257	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
LATON JOINT UNIFIED	62281	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
MENDOTA UNIFIED	75127	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
MONROE ELEMENTARY	62323	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
ORANGE CENTER ELEMENTARY	62331	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
PACIFIC UNION ELEMENTARY	62356	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
PARLIER UNIFIED	62364	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
PINE RIDGE ELEMENTARY	62372	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
RAISIN CITY ELEMENTARY	62380	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
RIVERDALE JOINT UNIFIED	75408	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
SANGER UNIFIED	62414	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
SELMA UNIFIED	62430	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
SIERRA UNIFIED	75275	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
WASHINGTON COLONY ELEMENTARY	62513	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
WASHINGTON UNION HIGH	62521	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
WEST FRESNO ELEMENTARY	62174	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
WEST PARK ELEMENTARY	62539	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
WESTS IDE ELEMENTARY	62547	FRESNO COUNTY	1001
FRESNO UNIFIED	62166	FRESNO UNIFIED	1011
GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	66522	GARDEN GROVE	3012

	District		CEI DA
District Name	District Code	SELPA Name	SELPA Code
District Name	Code	SELI A Name	Code
*GLENN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10116	GLENN COUNTY	1100
CAPAY JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY	62554	GLENN COUNTY	1100
HAMILTON UNION ELEMENTARY	62570	GLENN COUNTY	1100
HAMILTON UNION HIGH	62588	GLENN COUNTY	1100
LAKE ELEMENTARY	62596	GLENN COUNTY	1100
ORLAND JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY	62612	GLENN COUNTY	1100
ORLAND JOINT UNION HIGH	62620	GLENN COUNTY	1100
PLAZA ELEMENTARY	62638	GLENN COUNTY	1100
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED	62646	GLENN COUNTY	1100
STONY CREEK JOINT UNIFIED	62653	GLENN COUNTY	1100
WILLOWS UNIFIED	62661	GLENN COUNTY	1100
ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	66431	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
CENTRAL IA ELEMENTARY	66472	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
CYPRESS ELEMENTARY	66480	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
LOS ALAMITOS UNIFIED	73924	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
MAGNOLIA ELEMENTARY	66589	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
SAVANNA ELEMENTARY	66696	GREATER ANAHEIM	3013
*DEL NORTE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10082	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
*HUMBOLDT CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10124	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
ARCATA ELEMENTARY	62679	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
BIG LAGOON UNION ELEMENTARY	62695	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
BLUE LAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	62703	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
BRIDGEVILLE ELEMENTARY	62729	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
CUDDEBACK UNION ELEMENTARY	62737	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
CUTTEN ELEMENTARY	62745	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
DEL NORTE COUNTY UNIFIED	61820	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
EUREKA CITY ELEMENTARY	62752	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
EUREKA CITY HIGH	62760	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
FERNDALE UNIFIED	75374	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
FIELDBROOK ELEMENTARY	62794	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
FORTUNA UNION ELEMENTARY	62802	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
FORTUNA UNION HIGH	62810	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
FRESHWATER ELEMENTARY	62828	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	62836	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
HYDESVILLE ELEMENTARY	62885	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
JACOBY CREEK ELEMENTARY	62893	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
KLAMATH-TRINITY JOINT UNIFIED	62901	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
KNEELAND ELEMENTARY	62919	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
LOLETA UNION ELEMENTARY	62927	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
MAPLE CREEK ELEMENTARY	62935	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
MATTOLE UNIFIED	75382	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
MCKINLEYVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	62950	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
NORTHERN HUMBOLDT UNION HIGH	62687	HUMB - DEL NORTE	1200
ORICK ELEMENTARY	62968	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
PACIFIC UNION ELEMENTARY	62976	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
PENINSULA UNION ELEMENTARY	62984	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
RIO DELL ELEMENTARY	63008	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
ROHNERVILLE ELEMENTARY	63016	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
SCOTIA UNION ELEMENTARY	63024	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
SOUTH BAY UNION ELEMENTARY	63032	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
SOUTHERN HUMBOLDT JOINT UNIFIE	63040	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200
TRINIDAD UNION ELEMENTARY	63057	<b>HUMB - DEL NORTE</b>	1200

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
* IMPERIAL CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10132	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
BRAWLEY ELEMENTARY	63073	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
BRAWLEY UNION HIGH	63081	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
CALEXICO UNIFIED	63099	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
CALIPATRIA UNIFIED	63107	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
CENTRAL UNION HIGH	63115	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
EL CENTRO ELEMENTARY	63123	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
HEBER ELEMENTARY	63131	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
HOLTVILLE UNIFIED	63149	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
IMPERIAL UNIFIED	63164	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
MAGNOLIA UNION ELEMENTARY	63172	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
MCCABE UNION ELEMENTARY	63180	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
MEADOWS UNION ELEMENTARY	63198	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
MULBERRY ELEMENTARY	63206	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
SAN PASQUAL VALLEY UNIFIED	63214	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
SEELEY UNION ELEMENTARY	63222	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
WESTMORLAND UNION ELEMENTARY	63230	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1300
*INYO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10140	INYO COUNTY	1400
BIG PINE UNIFIED	63248	INYO COUNTY	1400
BISHOP JOINT UNION HIGH	63263	INYO COUNTY	1400
BISHOP UNION ELEMENTARY	63255	INYO COUNTY	1400
DEATH VALLEY UNIFIED	63271	INYO COUNTY	1400
LONE PINE UNIFIED	63289	INYO COUNTY	1400
OWENS VALLEY UNIFIED	63297	INYO COUNTY	1400
ROUND VALLEY JOINT ELEMENTARY	63305	INYO COUNTY	1400
IRVINE UNIFIED	73650	IRVINE UNIFIED	3014
*KERN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10157	KERN COUNTY	1501
ARVIN UNION ELEMENTARY	63313	KERN COUNTY	1501
BEARDSLEY ELEMENTARY	63339	KERN COUNTY	1501
BELRIDGE ELEMENTARY	63347	KERN COUNTY	1501
BLAKE ELEMENTARY	63354	KERN COUNTY	1501
BUTTONWILLOW UNION ELEMENTARY	63370	KERN COUNTY	1501
CALIENTE UNION ELEMENTARY	63388	KERN COUNTY	1501
DELANO JOINT UNION HIGH	63412	KERN COUNTY	1501
DELANO UNION ELEMENTARY	63404	KERN COUNTY	1501
DI GIORGIO ELEMENTARY	63420	KERN COUNTY KERN COUNTY	1501
EDISON ELEMENTARY EL TEJON UNIFIED	63438 75168	KERN COUNTY	1501 1501
ELK HILLS ELEMENTARY	63446	KERN COUNTY	1501
FAIRFAX ELEMENTARY	63461	KERN COUNTY	1501
FRUITVALE ELEMENTARY	63479	KERN COUNTY	1501
GENERAL SHAFTER ELEMENTARY	63487	KERN COUNTY	1501
GREENFIELD UNION ELEMENTARY	63503	KERN COUNTY	1501
KERNVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	63545	KERN COUNTY	1501
LAKES IDE UNION ELEMENTARY	63552	KERN COUNTY	1501
LAMONT ELEMENTARY	63560	KERN COUNTY	1501
LINNS VALLEY-POSO FLAT UNION	63586	KERN COUNTY	1501
LOST HILLS UNION ELEMENTARY	63594	KERN COUNTY	1501
MAPLE ELEMENTARY	63610	KERN COUNTY	1501
MARICOPA UNIFIED	63628	KERN COUNTY	1501
MCFARLAND UNIFIED	73908	KERN COUNTY	1501
MCKITTRICK ELEMENTARY	63651	KERN COUNTY	1501

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
MIDWAY ELEMENTARY	63669	KERN COUNTY	1501
MOJAVE UNIFIED	63677	KERN COUNTY	1501
MUROC JOINT UNIFIED	63685	KERN COUNTY	1501
NORRIS ELEMENTARY	63693	KERN COUNTY	1501
PANAMA BUENA VISTA UNION ELEME	63362	KERN COUNTY	1501
POND UNION ELEMENTARY	63719	KERN COUNTY	1501
RICHLAND-LERDO UNION ELEMENTAR	63578	KERN COUNTY	1501
RIO BRAVO-GREELEY UNION ELEMEN	73544	KERN COUNTY	1501
ROSEDALE UNION ELEMENTARY	63750	KERN COUNTY	1501
SEMITROPIC ELEMENTARY	63768	KERN COUNTY	1501
SIERRA SANDS UNIFIED	73742	KERN COUNTY	1501
SOUTH FORK UNION ELEMENTARY	63784	KERN COUNTY	1501
SOUTHERN KERN UNIFIED	63776	KERN COUNTY	1501
STANDARD ELEMENTARY	63792	KERN COUNTY	1501
TAFT CITY ELEMENTARY	63800	KERN COUNTY	1501
TAFT UNION HIGH	63818	KERN COUNTY	1501
TEHACHAPI UNIFIED	63826	KERN COUNTY	1501
VINELAND ELEMENTARY	63834	KERN COUNTY	1501
WASCO UNION ELEMENTARY	63842	KERN COUNTY	1501
WASCO UNION HIGH	63859	KERN COUNTY	1501
KERN UNION HIGH	63529	KERN UNION HIGH	1512
*KINGS CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10165	KINGS COUNTY	1600
ARMONA UNION ELEMENTARY	63875	KINGS COUNTY	1600
CENTRAL UNION ELEMENTARY	63883	KINGS COUNTY	1600
CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	63891	KINGS COUNTY	1600
DELTA VIEW JOINT UNION ELEMENT	63909	KINGS COUNTY	1600
HANFORD ELEMENTARY	63917	KINGS COUNTY	1600
HANFORD JOINT UNION HIGH	63925	KINGS COUNTY	1600
ISLAND UNION ELEMENTARY	63933	KINGS COUNTY	1600
KINGS RIVER-HARDWICK UNION ELE	63941	KINGS COUNTY	1600
KIT CARSON UNION ELEMENTARY	63958	KINGS COUNTY	1600
LAKES IDE UNION ELEMENTARY	63966	KINGS COUNTY	1600
LEMOORE UNION ELEMENTARY	63974	KINGS COUNTY	1600
LEMOORE UNION HIGH	63982	KINGS COUNTY	1600
PIONEER UNION ELEMENTARY	63990	KINGS COUNTY	1600
REEF-SUNSET UNIFIED	73932	KINGS COUNTY	1600
*LAKE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10173	LAKE COUNTY	1700
KELSEYVILLE UNIFIED	64014	LAKE COUNTY	1700
KONOCTI UNIFIED	64022	LAKE COUNTY	1700
LAKEPORT UNIFIED	64030	LAKE COUNTY	1700
LUCERNE ELEMENTARY	64048	LAKE COUNTY	1700
MIDDLETOWN UNIFIED	64055	LAKE COUNTY	1700
UPPER LAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	64063	LAKE COUNTY	1700
UPPER LAKE UNION HIGH	64071	LAKE COUNTY	1700
*LASSEN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10181	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
BIG VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED	64089	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
FORT SAGE UNIFIED	75036	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
JANESVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	64105	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
JOHNSTONVILLE ELEMENTARY	64113	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
LASSEN UNION HIGH	64139	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
RAVENDALE-TERMO ELEMENTARY	64162	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
RICHMOND ELEMENTARY	64170	LASSEN COUNTY	1800

District Name		District		SELPA
SUSANVILLE ELEMENTARY         64196         LASSEN COUNTY         1800           WESTWOOD UNIFIED         64204         LASEN COUNTY         1800           LODI UNIFIED         68585         LODI         3911           NEW HOPE ELMENTARY         68619         LODI         3911           NEW HOPE ELMENTARY         68655         LODI         3911           ON SEACH UNIFIED         64725         LONG BEACH         1913           *MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10207         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MANIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY         65183         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65332         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000 <th< td=""><td>District Name</td><td></td><td>SELPA Name</td><td>Code</td></th<>	District Name		SELPA Name	Code
SUSANVILLE ELEMENTARY         64196         LASSEN COUNTY         1800           WESTWOOD UNIFIED         64204         LASEN COUNTY         1800           LODI UNIFIED         68585         LODI         3911           NEW HOPE ELMENTARY         68619         LODI         3911           NEW HOPE ELMENTARY         68655         LODI         3911           ON SEACH UNIFIED         64725         LONG BEACH         1913           *MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10207         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MANIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY         65183         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65332         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000 <th< td=""><td>SHAFFER UNION ELEMENTARY</td><td>64188</td><td>LASSEN COUNTY</td><td>1800</td></th<>	SHAFFER UNION ELEMENTARY	64188	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
LOS ANGELES UNIFIED				1800
LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	WESTWOOD UNIFIED	64204	LASSEN COUNTY	1800
NEW HOPE ELEMENTARY			LAUSD	
OAK VIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         68635         LODI         3911           **MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10207         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATI         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT         65177         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY         65185         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         73424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADRA-MARIPOSA         2000           **BARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           **SMARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215	LODI UNIFIED	68585	LODI	3911
OAK VIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         68635         LODI         3911           **MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO         10207         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATI         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT         10223         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT         65177         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY         65185         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           **MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         73424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADRA-MARIPOSA         2000           **BARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           **SMARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215	NEW HOPE ELEMENTARY	68619	LODI	3911
*MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO 10207 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 *MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT 10223 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT 65177 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY 65185 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHAWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY 75135 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY 65193 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH 65201 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY 65193 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY 65219 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA 2000 COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY 65243 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65243 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65532 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH 75424 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH 75424 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH 73734 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION 10215 MARIN 2100 DIXIE ELEMENTARY 65318 MARIN 2100 DIXIE ELEMENTARY 65318 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65342 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LARSEN ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LARSEN ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY 65375 MARIN 2100 LONGUNITED MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65466 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65466 MARIN 2100 HARDLEY ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65414 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65406 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65516 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65516 MARI	OAK VIEW UNION ELEMENTARY	68635	LODI	
*MARIPOSA CO, OFFICE OF EDUCAT ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT 65177 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY 65185 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHAWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY 75135 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY 65193 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH 65201 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY 65219 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65532 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH 75424 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH 75424 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS UNION HIGH 73734 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MINARETS JT. UNION ELEMENTA 65276 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION 10215 MARIN 2100 BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA 65300 MARIN 2100 BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA 65300 MARIN 2100 MIXIE ELEMENTARY 65318 MARIN 2100 KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY 65318 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65359 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65359 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY 65391 MARIN 2100 MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY 65391 MARIN 2100 NICASIO ELEMENTARY 65391 MARIN 2100 NICASIO ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 NICASIO ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 NICASIO ELEMENTARY 65409 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 65488 MARIN 2100 ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 65466 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65404 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITTY ELEMENTARY 65468 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 73361 MARIN 2100 NONATO UNIFIED 73361 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 73361 MARIN 2100 NONATO UNIFIED 73361 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 73361 MARIN 2100 N	LONG BEACH UNIFIED	64725	LONG BEACH	1913
ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY 65185 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY 75135 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY 65193 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH 65201 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH 65219 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY 65219 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MADERA UNIFIED 65243 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MADERA UNIFIED 65243 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65532 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65532 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED 65534 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA 65276 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MAYOSEMITE UNION HIGH 73734 MADERA-MARIPOSA 2000 MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION 10215 MARIN 2100 BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA 65300 MARIN 2100 BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA 65308 MARIN 2100 LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY 65318 MARIN 2100 LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY 65342 MARIN 2100 LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY 65367 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 65417 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 NOVATO UNIFIED 7306 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65458 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65466 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY 65474 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65466 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65482 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65466 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65482 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65466 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65406 MARIN 2100 SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH 65400 MARIN 2100 SAN RAF	*MADERA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10207	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY         65185         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHAWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY         75135         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65219         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MAPERA UNIFIED         655243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MEAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGU	*MARIPOSA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10223	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
CHAWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY         75135         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65219         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MADERA UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           WARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           IDIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100 <t< td=""><td>ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT</td><td>65177</td><td>MADERA-MARIPOSA</td><td>2000</td></t<>	ALVIEW-DAIRYLAND UNION ELEMENT	65177	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY         65193         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65219         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MADERA UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           INCENTIFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65344         MARIN         2100           KENTRIELD ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY	BASS LAKE ELEMENTARY	65185	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH         65201         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65219         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MADERA UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65266         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65344         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY	CHAWANAKEE JT. ELEMENTARY	75135	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY         65219         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MADERA UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS IT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           WARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           NILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           NILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         <	CHOWCHILLA ELEMENTARY	65193	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
MADERA UNIFIED         65243         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65344         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNTAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65491         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN	CHOWCHILLA UNION HIGH	65201	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED         65532         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNTAS ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN <t< td=""><td>COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY</td><td>65219</td><td>MADERA-MARIPOSA</td><td>2000</td></t<>	COARSEGOLD UNION ELEMENTARY	65219	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH         75424         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65491         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100 <td>MADERA UNIFIED</td> <td>65243</td> <td>MADERA-MARIPOSA</td> <td>2000</td>	MADERA UNIFIED	65243	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA         65276         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65417         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100 </td <td>MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED</td> <td>65532</td> <td>MADERA-MARIPOSA</td> <td>2000</td>	MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED	65532	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
YOSEMITE UNION HIGH         73734         MADERA-MARIPOSA         2000           *MARIN CO, OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           RED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SHOREL	MINARETS JT. UNION HIGH	75424	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
*MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION         10215         MARIN         2100           BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           UNIO	RAYMOND-KNOWLES UNION ELEMENTA	65276	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA         65300         MARIN         2100           DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65365         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEM	YOSEMITE UNION HIGH	73734	MADERA-MARIPOSA	2000
DIXIE ELEMENTARY         65318         MARIN         2100           KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         65474         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	*MARIN CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10215	MARIN	2100
KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY         65334         MARIN         2100           LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           *MENDOCINO P	BOLINAS-STINSON UNION ELEMENTA	65300	MARIN	2100
LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY         65342         MARIN         2100           LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           AR	DIXIE ELEMENTARY	65318	MARIN	2100
LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY         65359         MARIN         2100           LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY         65367         MARIN         2100           LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65540         MENDOCINO         2300	KENTFIELD ELEMENTARY	65334	MARIN	2100
LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY       65367       MARIN       2100         LINCOLN ELEMENTARY       65375       MARIN       2100         MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY       65391       MARIN       2100         NICASIO ELEMENTARY       65409       MARIN       2100         NOVATO UNIFIED       65417       MARIN       2100         REED UNION ELEMENTARY       65425       MARIN       2100         ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY       75002       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY       65458       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH       65466       MARIN       2100         SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY       65474       MARIN       2100         SHORELINE UNIFIED       73361       MARIN       2100         TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH       65482       MARIN       2100         *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY       65557       MENDOCINO       2300         FORT BRAGG UNIFIED       65565       MENDOCINO       2300         LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED       73916       MENDOCINO       2300	LAGUNA JOINT ELEMENTARY	65342	MARIN	2100
LINCOLN ELEMENTARY         65375         MARIN         2100           MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY         65391         MARIN         2100           NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300	LAGUNITAS ELEMENTARY	65359	MARIN	2100
MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY       65391       MARIN       2100         NICASIO ELEMENTARY       65409       MARIN       2100         NOVATO UNIFIED       65417       MARIN       2100         REED UNION ELEMENTARY       65425       MARIN       2100         ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY       75002       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY       65458       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH       65466       MARIN       2100         SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY       65474       MARIN       2100         SHORELINE UNIFIED       73361       MARIN       2100         TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH       65482       MARIN       2100         *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED       65540       MENDOCINO       2300         ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY       65557       MENDOCINO       2300         FORT BRAGG UNIFIED       65565       MENDOCINO       2300         LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED       73916       MENDOCINO       2300         LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED       75218       MENDOCINO       2300	LARKSPUR ELEMENTARY	65367	MARIN	2100
NICASIO ELEMENTARY         65409         MARIN         2100           NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	LINCOLN ELEMENTARY	65375	MARIN	2100
NOVATO UNIFIED         65417         MARIN         2100           REED UNION ELEMENTARY         65425         MARIN         2100           ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY         75002         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	MILL VALLEY ELEMENTARY	65391	MARIN	2100
REED UNION ELEMENTARY       65425       MARIN       2100         ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY       75002       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY       65458       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH       65466       MARIN       2100         SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY       65474       MARIN       2100         SHORELINE UNIFIED       73361       MARIN       2100         TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH       65482       MARIN       2100         UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY       65516       MARIN       2100         *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED       65540       MENDOCINO       2300         FORT BRAGG UNIFIED       65565       MENDOCINO       2300         LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED       73916       MENDOCINO       2300         LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED       75218       MENDOCINO       2300	NICASIO ELEMENTARY	65409	MARIN	2100
ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY       75002       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY       65458       MARIN       2100         SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH       65466       MARIN       2100         SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY       65474       MARIN       2100         SHORELINE UNIFIED       73361       MARIN       2100         TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH       65482       MARIN       2100         UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY       65516       MARIN       2100         *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED       65540       MENDOCINO       2300         ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY       65557       MENDOCINO       2300         FORT BRAGG UNIFIED       65565       MENDOCINO       2300         LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED       73916       MENDOCINO       2300         LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED       75218       MENDOCINO       2300	NOVATO UNIFIED	65417	MARIN	2100
SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY         65458         MARIN         2100           SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	REED UNION ELEMENTARY	65425	MARIN	2100
SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH         65466         MARIN         2100           SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY	75002	MARIN	2100
SAUSALITO ELEMENTARY         65474         MARIN         2100           SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	SAN RAFAEL CITY ELEMENTARY	65458	MARIN	2100
SHORELINE UNIFIED         73361         MARIN         2100           TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH	65466	MARIN	2100
TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH         65482         MARIN         2100           UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300		65474	MARIN	2100
UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY         65516         MARIN         2100           *MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA         10231         MENDOCINO         2300           ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED         65540         MENDOCINO         2300           ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY         65557         MENDOCINO         2300           FORT BRAGG UNIFIED         65565         MENDOCINO         2300           LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED         73916         MENDOCINO         2300           LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED         75218         MENDOCINO         2300	SHORELINE UNIFIED	73361	MARIN	2100
*MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA       10231       MENDOCINO       2300         ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED       65540       MENDOCINO       2300         ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY       65557       MENDOCINO       2300         FORT BRAGG UNIFIED       65565       MENDOCINO       2300         LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED       73916       MENDOCINO       2300         LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED       75218       MENDOCINO       2300			MARIN	2100
ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED 65540 MENDOCINO 2300 ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY 65557 MENDOCINO 2300 FORT BRAGG UNIFIED 65565 MENDOCINO 2300 LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED 73916 MENDOCINO 2300 LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED 75218 MENDOCINO 2300	UNION JOINT ELEMENTARY	65516	MARIN	2100
ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY 65557 MENDOCINO 2300 FORT BRAGG UNIFIED 65565 MENDOCINO 2300 LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED 73916 MENDOCINO 2300 LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED 75218 MENDOCINO 2300	*MENDOCINO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10231	MENDOCINO	2300
FORT BRAGG UNIFIED65565MENDOCINO2300LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED73916MENDOCINO2300LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED75218MENDOCINO2300	ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED	65540	MENDOCINO	2300
LAYTONVILLE UNIFIED 73916 MENDOCINO 2300 LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED 75218 MENDOCINO 2300	ARENA UNION ELEMENTARY	65557	MENDOCINO	
LEGGETT VALLEY UNIFIED 75218 MENDOCINO 2300	FORT BRAGG UNIFIED		MENDOCINO	
		73916		
MANCHESTER UNION ELEMENTARY 65573 MENDOCINO 2300		75218	MENDOCINO	
MENDOCINO UNIFIED 65581 MENDOCINO 2300				
POINT ARENA JOINT UNION HIGH 65599 MENDOCINO 2300				
POTTER VALLEY COMMUNITY UN 1 FIE 73866 MENDOCINO 2300				
ROUND VALLEY UNIFIED 65607 MENDOCINO 2300				
UKIAH UNIFIED 65615 MENDOCINO 2300	UKIAH UNIFIED	65615	MENDOCINO	2300

T	District	a=== =	SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
WILL ITC LIMITED	(5(22	MENDOCINO	2200
WILLITS UNIFIED	65623	MENDOCINO	2300
*MERCED CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10249	MERCED	2400
ATWATER ELEMENTARY	65631	MERCED	2400
BALLICO-CRESSEY ELEMENTARY	65649	MERCED	2400
DELHI UNIFIED	75366	MERCED	2400
DOS PALOS ORO LOMA JT. UNIFIED	75317	MERCED	2400
EL NIDO ELEMENTARY	65680	MERCED	2400
GUSTINE UNIFIED	73619	MERCED	2400
HILMAR UNIFIED	65698	MERCED	2400
LE GRAND UNION ELEMENTARY	65722	MERCED	2400
LE GRAND UNION HIGH	65730	MERCED	2400
LIVINGSTON UNION ELEMENTARY	65748	MERCED	2400
LOS BANOS UNIFIED	65755	MERCED	2400
MCSWAIN UNION ELEMENTARY	65763	MERCED	2400
MERGED CITY ELEMENTARY	65771	MERCED	2400
MERGED RIVER UNION ELEMENTARY	73726	MERCED	2400
MERGED UNION HIGH	65789	MERCED	2400
PLAINSBURG UNION ELEMENTARY	65813	MERCED	2400
PLANADA ELEMENTARY	65821	MERCED	2400
SNELLING-MERCED FALLS UNION EL	65839	MERCED	2400
WEAVER UNION ELEMENTARY	65862	MERCED	2400
WINTON ELEMENTARY	65870	MERCED	2400
BELLFLOWER UNIFIED	64303	MID CITIES	1904
COMPTON UNIFIED	73437	MID CITIES	1904
LYNWOOD UNIFIED	64774	MID CITIES	1904
PARAMOUNT UNIFIED	64873	MID CITIES	1904
CASTRO VALLEY UNIFIED	61150	MID COUNTY	111
HAYWARD UNIFIED	61192	MID COUNTY	111
SAN LEANDRO UNIFIED	61291	MID COUNTY	111
SAN LORENZO UNIFIED	61309	MID COUNTY	111
MODESTO CITY ELEMENTARY	71167	MODESTO	5011
MODESTO CITY HIGH	71107	MODESTO	5011
*MODOC CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10256	MODOC	2500
MODOC JOINT UNIFIED	73585	MODOC	2500
SURPRISE VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED	65896	MODOC	2500
TULELAKE BASIN JOINT UNIFIED	73593		2500
*MONO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION		MODOC MONO	2600
EASTERN SIERRA UNIFIED	10264		
	73668	MONO	2600
MAMMOTH UNIFIED	73692	MONO	2600
*MONTEREY CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10272	MONTEREY	2700
ALISAL UNION ELEMENTARY	65961	MONTEREY	2700
BRADLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	65979	MONTEREY	2700
CARMEL UNIFIED	65987	MONTEREY	2700
CHUALAR UNION ELEMENTARY	65995	MONTEREY	2700
GONZALES UNION ELEMENTARY	66001	MONTEREY	2700
GONZALES UNION HIGH	66019	MONTEREY	2700
GRAVES ELEMENTARY	66027	MONTEREY	2700
GREENFIELD UNION ELEMENTARY	66035	MONTEREY	2700
KING CITY JOINT UNION HIGH	66068	MONTEREY	2700
KING CITY UNION ELEMENTARY	66050	MONTEREY	2700
LAGUNITA ELEMENTARY	66076	MONTEREY	2700
MISSION UNION ELEMENTARY	66084	MONTEREY	2700

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
MONTEREY PENINSULA UNIFIED	66092	MONTEREY	2700
NORTH MONTEREY COUNTY UNIFIED	73825	MONTEREY	2700
PACIFIC GROVE UNIFIED	66134	MONTEREY	2700
PACIFIC UNIFIED	75150	MONTEREY	2700
SALINAS CITY ELEMENTARY	66142	MONTEREY	2700
SALINAS UNION HIGH	66159	MONTEREY	2700
SAN ANTONIO UNION ELEMENTARY	66167	MONTEREY	2700
SAN ARDO UNION ELEMENTARY	66175	MONTEREY	2700
SAN LUCAS UNION ELEMENTARY	66183	MONTEREY	2700
SANTA RITA UNION ELEMENTARY	66191	MONTEREY	2700
SOLEDAD UNIFIED	75440	MONTEREY	2700
SPRECKELS UNION ELEMENTARY	66225	MONTEREY	2700
WASHINGTON UNION ELEMENTARY	66233	MONTEREY	2700
MORENO VALLEY 'UNIFIED	67124	MORENO VALLEY	3313
MORONGO UNIFIED	67777	MORONGO	3611
MT. DIABLO UNIFIED	61754	MT. DIABLO	711
BREA-OLINDA UNIFIED	66449	N.E. ORANGE	3016
PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED	66647	N.E. ORANGE	3016
*NAPA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10280	NAPA	2800
CALISTOGA JOINT UNIFIED	66241	NAPA	2800
HOWELL MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY	66258	NAPA	2800
NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED	66266	NAPA	2800
POPE VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	66282	NAPA	2800
ST. HELENA UNIFIED	66290	NAPA	2800
NEWPORT-MESA UNIFIED	66597	NEWPORT-MESA	3015
*ORANGE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10306	NO. ORANGE	3001
BUENA PARK ELEMENTARY	66456	NO. ORANGE	3001
FULLERTON ELEMENTARY	66506	NO. ORANGE	3001
FULLERTON JOINT UNION HIGH	66514	NO. ORANGE	3001
LA HABRA CITY ELEMENTARY	66563	NO. ORANGE	3001
LOWELL JOINT ELEMENTARY	64766	NO. ORANGE	3001
BONSALL UNION ELEMENTARY	67975	NORTH COASTAL	3702
CARDIFF ELEMENTARY	68007	NORTH COASTAL	3702
CARLSBAD UNIFIED	73551	NORTH COASTAL	3702
DEL MAR UNION ELEMENTARY	68056	NORTH COASTAL	3702
ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	68080	NORTH COASTAL	3702
FALLBROOK UNION ELEMENTARY	68114	NORTH COASTAL	3702
FALLBROOK UNION HIGH	68122	NORTH COASTAL	3702
OCEANSIDE CITY UNIFIED	73569	NORTH COASTAL	3702
RANCHO SANTA FE ELEMENTARY	68312	NORTH COASTAL	3702
SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH	68346	NORTH COASTAL	3702
SAN MARCOS UNIFIED	73791	NORTH COASTAL	3702
SOLANA BEACH ELEMENTARY	68387	NORTH COASTAL	3702
VALLECITOS ELEMENTARY	68437	NORTH COASTAL	3702
VISTA UNIFIED	68452	NORTH COASTAL	3702
BORREGO SPRINGS UNIFIED	67983	NORTH INLAND	3703
ESCONDIDO UNION ELEMENTARY	68098	NORTH INLAND	3703
ESCONDIDO UNION HIGH	68106	NORTH INLAND	3703
JULIAN UNION ELEMENTARY	68163	NORTH INLAND	3703
PAUMA ELEMENTARY	68288	NORTH INLAND	3703
RAMONA CITY UNIFIED	68304	NORTH INLAND	3703
SAN PASQUAL UNION ELEMENTARY	68353	NORTH INLAND	3703

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
VALLEY CENTER UNION ELEMENTARY	68445	NORTH INLAND	3703
WARNER UNIFIED	75416	NORTH INLAND	3703
ALAMEDA CITY UNIFIED	61119	NORTH REGION	112
ALBANY CITY UNIFIED	61127	NORTH REGION	112
BERKELEY UNIFIED	61143	NORTH REGION	112
EMERY UNIFIED	61168	NORTH REGION	112
PIEDMONT CITY UNIFIED	61275	NORTH REGION	112
ABC UNIFIED	64212	NORWALK-LA MIRADA	1915
NORWALK-LA MIRADA UNIFIED	64840	NORWALK-LA MIRADA	1915
*ALAMEDA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATI	10017	OAKLAND	113
OAKLAND UNIFIED	61259	OAKLAND	113
ORANGE UNIFIED	66621	ORANGE UNIFIED	3017
PAJARO VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED	69799	PAJARO	4411
PASADENA UNIFIED	64881	PASADENA	1916
*NEVADA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10298	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
*PLACER CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10314	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
ACKERMAN ELEMENTARY	66761	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
ALTA-DUTCH FLAT UNION ELEMENTA	66779	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
AUBURN UNION ELEMENTARY	66787	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
CHICAGO PARK ELEMENTARY	66316	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
CLEAR CREEK ELEMENTARY	66324	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
COLFAX ELEMENTARY	66795	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
DRY CREEK JOINT ELEMENTARY	66803	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
EMIGRANT GAP ELEMENTARY	66811	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
EUREKA UNION ELEMENTARY	66829	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
FORESTHILL UNION ELEMENTARY	66837	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
GRASS VALLEY ELEMENTARY	66332	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
LOOMIS UNION ELEMENTARY	66845	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
NEVADA CITY ELEMENTARY	66340	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH	66357	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
NEWCASTLE ELEMENTARY	66852	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
OPHIR ELEMENTARY	66860	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PENRYN ELEMENTARY	66878	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PLACER HILLS UNION ELEMENTARY	66886	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PLACER UNION HIGH	66894	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PLEASANT RIDGE UNION ELEMENTAR	66373	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PLEASANT VALLEY ELEMENTARY READY SPRINGS UNION ELEMENTARY	66381 66399	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
		PLACER-NEVADA	3100
ROCKLIN UNIFIED	75085	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
ROSEVILLE CITY ELEMENTARY	66910	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH	66928	PLACER-NEVADA	3100
TAHOE-TRUCKEE UNIFIED TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY	66944 66415	PLACER-NEVADA PLACER-NEVADA	3100
UNION HILL ELEMENTARY	66407	PLACER-NEVADA PLACER-NEVADA	3100 3100
WESTERN PLACER UNIFIED	66951	PLACER-NEVADA PLACER-NEVADA	3100
PLUMAS UNIFIED	66969	PLUMAS UNIFIED	3200
POWAY UNIFIED	68296	POWAY UNIFIED	3711
HACIENDA LA PUENTE UNIFIED	73445	PUENTE HILLS	1905
ROWLAND UNIFIED	73443	PUENTE HILLS PUENTE HILLS	1905
*RIVERSIDE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10330	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	3301
ALVORD UNIFIED	66977	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	3301
BANNING UNIFIED	66985	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	3301
DITTING OTHER REPORTS	00703	M ( LIGHT COUNT I	5501

District Name         Code         SELPA Name         Code           BEAUMONT UNIFIED         66993         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           COACHELLA VALLEY UNIFIED         73676         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT CENTER UNIFIED         67041         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT SANDS UNIFIED         67082         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           HEMET UNIFIED         67090         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MENFEE UNION ELEMENTARY         67116         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY         67116         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MUNIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED         67173         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELE		District		SELPA
COACHELLA VALLEY UNIFIED         73676         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT CENTER UNIFIED         67041         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT SANDS UNIFIED         67058         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         67082         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           NUVIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS ELEMENTARY         67199         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS ELEMENTARY         67199         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         67249         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         75922         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301	District Name		SELPA Name	
COACHELLA VALLEY UNIFIED         73676         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT CENTER UNIFIED         67041         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT SANDS UNIFIED         67058         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         67082         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           NUVIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS ELEMENTARY         67199         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         67249         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3301         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301	REALIMONT LINIFIED	66993	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	3301
DESERT CENTER UNIFIED         67041         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           DESERT SANDS UNIFIED         67058         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           HEMET UNIFIED         67082         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         67090         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           JURUPA UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MENIFIED UNION ELEMENTARY         67116         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURIERTA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           NUTIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS USION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS USION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROME LULLY VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           <				
DESERT SANDS UNIFIED				
HEMET UNIFIED				
IURUPA UNIFIED				
LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED         75176         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY         67116         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           NUVIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VALVERDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VALVERDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VALVERDE UNIFIED         75122         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301				
MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY   67116   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED   75200   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED   67173   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED   67173   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED   67181   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PERRIS ELEMENTARY   67199   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PERRIS ELEMENTARY   67199   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PERRIS ELEMENTARY   67207   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PERRIS UNION HIGH   67207   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     PERRIS UNION HIGH   67249   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     SAN JACINTO UNIFIED   67249   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     SAN JACINTO UNIFIED   67249   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     VAL VERDE UNIFIED   75242   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     RIVERSIDE UNIFIED   67215   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3301     RIVERSIDE UNIFIED   67215   RIVERSIDE COUNTY   3401     RIVERSIDE UNIFIED   67249   SACRAMENTO CITY   3412     *SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC   10348   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     *CENTER JOINT UNIFIED   67308   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     *CENTER JOINT UNIFIED   73973   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY   67306   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY   67306   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY   67322   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67322   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GALT JOINT UNION HIGH   67355   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67363   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH   67363   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH   67363   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67405   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH   67363   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67405   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67405   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67405   SACRAMENTO COUNTY   3401     GRANT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY   67501   SAN BENITO   3500     FIRE REPROVED				
MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED         75200         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           NUVIEW UNION ELEMENTARY         67157         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PALO VERDE UNIFIED         67181         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS ELEMENTARY         67199         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           TEMEGULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           YAL VERDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3401           SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401         3402           SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           REVESACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           SACRAMENTO COUNT				
NUVIEW UNION ELEMENTARY				
PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED				
PALO VERDE UNIFIED				
PERRIS ELEMENTARY         67199         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           YAL VERDE UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO CITY         3412           *SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         340				
PERRIS UNION HIGH         67207         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         67249         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         3312           SACRAMENTO COTY UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY				
ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY         67231         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         67249         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         3312           SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO CITY         3412           * SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         34				
SAN JACINTO UNIFIED         67249         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         3312           SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED         67249         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMEN		67231		
TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED         75192         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE CUNITY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         3312           SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO CUNTY         3412           * SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FUSENTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67302         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RONTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67405				
VAL VERDE UNIFIED         75242         RIVERSIDE COUNTY         3301           RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         67215         RIVERSIDE UNIFIED         3312           \$ACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO CITY         3412           * SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413		75192		
SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED         67439         SACRAMENTO CITY         3412           * SACRAMENTO CO, OFFICE OF EDUC         10348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67367         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SA	VAL VERDE UNIFIED	75242		3301
*SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY 67280 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 CENTER JOINT UNIFIED 73973 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY 67306 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY 67306 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY 67322 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY 67330 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY 67348 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 GALT JOINT UNION HIGH 67355 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH 67363 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH 67363 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 NATOMAS UNIFIED 75283 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY 67397 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY 67405 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED 67413 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ROBLA ELEMENTARY 67405 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ROBLA ELEMENTARY 67413 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ROBLA ELEMENTARY 67413 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ROBLA ELEMENTARY 67421 SACRAMENTO COUNTY 3401 ROBLA ELEMENTARY 67454 SAN BENITO 3500 BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN 67454 SAN BENITO 3500 BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN 67454 SAN BENITO 3500 BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN 67462 SAN BENITO 3500 BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN 67464 SAN BENITO 3500 BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN 67460 SAN BENITO 3500 SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY 67470 SAN BENITO 3500 SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY 67501 SAN BENITO 3500 SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY 67561 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN BENITO 3500 SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY 67561 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	67215	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	3312
ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY         67280         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67345         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED	67439	SACRAMENTO CITY	3412
CENTER JOINT UNIFIED         73973         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67302         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454 <td>* SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC</td> <td>10348</td> <td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td> <td>3401</td>	* SACRAMENTO CO. OFFICE OF EDUC	10348	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY         67306         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           DIFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO </td <td>ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY</td> <td>67280</td> <td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td> <td>3401</td>	ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY	67280	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67442         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO <td>CENTER JOINT UNIFIED</td> <td>73973</td> <td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td> <td>3401</td>	CENTER JOINT UNIFIED	73973	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
ELVERTA JOINT ELEMENTARY         67322         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED         67330         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67442         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO <td>DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY</td> <td>67306</td> <td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td> <td>3401</td>	DEL PASO HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY	67306	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY         67348         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO		67322	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
GALT JOINT UNION HIGH         67355         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500     <	FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED	67330	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH         67363         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67548         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67551         SAN BENITO         3500 <td< td=""><td>GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY</td><td>67348</td><td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td><td>3401</td></td<>	GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY	67348	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
NATOMAS UNIFIED         75283         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           *AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500	GALT JOINT UNION HIGH	67355	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY         67397         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           VILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500	GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH	67363	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY         67405         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3612	NATOMAS UNIFIED	75283	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED         67413         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           VILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN JOAQUIN         3901	NORTH SACRAMENTO ELEMENTARY	67397	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
ROBLA ELEMENTARY         67421         SACRAMENTO COUNTY         3401           *SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU         10355         SAN BENITO         3500           AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO	RIO LINDA UNION ELEMENTARY	67405	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
*SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU       10355       SAN BENITO       3500         AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED       75259       SAN BENITO       3500         BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN       67454       SAN BENITO       3500         CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY       67462       SAN BENITO       3500         HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY       67470       SAN BENITO       3500         JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY       67488       SAN BENITO       3500         NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME       67504       SAN BENITO       3500         PANOCHE ELEMENTARY       67520       SAN BENITO       3500         SAN BENITO HIGH       67538       SAN BENITO       3500         SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY       67553       SAN BENITO       3500         TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY       67561       SAN BENITO       3500         WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY       67579       SAN BENITO       3500         SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED       67876       SAN BERNARDINO CITY       3612         SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED       68478       SAN FRANCISCO       3800         *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA       10397       SAN JOAQUIN       3901         BANTA ELEMENTARY       68486       SAN JOAQUIN       3901 <td>RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED</td> <td>67413</td> <td>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</td> <td>3401</td>	RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED	67413	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED         75259         SAN BENITO         3500           BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901	ROBLA ELEMENTARY	67421	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3401
BITTERWATER-TULLY UNION ELEMEN         67454         SAN BENITO         3500           CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901	*SAN BENITO CO. OFFICE OF EDCU	10355	SAN BENITO	3500
CIENEGA UNION ELEMENTARY         67462         SAN BENITO         3500           HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901	AROMAS/SAN JUAN UNIFIED	75259	SAN BENITO	3500
HOLLISTER ELEMENTARY         67470         SAN BENITO         3500           JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901		67454		
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY         67488         SAN BENITO         3500           NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901			SAN BENITO	
NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME         67504         SAN BENITO         3500           PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901				
PANOCHE ELEMENTARY         67520         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901		67488	SAN BENITO	3500
SAN BENITO HIGH         67538         SAN BENITO         3500           SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901	NORTH COUNTY JOINT UNION ELEME			
SOUTHS IDE ELEMENTARY         67553         SAN BENITO         3500           TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY         67561         SAN BENITO         3500           WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY         67579         SAN BENITO         3500           SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED         67876         SAN BERNARDINO CITY         3612           SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED         68338         SAN DIEGO CITY         3712           SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED         68478         SAN FRANCISCO         3800           *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA         10397         SAN JOAQUIN         3901           BANTA ELEMENTARY         68486         SAN JOAQUIN         3901				
TRES PINOS UNION ELEMENTARY 67561 SAN BENITO 3500 WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY 67579 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED 67876 SAN BERNARDINO CITY 3612 SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED 68338 SAN DIEGO CITY 3712 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED 68478 SAN FRANCISCO 3800 *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA 10397 SAN JOAQUIN 3901 BANTA ELEMENTARY 68486 SAN JOAQUIN 3901				
WILLOW GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY 67579 SAN BENITO 3500 SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED 67876 SAN BERNARDINO CITY 3612 SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED 68338 SAN DIEGO CITY 3712 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED 68478 SAN FRANCISCO 3800 *SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA 10397 SAN JOAQUIN 3901 BANTA ELEMENTARY 68486 SAN JOAQUIN 3901				
SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED67876SAN BERNARDINO CITY3612SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED68338SAN DIEGO CITY3712SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED68478SAN FRANCISCO3800*SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA10397SAN JOAQUIN3901BANTA ELEMENTARY68486SAN JOAQUIN3901				
SAN DIEGO CITY UNIFIED68338SAN DIEGO CITY3712SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED68478SAN FRANCISCO3800*SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA10397SAN JOAQUIN3901BANTA ELEMENTARY68486SAN JOAQUIN3901				
SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED68478SAN FRANCISCO3800*SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA10397SAN JOAQUIN3901BANTA ELEMENTARY68486SAN JOAQUIN3901				
*SAN JOAQUIN CO. OFF. OF EDUCA 10397 SAN JOAQUIN 3901 BANTA ELEMENTARY 68486 SAN JOAQUIN 3901				
BANTA ELEMENTARY 68486 SAN JOAQUIN 3901				
			•	
DELTA ISLAND UNION ELEMENTARY 73478 SAN JOAQUIN 3901			•	
	DELIA ISLAND UNION ELEMENTARY	/34/8	SAN JUAQUIN	3901

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
ESCALON UNIFIED	68502	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
HOLT UNION ELEMENTARY	68536	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	68544	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
LAMMERSVILLE ELEMENTARY	68551	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
LINCOLN UNIFIED	68569	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
LINDEN UNIFIED	68577	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
MANTECA UNIFIED	68593	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
NEW JERUSALEM ELEMENTARY	68627	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
RIPON UNIFIED	68650	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
TRACY ELEMENTARY	68684	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
TRACY JOINT UNION HIGH	68692	SAN JOAQUIN	3901
SAN JUAN UNIFIED	67447	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	3413
*SAN LUIS OBISPQ CO. OFF. OF E	10405	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
ATASCADERO UNIFIED	68700	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
CAMBRIA UNION ELEMENTARY	68718	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
CAYUCOS ELEMENTARY	68726	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
COAST UNION HIGH	68734	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
LUCIA MAR UNIFIED	68759	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
PASO ROBLES JOINT UNION HIGH	68775	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
PASO ROBLES UNION ELEMENTARY	68767	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
PLEASANT VALLEY JOINT UNION EL	68791	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
SAN LUIS COASTAL UNIFIED	68809	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
SAN MIGUEL JOINT 'UNION ELEMENT	68825	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
SHANDON JOINT UNIFIED	68833	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
TEMPLETON UNIFIED	68841	SAN LUIS OBISPO	4000
*SAN MATEO CO. OFF. OF EDUCATI	10413	SAN MATEO	4100
BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY	68858	SAN MATEO	4100
BELMONT ELEMENTARY	68866	SAN MATEO	4100
BRISBANE ELEMENTARY	68874	SAN MATEO	4100
BURLINGAME ELEMENTARY	68882	SAN MATEO	4100
CABRILLO UNIFIED	68890	SAN MATEO	4100
HILLSBOROUGH CITY ELEMENTARY	68908	SAN MATEO	4100
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	68916	SAN MATEO	4100
JEFFERSON UNION HIGH	68924	SAN MATEO	4100
LA HONDA-PESCADERO UNIFIED	68940	SAN MATEO	4100
LAGUNA SALADA UNION ELEMENTARY	68932	SAN MATEO	4100
LAS LOMITAS ELEMENTARY	68957	SAN MATEO	4100
MENLO PARK CITY ELEMENTARY	68965	SAN MATEO	4100
MILLBRAE ELEMENTARY	68973	SAN MATEO	4100
PORTOLA VALLEY ELEMENTARY	68981	SAN MATEO	4100
RAVENSWOOD CITY ELEMENTARY	68999	SAN MATEO	4100
REDWOOD CITY ELEMENTARY	69005	SAN MATEO	4100
SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY	69013	SAN MATEO	4100
SAN CARLOS ELEMENTARY	69021	SAN MATEO	4100
SAN MATEO UNION HIGH	69047	SAN MATEO	4100
SAN MATEO-FOSTER CITY ELEMENTA	69039	SAN MATEO	4100
SEQUOIA UNION HIGH	69062	SAN MATEO	4100
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	69070	SAN MATEO	4100
WOODS IDE ELEMENTARY	69088	SAN MATEO	4100
SANTA ANA UNIFIED	66670	SANTA ANA	3018
*SANTA BARBARA CO. OFF. OF EDU	10421	SANTA BARBARA	4200
BALLARD ELEMENTARY	69104	SANTA BARBARA	4200

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
	40440		4000
BLOCHMAN UNION ELEMENTARY	69112	SANTA BARBARA	4200
BUELLTON UNION ELEMENTARY	69138	SANTA BARBARA	4200
CARPINTERIA UNIFIED	69146	SANTA BARBARA	4200
CASMALIA ELEMENTARY	69153	SANTA BARBARA	4200
COLD SPRING ELEMENTARY	69161	SANTA BARBARA	4200
COLLEGE ELEMENTARY	69179	SANTA BARBARA	4200
CUYAMA JOINT UNIFIED	75010	SANTA BARBARA	4200
GOLETA UNION ELEMENTARY	69195	SANTA BARBARA	4200
GUADALUPE UNION ELEMENTARY	69203	SANTA BARBARA	4200
HOPE ELEMENTARY	69211	SANTA BARBARA	4200
LOMPOC UNIFIED	69229	SANTA BARBARA	4200
LOS ALAMOS ELEMENTARY	69237	SANTA BARBARA	4200
LOS OLIVOS ELEMENTARY	69245	SANTA BARBARA	4200
MONTECITO UNION ELEMENTARY	69252	SANTA BARBARA	4200
ORCUTT UNION ELEMENTARY	69260	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SANTA BARBARA ELEMENTARY	69278	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SANTA BARBARA HIGH	69286	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH	69310	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SANTA MARIA-BONITA ELEMENTARY	69120	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SANTA YNEZ VALLEY UNION HIGH	69328	SANTA BARBARA	4200
SOLVANG ELEMENTARY	69336	SANTA BARBARA	4200
VISTA DEL MAR UNION ELEMENTARY	69344	SANTA BARBARA	4200
*SANTA CLARA CO. OFF. OF EDUCA	10439	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
LOS ALTOS ELEMENTARY	69518	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTARY	69591	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
MOUNTAIN VIEW-LOS ALTOS UNION	69609	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
PALO ALTO UNIFIED	69641	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
WHISMAN ELEMENTARY	69724	SANTA CLARA AREA I	4301
CUPERTINO UNION ELEMENTARY	69419	SANTA CLARA AREA II	4302
FREMONT UNION HIGH	69468	SANTA CLARA AREA II	4302
MONTEBELLO ELEMENTARY	69567	SANTA CLARA AREA II	4302
SUNNYVALE ELEMENTARY	69690	SANTA CLARA AREA II	4302
SAN JOSE UNIFIED	69666	SANTA CLARA AREA IV	4304
ALUM ROCK UNION ELEMENTARY	69369	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
BERRYESSA UNION ELEMENTARY	69377	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
EAST SIDE UNION HIGH	69427	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
EVERGREEN ELEMENTARY	69435	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
FRANKLIN-MCKINLEY ELEMENTARY	69450	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
MILPITAS UNIFIED	73387	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
MT. PLEASANT ELEMENTARY	69617	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
OAK GROVE ELEMENTARY	69625	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
ORCHARD ELEMENTARY	69633	SANTA CLARA AREA V	4305
GILROY UNIFIED	69484	SANTA CLARA AREA VI	4306
MORGAN HILL UNIFIED	69583	SANTA CLARA AREA VI	4306
SANTA CLARA UNIFIED	69674	SANTA CLARA AREA VII	4307
CAMBRIAN ELEMENTARY	69385	SANTA CLARA III	4303
CAMPBELL UNION ELEMENTARY	69393	SANTA CLARA III	4303
CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	69401	SANTA CLARA III	4303
LAKES IDE JOINT ELEMENTARY	69492	SANTA CLARA III	4303
LOMA PRIETA JOINT UNION ELEMEN	69500	SANTA CLARA III	4303
LOS GATOS UNION ELEMENTARY	69526	SANTA CLARA III	4303
LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION	69534	SANTA CLARA III	4303

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
LUTHER BURBANK ELEMENTARY	69542	SANTA CLARA III	4303
MORELAND ELEMENTARY	69575	SANTA CLARA III	4303
SARATOGA UNION ELEMENTARY	69682	SANTA CLARA III	4303
UNION ELEMENTARY	69708	SANTA CLARA III	4303
CASTAIC UNION ELEMENTARY	64345	SANTA CLARITA	1906
NEWHALL ELEMENTARY	64832	SANTA CLARITA	1906
SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	64998	SANTA CLARITA	1906
SULPHUR SPRINGS UNION ELEMENTA	65045	SANTA CLARITA	1906
WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH	65136	SANTA CLARITA	1906
*SANTA CRUZ CO. OFF. OF EDUCAT	10447	SANTA CRUZ	4401
BONNY DOON UNION ELEMENTARY	69732	SANTA CRUZ	4401
HAPPY VALLEY ELEMENTARY	69757	SANTA CRUZ	4401
LIVE OAK ELEMENTARY	69765	SANTA CRUZ	4401
MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY	69773	SANTA CRUZ	4401
PACIFIC ELEMENTARY	69781	SANTA CRUZ	4401
SAN LORENZO VALLEY UNIFIED	69807	SANTA CRUZ	4401
SANTA CRUZ CITY ELEMENTARY	69815	SANTA CRUZ	4401
SANTA CRUZ CITY HIGH	69823	SANTA CRUZ	4401
SCOTTS VALLEY UNIFIED	75432	SANTA CRUZ	4401
SOQUEL ELEMENTARY	69849	SANTA CRUZ	4401
*SHASTA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10454	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
ANDERSON UNION HIGH	69856	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
BELLA VISTA ELEMENTARY	69872	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
BLACK BUTTE UNION ELEMENTARY	69880	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
CASCADE UNION ELEMENTARY	69914	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
CASTLE ROCK UNION ELEMENTARY	69922	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
COLUMBIA ELEMENTARY	69948	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
COTTONWOOD UNION ELEMENTARY	69955	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
ENTERPRISE ELEMENTARY	69971	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
FALL RIVER JOINT UNIFIED	69989	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
FRENCH GULCH-WHISKEYTOWN ELEME	69997	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
GATEWAY UNIFIED	75267	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
GRANT ELEMENTARY	70003	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
HAPPY VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	70011	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
IGO, ONO, PLATINA UNION ELEMEN	70029	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
INDIAN SPRINGS ELEMENTARY	70037	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
JUNCTION ELEMENTARY	70045	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
MILLVILLE ELEMENTARY	70052	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
MOUNTAIN UNION ELEMENTARY	73700	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
NORTH COW CREEK ELEMENTARY	70078	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
OAK RUN ELEMENTARY	70086	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
PACHECO UNION ELEMENTARY	70094	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
REDDING ELEMENTARY	70110	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
SHASTA UNION ELEMENTARY	70128	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
SHASTA UNION HIGH	70136	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
WHITMORE UNION ELEMENTARY	70169	SHASTA COUNTY	4500
*SIERRA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10462	SIERRA COUNTY	4600
SIERRA-PLUMAS JOINT UNIFIED	70177	SIERRA COUNTY	4600
*SISKIYOU CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10470	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
BIG SPRINGS UNION ELEMENTARY	70185	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
BOGUS ELEMENTARY	70193	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
BUTTE VALLEY UNIFIED	73684	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
BUTTEVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	70201	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
DELPHIC ELEMENTARY	70227	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
DUNSMUIR ELEMENTARY	70243	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
DUNSMUIR JOINT UNION HIGH	70250	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
ETNA UNION ELEMENTARY	70268	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
ETNA UNION HIGH	70276	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
FORKS OF SALMON ELEMENTARY	70292	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
FORT JONES UNION ELEMENTARY	70300	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
GAZELLE UNION ELEMENTARY	70318	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
GRENADA ELEMENTARY	70326	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
HAPPY CAMP UNION ELEMENTARY	70334	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
HORNBROOK ELEMENTARY	70359	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
JUNCTION ELEMENTARY	70367	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
KLAMATH RIVER U-NION ELEMENTARY	70375	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
LITTLE SHASTA ELEMENTARY	70383	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
MCCLOUD UNION ELEMENTARY	70409	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
MONTAGUE ELEMENTARY	70417	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
MT. SHASTA UNION ELEMENTARY	70425	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
QUARTZ VALLEY ELEMENTARY	70433	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
SAWYERS BAR ELEMENTARY	70441	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
SEIAD ELEMENTARY	70458	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
SISKIYOU UNION HIGH	70466	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
WEED UNION ELEMENTARY	70482	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
WILLOW CREEK ELEMENTARY	70490	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
YREKA UNION ELEMENTARY	70508	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
YREKA UNION HIGH	70516	SISKIYOU COUNTY	4700
CAPISTRANO UNIFIED	66464	SO. ORANGE	3002
LAGUNA BEACH UNIFIED	66555	SO. ORANGE	3002
SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNIFIED	73635	SO. ORANGE	3002
*SOLANO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10488	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
BENICIA UNIFIED	70524	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
DIXON UNIFIED	70532	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
FAIRFIELD-SUISUN UNIFIED	70540	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
TRAVIS UNIFIED	70565	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
VACAVILLE UNIFIED	70573	SOLANO COUNTY	4801
*SONOMA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10496	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
ALEXANDER VALLEY UNION ELEMENT	70599	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
BELLEVUE UNION ELEMENTARY	70615	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
BENNETT VALLEY UNION ELEMENTAR	70623	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
CINNABAR ELEMENTARY	70649	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
CLOVERDALE UNIFIED	70656	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
COTATI-ROHNERT PARK UNIFIED	73882	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
DUNHAM ELEMENTARY	70672	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
FORESTVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	70680	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
FORT ROSS ELEMENTARY	70698	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
GEYSERVILLE UNIFIED	70706	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
GRAVENSTEIN UNION ELEMENTARY	70714	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
GUERNEVILLE ELEMENTARY	70722	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
HARMONY UNION ELEMENTARY	70730	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
HEALDSBURG UNIFIED	75390	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
HORICON ELEMENTARY	70763	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
KASHIA ELEMENTARY	70888	SONOMA COUNTY	4900

	D: 4 : 4		CELDA
District Nome	District	CELDA Nama	SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
KENWOOD ELEMENTARY	70789	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
LIBERTY ELEMENTARY	70797	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
MARK WEST UNION ELEMENTARY	70805	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
MONTE RIO UNION ELEMENTARY	70813	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
MONTGOMERY ELEMENTARY	70821	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
OAK GROVE UNION ELEMENTARY	70839	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
OLD ADOBE UNION ELEMENTARY	70847	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
PETALUMA CITY ELEMENTARY	70854	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
PETALUMA JOINT UNION HIGH	70862	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
PINER-OLIVET UNION ELEMENTARY	70870	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
RINCON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	70896	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
ROSELAND ELEMENTARY	70904	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
SANTA ROSA ELEMENTARY	70912	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
SANTA ROSA HIGH	70920	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
SEBASTOPOL UNION ELEMENTARY	70938	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
SONOMA VALLEY UNIFIED	70953	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
TWIN HILLS UNION ELEMENTARY	70961	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
TWO ROCK UNION ELEMENTARY	70979	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WAUGH ELEMENTARY	70995	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WEST SIDE UNION ELEMENTARY	71001	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WEST SONOMA COUNTY UNION HIGH	70607	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WILMAR UNION ELEMENTARY	71019	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WINDSOR UNIFIED	75358	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
WRIGHT ELEMENTARY	71035	SONOMA COUNTY	4900
CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY	68023	SOUTH BAY	3704
CORONADO UNIFIED	68031	SOUTH BAY	3704
NATIONAL ELEMENTARY	68221	SOUTH BAY	3704
SAN YSIDRO ELEMENTARY	68379	SOUTH BAY	3704
SOUTH BAY UNION ELEMENTARY	68395	SOUTH BAY	3704
SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	68411	SOUTH BAY	3704
CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH	64352	SOUTHWEST	1907
EL SEGUNDO UNIFIED	64535	SOUTHWEST	1907
HAWTHORNE ELEMENTARY	64592	SOUTHWEST	1907
HERMOSA BEACH CITY ELEMENTARY	64600	SOUTHWEST	1907
INGLEWOOD UNIFIED	64634	SOUTHWEST	1907
LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	64691	SOUTHWEST	1907
LENNOX ELEMENTARY	64709	SOUTHWEST	1907
MANHATTAN BEACH UNIFIED	75333	SOUTHWEST	1907
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA UNIFIED	64865	SOUTHWEST	1907
REDONDO BEACH UNIFIED	75341	SOUTHWEST	1907
TORRANCE UNIFIED	65060	SOUTHWEST	1907
WISEBURN ELEMENTARY	65169	SOUTHWEST	1907
^STANISLAUS CO. OFFICE OF EDUC	10504	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
BRITTAN ELEMENTARY	71357	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
BROWNS ELEMENTARY	71365	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
CERES UNIFIED	71043	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
CHATOM UNION ELEMENTARY	71050	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
EAST NICOLAUS JOINT UNION HIGH	71373	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
EMPIRE UNION ELEMENTARY	71076	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
FRANKLIN ELEMENTARY	71381	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
GRATTON ELEMENTARY	71084	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
HART-RANSOM UNION ELEMENTARY	71092	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
HICKMAN ELEMENTARY	71100	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
HUGHSON UNION ELEMENTARY	71118	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
HUGHSON UNION HIGH	71126	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
KNIGHTS FERRY ELEMENTARY	71142	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
LA GRANGE ELEMENTARY	71159	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
MARCUM-ILLINOIS UNION ELEMENTA	71407	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
MERIDIAN ELEMENTARY	71415	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
NEWMAN-CROWS LANDING UNIFIED	73601	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
NUESTRO ELEMENTARY	71423	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
OAKDALE JOINT UNION HIGH	71191	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
OAKDALE UNION ELEMENTARY	71183	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
PATTERSON JOINT UNIFIED	71217	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
PLEASANT GROVE JOINT UNION ELE	71431	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
RIVERBANK ELEMENTARY	71225	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
ROBERTS FERRY UNION ELEMENTARY	71233	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
SALIDA UNION ELEMENTARY	71266	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
SHILOH ELEMENTARY	71274	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
STANISLAUS UNION ELEMENTARY	71282	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
SYLVAN UNION ELEMENTARY	71290	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
TURLOCK JOINT ELEMENTARY	71308	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
TURLOCK JOINT UNION HIGH	71316	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
WATERFORD ELEMENTARY	71332	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
WINSHIP ELEMENTARY	71456	STANISLAUS COUNTY	5001
STOCKTON CITY UNIFIED	68676	STOCKTON CITY	3912
*SUTTER CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10512	SUTTER COUNTY	5100
LIVE OAK UNIFIED	71399	SUTTER COUNTY	5100
SUTTER UNION HIGH	71449	SUTTER COUNTY	5100
YUBA CITY UNIFIED	71464	SUTTER COUNTY	5100
ALPINE COUNTY UNIFIED	61333	TAHOE-ALPINE	911
LAKE TAHOE UNIFIED	61903	TAHOE-ALPINE	911
*TEHAMA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10520	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
ANTELOPE ELEMENTARY	71472	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
BEND ELEMENTARY	71480	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
CORNING UNION ELEMENTARY	71498	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
CORNING UNION HIGH	71506	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
ELKINS ELEMENTARY	71514	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
EVERGREEN UNION ELEMENTARY	71522	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
FLOURNOY UNION ELEMENTARY	71530	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
GERBER UNION ELEMENTARY	71548	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
KIRKWOOD ELEMENTARY	71555	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
LASSEN VIEW UNION ELEMENTARY	71563	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
LOS MOLINOS UNIFIED	71571	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
MANTON JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY	71589	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
MINERAL ELEMENTARY	71605	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
PLUM VALLEY ELEMENTARY	71613	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
RED BLUFF JOINT UNION HIGH	71639	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
RED BLUFF UNION ELEMENTARY	71621	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
REEDS CREEK ELEMENTARY	71647	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
RICHFIELD ELEMENTARY	71654	TEHAMA COUNTY	5200
BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED	64311	TRI-CITIES	1917
CULVER CITY UNIFIED	64444	TRI-CITIES	1917
SANTA MONICA-MALIBU UNIFIED	64980	TRI-CITIES	1917

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
*CALAVERAS CO. OFFICE OF EDUCA	10058	TRI-COUNTY	500
*TUOLUMNE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCAT	10553	TRI-COUNTY	500
AMADOR COUNTY UNIFIED	73981	TRI-COUNTY	500
BELLEVIEW ELEMENTARY	72306	TRI-COUNTY	500
BIG OAK FLAT-GROVELAND UNIFIED	75184	TRI-COUNTY	500
BRET HARTE UNION HIGH	61556	TRI-COUNTY	500
CALAVERAS UNIFIED	61564	TRI-COUNTY	500
CHINESE CAMP ELEMENTARY	72330	TRI-COUNTY	500
COLUMBIA UNION ELEMENTARY	72348	TRI-COUNTY	500
CURTIS CREEK ELEMENTARY	72355	TRI-COUNTY	500
JAMESTOWN ELEMENTARY	72363	TRI-COUNTY	500
MARK TWAIN UNION ELEMENTARY	61572	TRI-COUNTY	500
SONORA ELEMENTARY	72371	TRI-COUNTY	500
SONORA UNION HIGH	72389	TRI-COUNTY	500
SOULSBYVILLE ELEMENTARY	72397	TRI-COUNTY	500
SUMMERVILLE ELEMENTARY	72405	TRI-COUNTY	500
SUMMERVILLE UNION HIGH	72413	TRI-COUNTY	500
TWAIN HARTE-LONG BARN UNION EL	72421	TRI-COUNTY	500
VALLECITO UNION ELEMENTARY	61580	TRI-COUNTY	500
DUBLIN UNIFIED	75093	TRI-VALLEY	114
LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED	61200	TRI-VALLEY	114
PLEASANTON UNIFIED	75101	TRI-VALLEY	114
SUNOL GLEN UNIFIED	75119	TRI-VALLEY	114
*TRINITY CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATI	10538	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
BURNT RANCH ELEMENTARY	71662	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
COFFEE CREEK ELEMENTARY	71670	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
COX BAR ELEMENTARY	71688	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
DOUGLAS CITY ELEMENTARY	71696	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
JUNCTION CITY ELEMENTARY	71738	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
LEWISTON ELEMENTARY	71746	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
MOUNTAIN VALLEY UNIFIED	75028	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
SOUTHERN TRINITY JOINT UNIFIED	73833	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
TRINITY CENTER ELEMENTARY	71761	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
TRINITY UNION HIGH	71779	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
WEAVERVILLE ELEMENTARY	71787	TRINITY COUNTY	5300
*TULARE CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATIO	10546	TULARE COUNTY	5400
ALLENSWORTH ELEMENTARY	71795	TULARE COUNTY	5400
ALPAUGH UNIFIED	71803	TULARE COUNTY	5400
ALTA VISTA ELEMENTARY	71811	TULARE COUNTY	5400
BUENA VISTA ELEMENTARY	71829	TULARE COUNTY	5400
BURTON ELEMENTARY	71837	TULARE COUNTY	5400
CITRUS SOUTH TULE ELEMENTARY	71845	TULARE COUNTY	5400
COLUMBINE ELEMENTARY	71852	TULARE COUNTY	5400
CUTLER-OROSI JOINT UNIFIED	71860	TULARE COUNTY	5400
DINUBA ELEMENTARY	71878	TULARE COUNTY	5400
DINUBA JOINT UNION HIGH	71886	TULARE COUNTY	5400
DUCOR UNION ELEMENTARY	71894	TULARE COUNTY	5400
EARLIMART ELEMENTARY	71902	TULARE COUNTY	5400
EXETER UNION ELEMENTARY	71910	TULARE COUNTY	5400
EXETER UNION HIGH	71928	TULARE COUNTY	5400
FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	75325	TULARE COUNTY	5400
HOPE ELEMENTARY	71944	TULARE COUNTY	5400

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
HOT SPRINGS ELEMENTARY	71951	TULARE COUNTY	5400
KINGS RIVER UNION ELEMENTARY	71969	TULARE COUNTY	5400
LIBERTY ELEMENTARY	71985	TULARE COUNTY	5400
LINDSAY UNIFIED	71993	TULARE COUNTY	5400
MONSON-SULTANA JOINT UNION ELE	72009	TULARE COUNTY	5400
OAK VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	72017	TULARE COUNTY	5400
OUTSIDE CREEK ELEMENTARY	72025	TULARE COUNTY	5400
PALO VERDE UNION ELEMENTARY	72033	TULARE COUNTY	5400
PIXLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	72041	TULARE COUNTY	5400
PLEASANT VIEW ELEMENTARY	72058	TULARE COUNTY	5400
PORTERVILLE ELEMENTARY	72066	TULARE COUNTY	5400
PORTERVILLE UNION HIGH	72074	TULARE COUNTY	5400
RICHGROVE ELEMENTARY	72082	TULARE COUNTY	5400
ROCKFORD ELEMENTARY	72090	TULARE COUNTY	5400
SAUCELITO ELEMENTARY	72108	TULARE COUNTY	5400
SEQUOIA UNION ELEMENTARY	72116	TULARE COUNTY	5400
SPRINGVILLE UNION ELEMENTARY	72132	TULARE COUNTY	5400
STONE CORRAL ELEMENTARY	72140	TULARE COUNTY	5400
STRATHMORE UNION ELEMENTARY	72157	TULARE COUNTY	5400
STRATHMORE UNION HIGH	72165	TULARE COUNTY	5400
SUNDALE UNION ELEMENTARY	72173	TULARE COUNTY	5400
SUNNYSIDE UNION ELEMENTARY	72181	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TERRA BELLA UNION ELEMENTARY	72199	TULARE COUNTY	5400
THREE RIVERS UNION ELEMENTARY	72207	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TIPTON ELEMENTARY	72215	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TRAVER JOINT ELEMENTARY	72223	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TULARE CITY ELEMENTARY	72231	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TULARE JOINT UNION HIGH	72249	TULARE COUNTY	5400
VISALIA UNIFIED	72256	TULARE COUNTY	5400
WAUKENA JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY	72264	TULARE COUNTY	5400
WOODLAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	72272	TULARE COUNTY	5400
WOODLAKE UNION HIGH	72280	TULARE COUNTY	5400
WOODVILLE ELEMENTARY	72298	TULARE COUNTY	5400
TUSTIN UNIFIED	73643	TUSTIN UNIFIED	3019
VALLEJO CITY UNIFIED	70581	VALLEJO CITY	4811
*VENTURA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATI	10561	VENTURA	5600
BRIGGS ELEMENTARY	72447	VENTURA	5600
CONEJO VALLEY UNIFIED	73759	VENTURA	5600
FILLMORE UNIFIED	72454	VENTURA	5600
HUENEME ELEMENTARY	72462	VENTURA	5600
LAS VIRGENES UNIFIED	64683	VENTURA	5600
MESA UNION ELEMENTARY	72470	VENTURA	5600
MOORPARK UNIFIED	73940	VENTURA	5600
MUPU ELEMENTARY	72504	VENTURA	5600
OAK PARK UNIFIED	73874	VENTURA	5600
OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY	72512	VENTURA	5600
OJAI UNIFIED	72520	VENTURA	5600
OXNARD ELEMENTARY	72538	VENTURA	5600
OXNARD UNION HIGH	72546	VENTURA	5600
PLEASANT VALLEY ELEMENTARY	72553	VENTURA	5600
RIO ELEMENTARY	72561	VENTURA	5600
SANTA CLARA ELEMENTARY	72579	VENTURA	5600

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
SANTA PAULA ELEMENTARY	72587	VENTURA	5600
SANTA PAULA UNION HIGH	72595	VENTURA	5600
SIMI VALLEY UNIFIED	72603	VENTURA	5600
SOMIS UNION ELEMENTARY	72611	VENTURA	5600
VENTURA UNIFIED	72652	VENTURA	5600
WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	61796	W. CONTRA COSTA	712
FOUNTAIN VALLEY ELEMENTARY	66498	W. ORANGE	3020
HUNTINGTON BEACH CITY ELEMENTA	66530	W. ORANGE	3020
HUNTINGTON BEACH UNION HIGH	66548	W. ORANGE	3020
OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY	66613	W. ORANGE	3020
WESTMINSTER ELEMENTARY	66746	W. ORANGE	3020
ALHAMBRA CITY ELEMENTARY	64220	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
ALHAMBRA CITY HIGH	64238	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
ARCADIA UNIFIED	64261	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
DUARTE UNIFIED	64469	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
EL MONTE CITY ELEMENTARY	64501	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
EL MONTE UNION HIGH	64519	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
GARVEY ELEMENTARY	64550	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
MONROVIA UNIFIED	64790	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTARY	64816	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
ROSEMEAD ELEMENTARY	64931	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
SAN GABRIEL UNIFIED	75291	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
SAN MARINO UNIFIED	64964	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
SOUTH PASADENA UNIFIED	65029	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
TEMPLE CITY UNIFIED	65052	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
VALLE LINDO ELEMENTARY	65078	W. SAN GABRIEL	1908
FREMONT UNIFIED	61176	WASH TOWNSHIP	115
MOUNTAIN HOUSE ELEMENTARY	61218	WASH TOWNSHIP	115
NEW HAVEN UNIFIED	61242	WASH TOWNSHIP	115
NEWARK UNIFIED	61234	WASH TOWNSHIP	115
ALTA LOMA ELEMENTARY	67595	WEST END	3603
CENTRAL ELEMENTARY	67645	WEST END	3603
CHAFFEY UNION HIGH	67652	WEST END	3603
CHINO UNIFIED	67678	WEST END	3603
CUCAMONGA ELEMENTARY	67694	WEST END	3603
ETIWANDA ELEMENTARY	67702	WEST END	3603
MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTARY	67785	WEST END	3603
MT. BALDY JOINT ELEMENTARY	67793	WEST END	3603
ONTAR 10-MONT CLAIR ELEMENTARY	67819	WEST END	3603
UPLAND UNIFIED	75069	WEST END	3603
EAST WHITTIER CITY ELEMENTARY	64485	WHITTIER	1918
EL RANCHO UNIFIED	64527	WHITTIER	1918
LITTLE LAKE CITY ELEMENTARY	64717	WHITTIER	1918
LOS NIETOS ELEMENTARY	64758	WHITTIER	1918
SOUTH WHITTIER ELEMENTARY	65037	WHITTIER	1918
WHITTIER CITY ELEMENTARY	65110	WHITTIER	1918
WHITTIER UNION HIGH	65128	WHITTIER	1918
*YOLO CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10579	YOLO COUNTY	5700 5700
DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED	72678	YOLO COUNTY	5700
ESPARTO UNIFIED	72686	YOLO COUNTY	5700 5700
WASHINGTON UNIFIED	72694	YOLO COUNTY	5700 5700
WINTERS JOINT UNIFIED	72702	YOLO COUNTY	5700

	District		SELPA
District Name	Code	SELPA Name	Code
WOODLAND JOINT UNIFIED	72710	YOLO COUNTY	5700
*YUBA CO. OFFICE OF EDUCATION	10587	YUBA COUNTY	5800
CAMPTONVILLE ELEMENTARY	72728	YUBA COUNTY	5800
MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED	72736	YUBA COUNTY	5800
PLUMAS ELEMENTARY	72744	YUBA COUNTY	5800
WHEATLAND ELEMENTARY	72751	YUBA COUNTY	5800
WHEATLAND UNION HIGH	72769	YUBA COUNTY	5800

#### **Chapter 3 Technical Notes**

Question: Are differences across SELPAs in incidence of severe disabilities greater than

expected by chance variations alone?

Data sources: CASEMIS 4/97; CBEDS 1997

<u>Variables</u>: Derived proportion of students in each SELPA defined as having severe

(medicatlly-defined -- low incidence category -- and/or high-cost disabilities).

Analysis: Adaptation of chi-square statistic for testing differences in proportions for m

independent samples (i.e., 115 SELPAs independently produce a proportion of identifications from a base population) with m -l degrees of freedom, following

$$^{2} = 1/PQ (n_{i}^{m}(p_{i}-P)^{2})$$
 (1)

where

$$p_i = n_{il}/n_i \tag{2}$$

and

$$P = n_i p_i / n_i \tag{3}$$

and

$$Q = 1 - P \tag{4}$$

The proportion of *students of interest* (e.g., low incidence categories, or higher than average cost) in a given SELPA is represented by **p**; number of students is **n**. Proportion of *students of interest* for the state as a whole is **P**. There are **m** =115 SELPAs for which proportions are calculated. **Q** is the proportion of students in the state who are NOT of interest.

The chi-squared statistic in Equation (1) is influenced by the cumulative differences between proportions of *students of interest* in each SELPA (p) and in the state as a whole (P). In other words, the more individual SELPAs have proportions of students of interest that vary from the proportion for the state as a whole, the larger becomes the <sup>2</sup>. The larger the<sup>2</sup>, the less likely that it occurs by chance variations alone.

#### **Chapter 4 Technical Notes (Final Regression Models)**

Analyses were conducted with SPSS Version 6.1 for Windows. See Chapter 7 for description and interpretation of measures/variables.

#### **I. Predicting Percent of Higher Cost Students** (Final Multiple Regression Model)

Equation Number 1 Dependent Variable: PRCTHIGH

Block Number 1. Method: Enter PRCTLOW, SCHLSIZE, PERROLL

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number 1. PERROLL

2. PRCTLOW

3. SCHLSIZE

#### Analysis of Variance

Multiple R	.6613			Analysis of	Variance
R Square	.4373		DF	Sum of Square	es Mean Square
Adjusted R Square	.4221	Regression	3	.00094	.00031
Standard Error 3.2	99E-03	Residual	111	.00121	.00001
			F =	28.761	Signif $F = .000$

#### Variables in the Equation

Variable	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
PRCTLOW	1.642	.197	.605	8.346	.000
SCHLSIZE	2.92E-06	1.39E-06	.159	2.100	.038
PERROLL	1.21E-06	6.47E-07	.140	1.870	.064
(Constant)	004	.003	-1.172	.244	

#### **Residuals Statistics**

	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	N
*PRED	.0023	.0258	.0112	.0029	115
*ZPRED	-3.1180	5.0989	.0000	1.0000	115
*SEPRED	.0003	.0018	.0006	.0002	115
*ADJPRED	.0017	.0288	.0112	.0030	115
*RESID	0084	.0138	.0000	.0033	115
*ZRESID	-2.5426	4.1717	.0000	.9868	115
*SRESID	-2.7271	4.2621	0031	1.0150	115

*DRESID	0101	.0144	.0000	.0035	115
*SDRESID	-2.8105	4.6394	.0004	1.0391	115
*MAHAL	.0601	32.3436	2.9739	4.4543	115
*COOKD	.0000	.6795	.0165	.0709	115
*LEVER	.0005	.2837	.0261	.0391	115

Total Cases = 115

#### Key to Diagnostic Variables

<u>Name</u>	Interpretation
DRESID	Deleted (Press) Residual
ADJPRED	Adjusted (Press) Predicted Value
ZPRED	Standardized Predicted Value
ZRESID	Standardized Residual
MAHAL	Mahalanobis' Distance
COOK D	Cook's Distance

#### II. Predicting Percent of Low Incidence Category Students (Stepwise Regression)

Equation Number 1 Dependent Variable: PRCTLOW

Block Number 1. Method: Stepwise Criteria PIN .0500 POUT .1000 ADA97, ENROLL97, PRCTAFDC, PRCTLEP, PRCTMEAL, PRCTRISK, SCHLS96, TOTALMSA, RESIDMSC

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number 1. PRCTLEP

#### Analysis of Variance

Multiple R	.1891		Ana	llysis of Variance	
R Square	.0358		DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
Adjusted R Squa	are .0271	Regression	1	.00001	.00001
Standard Error	1.555E-03	Residual	111	.00027	.00000

F = 4.118 Signif F = .045

#### Variables in the Equation

Variable	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
PRCTLEP	.002	.001	.189	2.029	.045
(Constant)	.004	2.72E-04	15.827	.000	

#### Variables not in the Equation

Variable	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
ADA97	.105	.103	.913	1.082	.282
ENROLL97	.104	.102	.921	1.077	.284
PRCTAFDC	056	055	.902	573	.568
PRCTMEAL	083	062	.548	656	.514
PRCTRISK	183	117	.396	-1.236	.219
SCHLS96	.084	.084	.953	.882	.380
TOTALMSA	094	096	.995	-1.008	.316
RESIDMSC	.131	.127	.908	1.347	.181

#### Allocation of Teacher Aides to Special Day Class and Resource Specialist Programs

	J-50 edp	Description
Resource Specialist Programs (RSP)	507F	Units operated non-severely handicapped RSP Aide ave P-1 P-2.
Special Day Class (SDC)	574D	FTE Aides used at P-1 + P-2 for severely handicapped average.
RSP/SDC	572D	FTE Aides used at P-1 + P-2 for non-severely handicapped average.
Formulae		
Resource Specialist Programs (RSP)	=	507F
Special Day Class (SDC)	=	574D + (572D-507F)

Appendix A-7
Special Day Class (SDC) Personnel and Aide Multipliers by Disability Class Size

Disability	Students <sup>1</sup>	Class Size <sup>2</sup>	Teacher FTEs	Aide/Class <sup>3</sup>	Aide FTEs
Mentally Retarded (MR)	31344	11	2849.45	1	2849.45
Hard of Hearing (HH)	3312	7	473.14	1	473.14
Deaf (DEAF)	3118	6	519.67	1	519.67
Speech/Language Impaired (SLI)	13903	11	1263.91	l	1263.91
Visually Impaired (VI)	2684	7	383.43	1	383.43
Seriously Emotionally Disturbed (SED)	9038	7	1291.14	1.5	1936.71
Orthopedically Impaired (OI)	9542	· ·	1363.14	1.25	1703.93
Other Health Impairment (OHI)	4376	11	397.82	1	397.82
Specific Learning Disability (SLD)	89590	12	7465.83	0.5	3732.92
Deaf-Blind (DB)	152	4	38.00	1	38.00
Multihandicapped (MH)	5582	6	930.33	1.5	1395.50
Autism (AUT)	5167	7	738.14	1.5	1107.21
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)	480	6	80.00	1.5	120.00
Specified Model	178288 CASEMIS		17794.02 Teacher FTE 10.02 Student/Teacher Ratio		15921.69 Aide FTE 11.20 Student/Aide Ratio
Personnel/J-50 model Avg. SDC Student/Teacher Ratio	178288 CAS	SEMIS	17787.67 Persor 10.02 Studer	nnel File nt/Teacher Ratio	15917 J-50 File 11.20 Student/Aide Ratio

#### **Example of Formulae of Disability Mentally Retarded (MR)**

MR students 31344 student class size 11 student		2849.45 42,000		\$ + <u>\$</u>	119,677,091 34,193,455	
= 2849.45 FTE te	achers = \$	119,677,091	teacher salary	= 5	\$153,870,545	salary
2849.45 FTE te  * 1 aides/t		2849.45 12,000			\$153,870,545 31344	salary students
= 2849.45 aides	= \$	34,193,455	aide salary	=	\$4,909.09	MR salary cost/student
1						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> April 97 CASEMIS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Specified Model by Advisory Committee Members Gross, Owens, del Castillo, and Shrager

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>\$ 3,818.18</sup> MR teacher sal/student

<sup>\$ 1,090.91</sup> MR aide sal/student

**Appendix A-8**Calculation of NPS/LCI Group C Deduction

A	В	C	D	E
SELPA	NPS Group C ADA	15% Deduct	NPS Deduct	Net NPS
	(J-50 R701C)	(col B - 15%)	for 15%	Group C ADA
ANAHEIM ELEM	2	0.30	1	1
ANTELOPE VALLEY	0	0.00	0	0
BAKERSFIELD	0	0.00	0	0
BUTTE COUNTY	9	1.35	2	7
CLOVIS UNIFIED	0	0.00	0	0
COLUSA COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
CONTRA COSTA	21	3.15	4	17
CORONA-NORCO	41	6.15	7	34
DESERT MOUNTAIN	5	0.75	1	4
DOWNEY-MONTBELLO	3	0.45	1	2
E. SAN GABRIEL	256	38.40	39	217
EAST COUNTY	97	14.55	15	82
EAST VALLEY EL DORADO	125 28	18.75 4.20	19 5	106 23
ELK GROVE	91	13.65	14	77
FONTANA UNIFIED	5	0.75	14	4
FOOTHILL	17	2.55	3	14
FRESNO COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
FRESNO UNIFIED	0	0.00	0	0
GARDEN GROVE	2	0.30	1	1
GLENN COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
GREATER ANAHEIM	2	0.30	1	1
HUMB - DEL NORTE	0	0.00	0	0
IMPERIAL COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
INYO COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
IRVINE UNIFIED	0	0.00	0	0
KERN COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
KERN UNION HIGH	0	0.00	0	0
KINGS COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
LAKE COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
LASSEN COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
LAUSD	745 15	111.75 2.25	112	633 12
LODI LONG BEACH	109	16.35	17	92
MADERA-MARIPOSA	0	0.00	0	0
MARIN	150	22.50	23	127
MENDOCINO	100	15.00	15	85
MERCED	0	0.00	0	0
MID CITIES	38	5.70	6	32
MID COUNTY	63	9.45	10	53
MODESTO	12	1.80	2	10
MODOC	0	0.00	0	0
MONO	0	0.00	0	0
MONTEREY	0	0.00	0	0

A	В	C	D	E
SELPA	NPS Group C ADA	15% Deduct	NPS Deduct	Net NPS
	(J-50 R701C)	(col B - 15%)	for 15%	Group C ADA
MORENO VALLEY	26	3.90	4	22
MORONGO	3	0.45	1	2
MT. DIABLO	7	1.05	2	5
N.E. ORANGE	0	0.00	0	0
NAPA	53	7.95	8	45
NEWPORT-MESA	5	0.75	1	4
NO. ORANGE	60	9.00	9	51
NORTH COASTAL	29	4.35	5	24
NORTH INLAND	39	5.85	6	33
NORTH REGION	8	1.20	2	6
NORWALK-LA MIRADA	2	0.30	1	1
OAKLAND	105	15.75	16	89
ORANGE UNIFIED	14	2.10	3	11
PAJARO	0	0.00	0	0
PASADENA	201	30.15	31	170
PLACER-NEVADA	55	8.25	9	46
PLUMAS UNIFIED	0	0.00	0	0
POWAY UNIFIED	0	0.00	0	0
PUENTE HILLS	0	0.00	0	0
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	229	34.35	35	194
RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	53	7.95	8	45
SACRAMENTO CITY	39	5.85	6	33
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	65	9.75	10	55
SAN BENITO	18	2.70	3	15
SAN BERNARDINO CITY	39	5.85	6	33
SAN DIEGO CITY	27	4.05	5	22
SAN FRANCISCO	48	7.20	8	40
SAN JOAQUIN	28	4.20	5	23
SAN JUAN UNIFIED	214	32.10	33	181
SAN LUIS OBISPO	0	0.00	0	0
SAN MATEO	4	0.60	1	3
SANTA ANA	4	0.60	1	3
SANTA BARBARA	122	18.30	19	103
SANTA CLARA AREA I	75	11.25	12	63
SANTA CLARA AREA II	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARA AREA IV	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARA AREA V	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARA AREA VI	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARA AREA VII	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARA III	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CLARITA	0	0.00	0	0
SANTA CRUZ	10	1.50	2	8
SHASTA COUNTY	66	9.90	10	56
SIERRA COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
SISKIYOU COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY	26	3.90	4	22
SONOMA COUNTY	191	28.65	29	162
SOUTH BAY	20	3.00	3	17
SO. ORANGE	0	0	0	0

A	В	C	D	E
SELPA	NPS Group C ADA	15% Deduct	NPS Deduct	Net NPS
	(J-50 R701C)	(col B - 15%)	for 15%	Group C ADA
SOUTHWEST	47	7.05	8	39
STANISLAUS COUNTY	75	11.25	12	63
STOCKTON CITY	94	14.10	15	79
SUTTER COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
TAHOE-ALPINE	0	0.00	0	0
TEHAMA COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
TRI-CITIES	8	1.20	2	6
TRI-COUNTY	18	2.70	3	15
TRINITY COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
TRI-VALLEY	0	0.00	0	0
TULARE COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0
TUSTIN UNIFIED	2	0.30	1	1
VALLEJO CITY	17	2.55	3	14
VENTURA	92	13.80	14	78
W. CONTRA COSTA	55	8.25	9	46
W. ORANGE	0	0.00	0	0
W. SAN GABRIEL	50	7.50	8	42
WASH TOWNSHIP	18	2.70	3	15
WEST END	13	1.95	2	11
WHITTIER	15	2.25	3	12
YOLO COUNTY	26	3.90	4	22
YUBA COUNTY	0	0.00	0	0

Appendix A-9

# Salary and Benefit Ratio for Classified and Certificated Personnel

	Salary		Benefit	Ratio	Formula
Classified	\$	12,000	20% + \$5000	1.6167	(1+b)*FTE Classified
					b = (5000/12000) + 20%

Salary + Benefit\*

Certificated \$ 50,400

\*Source: Legislative Analysts Office

## **Nonpersonnel Multiplier**

Nonpersonnel Multiplier = 
$$1.0205 = 1 + n$$
  
 $\mathbf{n} = 0.0205$   
 $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\text{nonpersonnel}}{\text{certificated}} \text{ expenditure}$   
 $\text{certificated} + \text{noncertificated} \text{ expenditures}$   
 $= \frac{4.2}{(174.2 + 30.6)}$   
 $= 0.0205$ 

	Amount in Millions*	Ratio = $(4.2/(174.2+30.6)) = 0.0205$
Certificated Personnel	174.2	
Noncertificated Personnel	30.6	
Nonpersonnel	4.2	* 85-86 dollars

Chambers et al., *Impact on the Kentucky Education Reform Act on Special Education Costs and Funding*, Palo Alto, CSEF, 1995, p. 13.

#### **Administrative Ratio**

#### **Administrative multiplier=**

$$1.4447 = 1 + a$$

$$a = 0.4447$$

**a** = adjusted base administration average SE cost/student

$$avg. cost = $4,210.00$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{\$ \quad 1,872}{\$ \quad 4,210.00}$$

$$a = 0.4447$$

Sch. Adm.	
Pgr. Adm.	
DISt. Adm.	
Avg. Adm.	

Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 6	Sum	Avg.
806	634	427	1021	1029	773	4690	782
109	255	245	413	109	180	1311	219
350	689	501	28	49	72	1689	282
						\$	1.282

Administrative: Parrish, *The Funding of Special Education Students in Public and Private Schools in* California, Stanford, 1987, p. 55.

Consumer Price Index: To derive CPI = 1.46, administrative costs using 1985/86 dollars were multiplied by the CPI over the period 1984-1996. Since CPI figures were not available for 1997, to compensate for the 1997 year the CPI was used from 1984 rather than 1985.

### **Administrative Multiplier for NPS**

**Administrative ratio** = 
$$1.0339$$
 =  $1 + a$ 

**NPS** a = 0.0339

NPS a = adjusted base administration average NPS cost/student

**NPS base** = \$730

**NPS avg. cost** = \$21,514.00

**NPS a** = 
$$\frac{$730}{$21,514.00}$$

**NPS** 
$$a = 0.0339$$

Administrative: Parrish, *The Funding of Special Education Students in Public and Private Schools in* California, Stanford, 1987, p. 55.

Consumer Price Index: To derive CPI = 1.46, administrative costs using 1985/86 dollars were multiplied by the CPI over the period 1984-1996. Since CPI figures were not available for 1997, to compensate for the 1997 year the CPI was used from 1984 rather than 1985.

Appendix A-13

Formula for Determining Cost of Variable "5 or More DIS"

	Instruct	tional				total # student
S	Cost +	Admin	Students	tota	al cost	receiving DIS
50		,334	248,811		331,913,874	248,811
51		,519	2686		30,940,034	2686
52		,367	47969		65,573,623	47969
53	\$	735	5955	\$	4,376,925	5955
54		,334	25181	\$	33,591,454	25181
55		,334	25181			
56		,334	25181			
57		,837	6237		11,457,369	6237
58		,096	1792	\$	1,964,032	1792
59		,099	1764	\$	8,994,636	1764
60		,334	25181			
62		,334	25181			
63		,616	12235	\$	19,771,760	12235
64		,367	47969			
66		3,512	13816	\$1	17,601,792	13816
67	\$ 8	,512	13816			
68	\$ 1	,334	25181			
71	\$ 8	,512	13816			
72	\$ 8	,512	13816			
73	\$ 6	,974	8575	\$	59,802,050	8575
74	\$ 6	5,974	8575			
75	\$ 6	5,974	8575			
76	\$ 6	5,974	8575			
77	\$ 6	,974	8575			
78	\$ 6	,974	8575			
79		,974	8575			
80		,974	8575			
83		,512	13816			
84		,032	1318	\$	2,678,176	1318
85		,032	1318			
86		,512	13816			
			680,636	\$6	588,665,725	376,339
			avg. \$/DIS	\$	1,830	

DIS in italics are to signal the first observation of a particular DIS within a subsection of DIS services grouped together, as explained in Appendix A-2. Subsequent DIS student observations within a particular subsection are not counted after the initial observation.

# Formula for Calculating Cost of Special Day Class Placement with Mentally Retartded Example

	SDC total cost		\$ 9,356
5	Administrative multiplier	*	 1.4447
	Instructional cost		\$ 6,476
4	Nonpersonnel multiplier	*	1.0205
3	Sum Compensation/Student		\$ 6,346
	Class. Compensation/Student		\$ 1,764
	Classified Benefit Ratio	*	1.6167
2	SDC Classified MR Salary Cost		\$ 1,091
1	Certificated Compensation/Student		\$ 4,582

#### **SDC Salary Worksheet for MR**

	2849.45	FTE teacher		2849.45	FTE aides
*	\$ 50,400	salary and benefit	k	\$ 12,000	salary
•	\$ 143,612,280	teacher compensation	1	\$ 34,193,400	aide salary
	31344	students		31344	students
1	\$ 4,582	comp/student	2	\$ 1,091	salary/student

# Formula for Calculating Cost of Resource Specialist Program Placement

1	Cert. Compensation/Student	9	\$ 2,122
2	RSP Classified Salary Cost	9	\$ 464.39
	Classified Benefit Ratio	*	1.6167
	Class. Compensation/Student	3	\$ 750.78
3	Sum Compensation/Student	S	\$ 2,873
4	Nonpersonnel multiplier	*	1.0205
	Instructional cost		\$ 2,931
5	Administrative multiplier	*	1.4447
	RSP total cost	9	\$ 4,235

### **RSP Salary Worksheet**

	11512.62	FTE teacher	10583	FTE aides
*	\$ 50,400	salary and benefits *	\$ 12,000	salary
	\$ 580,236,048	teacher compensation	\$ 126,996,000	aide salary
	273468	students	273468	students
	\$ 2,122	compensation/student	\$ 464	salary/student

# Formula for Calculating Cost of Designated Instructional Services

1	Cert. Compensation/Student		\$ 905
2	DIS 50 Classified Salary Cost Classified Benefit Ratio	*	\$ 1.5267
	Class. Compensation/Student		\$ -
3	Sum Compensation/Student		\$ 905
4	Nonpersonnel multiplier	*	1.0205
	Instructional cost		\$ 923
5	Administrative multiplier	*	 1.4447
	DIS 50 Total Cost		\$ 1,334

## **DIS 50 Salary Worksheet**

4466.04 FTE teacher				0 FTE aides		
\$	50,400	salary and benefits	\$	12,000	salary	
\$	225,088,416	teacher compensation	\$	-	aide salary	
	248811	students		248811	students	
\$	905	comp/student	\$	-	salary/student	

# Formula for Determining Nonpublic School Cost per Student

Total NPS Expenditure from J-50 edp 703 columns a, b, & c.	\$ 266,140,550
NPS students	12370
Avg. NPS Instructional cost/student	\$ 21,515
Program and District Administration *	 1.0339
Avg. NPS cost/student with admin	\$ 22,244

#### **SELPA Director s Interview Protocol**

Good morning/afternoon, I'm....., with the American Institutes for Research which is conducting a study of severe, high cost special education students for the California Department of Education. I would like to ask you some questions regarding the characteristics of students who have been identified as having high cost combinations of placements and services. I would like to also ask you about your perceptions of what factors influence these placement and service decisions in your SELPA/district.

May I please have your permission to tape our conversation? I wish to capture the conversation to the greatest detail possible. The tapes will remain confidential and no information will be reported that will identify you or your SELPA/District by name. Do you have any questions? (I respondent refuses to be taped, indicate that you will take notes instead).

#### **Characteristics:**

- 1. You were sent a copy of a printout indicating the characteristics of the [i.e. top 25%] of the students receiving special education within your SELPA/District. I would like to review these with you. [Review the characteristics such as % in various disability categories, placements, most common services, etc.]
- -Do you agree that these types of students are typically among the most costly to serve?
- -If no, probe for areas of disagreement (e.g., different categories, different placements, service configurations, other).
- In your tenure as director, has the percentage as well as characteristics of high cost students been stable? Probe for changes in disability types such as SED as well as increases in out-of- district placement, use of paraprofessionals.

If there have been changes, to what do you attribute these changes? Probe for demographic changes, litigation, state or regional inclusion mandates, other.

- To what extent are high costs associated with age? For example, as a rule, are adolescents more costly than elementary age? What about gender? What about race/ethnicity?

#### **Factors Contributing to Decisions**

2. I would like to now ask you some questions regarding how the group of students came to have these high cost placements and services.

- [If there is a pattern among certain disability types, ask..] I notice that .students are highly represented within the group of high cost students? Why do you think this is the case? Probe for: severity of need such as type of behaviors, multiple disabilities requiring extensive technology and thus monitoring, new approaches to intervention that require more intensive support from instructional assistants, (for residential placement) family inability to cope with student needs, lack of sufficient or adequate local school programs, lack of or insufficient community-based services such as mental health, etc., other.
- To what degree are decisions to place in separate classes/residential due to capacity issues in the local schools, such as lack of sufficient staff knowledge or experience?

Do you think that more of these students could probably be served in less costly environments or require fewer instructional assistants? If so, about what percentage of the group? What would make that possible?

-Do you feel that the decisions regarding type and amount of related services are generally based directly on student need?

To what degree do parent/family requests contribute to decisions to provide these related services?

To what degree do professional beliefs and training contribute to decisions to provide more of these related services? Probe for how often decisions are made to provide extensive hours of PT or OT based on a child s poor eye-hand or gross motor coordination (such as frequently occurs with children with mental retardation) when such needs might be met by teachers and others given a basic treatment plan.

To what extent have decisions to provide certain services been the result of a new type of intervention, such as Lovass training, that require more intensive services? If this has been a factor, please describe the intervention and the particular types of students involved.

To what extent has litigation influenced placement and/or the nature or amount of services provided to students with disabilities? If influential, describe the litigation and types of students and services influenced. For example, has litigation around inclusion, such as the Rachel Holland decision created more inclusive classrooms which, in turn, has resulted in more instructional assistants assigned to children with severe disabilities.

#### **School Capacity**

3. I would like to end our interview with some questions regarding your perceptions about the trends among high cost students. Do you see the percentage of such students increasing in the future? If yes, probe for general reasons such as: needs of students and their families are more complex, more students coming to school with more severe behavior needs, survival of high risk and medically fragile children, other. Probe for SELPA/district specific issues such as changing demographics, other.

- As a whole, can you think of ways that schools and school districts can maintain or reduce current costs? Probe for early prevention practices, better trained teachers, changes in local funding or building-level allocation policies, changes in service models (e.g., more/less inclusion, new roles for PT, OT), more family services such as parenting classes, etc., other.
- Overall, what is your perception of the new state funding formula? Do you think that it will affect actual costs of services or decisions regarding placement or services? If so, in what ways?

How would you like to see high cost students, such as those identified in your SELPA/district, funded?

Is there anything else you would like to tell me about high cost students?

Thank you for your time. We will send you a copy of the final report if you would like.

### **SELPA Directors Interviewed**

Downy-Montebello

East San Gabriel

East Valley

Elk Grove

Garden Grove

Greater Anaheim

Irvine USD

Kern Union High

LAUSD

Modoc

North Orange County

Oakland

San Diego

San Francisco

South Orange County

Southwest

Whittier

(n=17)

### Source of SELPA ADA Used in Analysis

SELPA ADA source is P1, J18/19, 1997-98, from the California Department of Education, Education Finance Division

#### Source of SELPA AB 602 Funding Rates Used in Analysis

SELPA AB 602 Funding Rates source is P1 J-50, 1997-98, from the California Department of Education, Education Finance Division

#### **CASEMIS Exemptions**

- 1. All students aged 0-2 were removed from CASEMIS for this analysis. Any student born after April 1, 1994 was not included in the analysis. 5130 students were removed from the analysis.
- 2. The LA County Court Schools (SELPA 1901) was not included in the analysis.
- 3. The state operated programs California State Special Schools (SELPA 7100), California Youth Authority (SELPA 7200), and California Dept. of Dev. Services (SELPA 7300) were not included in the analysis.