



June 2016

$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$

Governor's Plan

- Governor's 2016-17 plan provides UC with \$3.5 billion General Fund—\$212 million higher than the 2015-16 level.
- The key component of the Governor's plan is \$125 million for a 4 percent ongoing General Fund base increase, with the remaining funding for one-time purposes.

$\sqrt{}$

Houses' Plans

- Both houses' plans adopt the 4 percent General Fund base increase.
- Assembly and Senate provide \$61 million and \$56 million more General Fund, respectively, for UC in 2016-17 than the Governor. (The Assembly amount includes \$20 million that would be appropriated in May 2017 if UC meets certain conditions.)
- In addition to the General Fund augmentation, the Assembly's plan includes \$61 million from savings, redirected funding, and an increase in nonresident tuition.

\checkmark

Notable Similarities and Differences Among Houses' Plans

- Both houses fund additional enrollment, research, and student outreach.
- Houses have different research priorities and adopt different reporting language.





June 2016

✓ Governor's Plan

- Governor's 2016-17 plan provides CSU with \$3.5 billion General Fund—\$198 million higher than the 2015-16 level.
- The key component of the Governor's plan is \$148 million for a 4.5 percent ongoing General Fund base increase, with most of the remaining funding for retiree health and one-time purposes.

Houses' Plans

- Assembly provides \$128 million more ongoing funding and \$25 million less one-time funding than the Governor.
- Senate provides the same level of ongoing funding and \$10 million more one-time funding than the Governor.

Notable Similarities and Differences Among Houses' Plans

- Both houses set enrollment targets.
- Assembly provides more enrollment growth than the Senate.
- Assembly provides more ongoing base funding.